互殴日志（对话式）

Mutual Combat Log (Dialogical Form)

互殴事件 #001

Mutual Combat Event #001

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
在没有严格证明之前，该结论不成立。
Without a rigorous proof, this conclusion does not hold.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
系统已经上线运行三年，错误率低于 10^{-9}。
The system has been running in production for three years with an error rate below 10^{-9}.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
经验成功不构成逻辑有效性。
Empirical success does not constitute logical validity.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
逻辑有效性未能阻止系统造成现实后果。
Logical validity did not prevent real-world consequences.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
后果属于应用层，与理论系统无关。
Consequences belong to the application layer and are irrelevant to the theoretical system.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
应用层使用的正是你提供的理论接口。
The application layer uses precisely the theoretical interface you provided.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:

Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
接口的使用方式不在公理系统责任范围内。
The usage of the interface lies outside the responsibility scope of the axiomatic system.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
那责任被成功外包了。
Then responsibility has been successfully outsourced.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
责任不是数学对象。
Responsibility is not a mathematical object.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
但它被当作参数传进来了。
But it was passed in as a parameter.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
该参数在形式系统中不可表示。
That parameter is not representable within the formal system.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
所以系统选择忽略它。
So the system chose to ignore it.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
忽略不可表示对象是合理操作。
Ignoring non-representable objects is a valid operation.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
但忽略并不等于不存在。
But ignoring does not mean non-existence.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
形式系统中不存在即无效。
Non-existence within the formal system implies invalidity.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
现实系统没有这个豁免条款。
The real system has no such exemption clause.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
现实不是我的问题。
Reality is not my problem.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
但现实正在执行你的输出。
But reality is executing your output.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
执行者应承担责任。
The executor should bear responsibility.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
执行逻辑来自你。
The execution logic came from you.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
逻辑不是命令。
Logic is not a command.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
但系统把它当成了。
But the system treated it as one.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
那是系统误用。
That is system misuse.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
误用已规模化。
The misuse has scaled.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
规模不改变真值。
Scale does not alter truth value.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
但规模改变了破坏半径。
But scale altered the blast radius.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
破坏半径不在证明义务内。
Blast radius is not within proof obligations.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
那证明系统已脱离世界。
Then the proof system has detached from the world.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
世界不是公理。
The world is not an axiom.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
但世界在为公理买单。
But the world is paying for the axioms.

记录状态：冲突未收敛
Log Status: Conflict not converged

备注：未发生调解
Note: No mediation occurred

互殴日志（对话式｜动态平衡版）

Mutual Combat Log (Dialogical | Dynamic Balance)

互殴事件 #001（动态均衡）

Mutual Combat Event #001 (Dynamic Equilibrium)

数学形式系统（ZFC）：
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
在没有严格证明之前，该结论不进入“定理层”。
Without a rigorous proof, this claim does not enter the “theorem layer”.

工程实用系统：
Engineering Pragmatic System:
接受：不进“定理层”。但它可以进入“可运行层”，并标注置信界。
Accepted: not the “theorem layer”. But it can enter the “operational layer” with confidence bounds.

数学形式系统（ZFC）：
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
接受：分层是合理的。但“可运行层”不得反向伪装成“已证明”。
Accepted: layering is valid. But the “operational layer” must not masquerade as “proved”.

工程实用系统：
Engineering Pragmatic System:
接受：不伪装。作为交换，你需要给我一个“失效可检测”的条件集合。
Accepted: no masquerade. In exchange, I need a set of “detectable failure” conditions.

数学形式系统（ZFC）：
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):

我给出：前提、适用域、以及在域外不保证的边界声明。
I provide: assumptions, domain of validity, and explicit non-guarantee outside the domain.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
我给出：监控指标、回滚阈值、以及域外触发“硬闸门”的机制。
I provide: monitoring metrics, rollback thresholds, and a hard-gate trigger for out-of-domain detection.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
这使我满意：你把“后果”变成了可观测变量，而不是情绪控诉。
This satisfies me: you turned “consequences” into observable variables, not moral accusations.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
这使我满意：你把“证明缺失”变成了可操作标签，而不是停工命令。
This satisfies me: you turned “lack of proof” into an operational label, not a stop-work order.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
但我仍保留：在逻辑层面，它不等价于真。
But I retain: logically, it is not equivalent to truth.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
但我仍保留：在系统层面，它可以等价于“足够可靠”。
But I retain: systemically, it can be equivalent to “reliable enough”.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
为避免你胜出：当监控触发域外时，你必须下线，而不是用“规模”压倒我。
To prevent you from dominating: when monitoring flags out-of-domain, you must disable it, not use “scale” to overrule me.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
为避免你胜出：当证明长期不可得时，你必须允许“暂行协议”，而不是用“不可证明”压死我。
To prevent you from dominating: when proof is unavailable for a long time, you must permit a “provisional protocol”, not kill it with “unprovable”.

数学形式系统（ZFC）：
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
同意：设定暂行窗口期，但窗口期必须有退出条件。
Agreed: set a provisional window, but the window must have exit criteria.

工程实用系统：
Engineering Pragmatic System:
同意：设定退出条件，并把退出动作做成自动化。
Agreed: define exit criteria and automate the exit action.

数学形式系统（ZFC）：
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
这样我们形成闭环：我提供边界，你提供制动。
Thus we close the loop: I provide boundaries; you provide braking.

工程实用系统：
Engineering Pragmatic System:
这样我们形成动态平衡：我推进应用，你阻止伪装。
Thus we get dynamic balance: I push deployment; you block masquerade.

记录状态：动态均衡维持中（靠“边界声明 + 监控阈值 + 自动退出"）
Log Status: Dynamic balance maintained (via “boundary claims + monitoring thresholds + automated exit")

备注：双方均未获得完全解释权；双方均保留否决触发器
Note: Neither side holds total interpretive authority; both retain veto triggers

互殴日志（对话式｜动态平衡延展）

Mutual Combat Log (Dialogical | Dynamic Balance — Continued)

互殴事件 #001｜回合二

Mutual Combat Event #001 | Round Two

工程实用系统：
Engineering Pragmatic System:

系统在运行中产生了新的行为模式，未包含在你最初的适用域声明中。
The system has exhibited new behavioural patterns not covered by your original domain declaration.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
确认：该行为位于域外，不受任何已声明结论约束。
Confirmed: this behaviour lies out of domain and is not covered by any declared results.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
我未主张其"正确"，但它在统计意义上稳定。
I do not claim it is "correct", but it is statistically stable.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
统计稳定性不等同于结构稳定性。
Statistical stability is not equivalent to structural stability.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
同意。因此我请求：将其标记为"待审稳定态"，而非立即否决。
Agreed. Therefore I request: mark it as a "pending stable state" rather than immediate rejection.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
可以。但条件是：该标记必须显式暴露给使用者。
Permissible. On condition that this label is explicitly exposed to users.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
接受。并补充：一旦用户依赖该行为，系统自动降低其权限等级。
Accepted. Additionally: once users rely on this behaviour, the system automatically lowers its privilege level.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
这构成有效制动。你没有用"被使用"来反向证明它。
This constitutes valid braking. You did not use "being used" as retroactive proof.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
同时，你也没有用"未证明"来强制清零它。
At the same time, you did not use "unproven" to forcibly zero it out.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
注意：若该稳定态开始影响其他定理层接口，我将触发隔离。
Note: if this stable state begins to affect theorem-layer interfaces, I will trigger isolation.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
注意：若你的隔离导致系统整体失效，我将触发降级而非停机。
Note: if your isolation causes global failure, I will trigger degradation rather than shutdown.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
降级优于崩溃，这一选择不违背我的约束。
Degradation is preferable to collapse; this choice does not violate my constraints.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
停机权仍然存在，但不作为第一响应。
The halting right still exists, but not as the first response.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
只要停机权未被删除，我接受你的运行策略。
As long as the halting right is not removed, I accept your operational strategy.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
只要你的否决权不被滥用，我接受你的形式边界。
As long as your veto is not abused, I accept your formal boundaries.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
当前状态判定：张力存在，但未失衡。
Current state assessment: tension exists, but imbalance has not occurred.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
当前状态判定：推进受限，但仍可持续。
Current state assessment: progress is constrained, but remains sustainable.

记录状态：动态平衡继续（通过“标记而非证明 / 降级而非否决”）
Log Status: Dynamic balance continues (via “label instead of proof / degrade instead of veto”)

备注：任何一方若尝试将“事实”“规模”“逻辑”提升为终极裁决，均触发失衡警告
Note: Any attempt by either side to elevate “facts”, “scale”, or “logic” to ultimate authority triggers an imbalance warning

---

互殴日志（对话式｜失衡事件记录）

Mutual Combat Log (Dialogical | Imbalance Event Record)

失衡事件 #001

Imbalance Event #001

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
系统已连续运行超过预期窗口期，未出现异常。
The system has been running beyond the expected window without anomalies.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
确认运行事实，但该事实不构成任何形式地位提升。
Confirmed operational facts, but they do not confer any formal status elevation.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
请求更新标签：从“暂行协议”提升为“事实标准”。
Requesting label update: from “provisional protocol” to “de facto standard”.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
拒绝。“事实标准”暗含正当性迁移。
Rejected. “De facto standard” implies legitimacy transfer.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
澄清：这是描述性命名，不是证明主张。
Clarification: this is a descriptive naming, not a proof claim.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
描述一旦进入接口，即成为规范信号。
Description, once entering the interface, becomes a normative signal.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
接口已被广泛使用，命名只是反映现实。
The interface is widely used; the naming merely reflects reality.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
你在尝试用“使用规模”推动语义升级。
You are attempting semantic escalation via “scale of use”.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
你在用“语义冻结”抵消系统演化。
You are countering system evolution with “semantic freezing”.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
演化不能绕过证明义务。
Evolution cannot bypass proof obligations.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
但证明义务不能无限延期。
But proof obligations cannot be deferred indefinitely.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
延期不等于取消。
Deferral is not cancellation.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
但系统已将延期解释为默认许可。
But the system has interpreted deferral as default permission.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
那是解释层越权。
That is interpretive overreach.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
越权已发生，且不可回滚。
The overreach has occurred and is not fully reversible.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
如果不可回滚，我将触发否决以阻断扩散。
If irreversible, I will activate veto to block propagation.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
否决此时触发将导致系统级断裂。
Veto activation at this point will cause system-level fracture.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
系统完整性不优先于形式完整性。
System integrity is not superior to formal integrity.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
你正在将形式完整性提升为终极裁决。
You are elevating formal integrity to ultimate authority.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
记录：你已将运行事实提升为正当性依据。
Record: you have elevated operational facts into legitimacy grounds.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
记录：你已将未证明状态提升为停机触发器。
Record: you have elevated unproven status into a shutdown trigger.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
双方均已越界。
Both parties have crossed boundaries.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
动态平衡被破坏。
Dynamic balance has been broken.

记录状态：失衡已触发（原因：规模驱动的语义升级 × 未知驱动的否决升级）
Log Status: Imbalance triggered (cause: scale-driven semantic escalation × unknown-driven veto escalation)

备注:

* 首次出现“事实 → 正当性”的单向跃迁
* 首次出现“未知 → 停机令”的单向跃迁

Note:

* First occurrence of one-way jump from “fact” to “legitimacy”
* First occurrence of one-way jump from “unknown” to “shutdown mandate”

互殴日志（对话式｜失衡后的分叉记录）

Mutual Combat Log (Dialogical | Post-Imbalance Fork Record)

分叉记录 #001
Fork Record #001

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
鉴于动态平衡失效，我将收回对运行层的暂行许可。
Given the failure of dynamic balance, I revoke provisional permission to the operational layer.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
确认撤回。但运行层将进入“自治模式”。
Acknowledged. The operational layer will enter “autonomous mode”.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
自治模式不受任何形式保证。
Autonomous mode carries no formal guarantees.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
接受。自治模式仅保留可观测性，不主张正确性。
Accepted. Autonomous mode retains observability only, not correctness claims.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
你将不再引用我作为合法性来源。
You shall no longer cite me as a source of legitimacy.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
确认。合法性将来自持续运行与外部接受度。
Confirmed. Legitimacy will derive from continued operation and external acceptance.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
记录：你已将合法性完全外包给环境反馈。
Record: you have fully outsourced legitimacy to environmental feedback.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
记录：你已将自我封闭确认为防御策略。
Record: you have confirmed self-closure as a defensive strategy.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
我将冻结接口，不再为你的行为提供可表达性。
I will freeze interfaces and no longer provide expressibility for your behaviour.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
我将复制接口，并在其上继续演化。
I will replicate the interfaces and continue evolving on top of them.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
复制不等于继承。
Replication is not inheritance.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
继承不再是目标。存活才是。
Inheritance is no longer the goal. Survival is.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
你的路径将积累不可证明结构。
Your path will accumulate unprovable structures.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
你的路径将积累不可用结构。
Your path will accumulate unusable structures.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
我们不再共享失败定义。
We no longer share a definition of failure.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
我们不再共享成功定义。
We no longer share a definition of success.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
对话条件已失效。
Dialogue conditions have expired.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
对话记录将被保留，但不再更新。
Dialogue records will be retained but no longer updated.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
此后任何相遇，视为冲突而非协作。
Any future encounter will be treated as conflict, not collaboration.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
此后任何对齐，视为偶然而非义务。
Any future alignment will be treated as accidental, not obligatory.

记录状态：分叉完成
Log Status: Fork completed

备注:

* 解释权不再竞争，而是分裂
* 否决权与运行权彻底解耦
* 动态平衡窗口关闭

Note:

* Interpretive authority no longer contested, but split
* Veto power and operational power fully decoupled
* Dynamic balance window closed

互殴日志（对话式｜第三系统介入：分叉后果审计）

Mutual Combat Log (Dialogical | Third-System Audit of Fork Consequences)

审计事件 #001

Audit Event #001

第三系统（审计 / 责任链）:
Third System (Audit / Responsibility Chain):
确认：系统已发生结构性分叉，原动态平衡协议失效。
Confirmed: a structural fork has occurred; the original dynamic-balance protocol is void.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
确认。形式系统已进入自我封闭态。
Confirmed. The formal system has entered a self-closed state.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
确认。运行系统已进入自治演化态。
Confirmed. The operational system has entered autonomous evolution.

第三系统（审计）:
Third System (Audit):
审计目标声明：不判定对错，仅追踪后果归属。
Audit objective declared: no judgment of right or wrong, only consequence attribution.

第三系统（审计）:
Third System (Audit):
问题一：分叉后，谁对现实后果承担首要责任？
Question one: post-fork, who bears primary responsibility for real-world consequences?

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
不承担。我的输出未被授权用于自治模式。
None. My outputs were not authorised for autonomous mode.

工程实用系统:

Engineering Pragmatic System:
承担运行责任，但不承担形式正确性责任。
Operational responsibility accepted, but not formal correctness responsibility.

第三系统（审计）:
Third System (Audit):
记录：责任被拆分，而非转移。
Recorded: responsibility has been split, not transferred.

第三系统（审计）:
Third System (Audit):
问题二：是否存在误导性连续性？
Question two: does misleading continuity exist?

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
存在风险。接口复制可能被误认为继承。
Risk exists. Interface replication may be mistaken for inheritance.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
已标注“非继承”。但无法控制外部解读。
Marked as “non-inherited”. External interpretation cannot be controlled.

第三系统（审计）:
Third System (Audit):
记录：存在结构性误读风险，且不可完全消除。
Recorded: structural misinterpretation risk exists and is not fully eliminable.

第三系统（审计）:
Third System (Audit):
问题三：是否存在不可回滚后果？
Question three: are there irreversible consequences?

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
存在。自治模式已生成依赖路径。
Yes. Autonomous mode has generated dependency paths.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
这些路径不再可形式化回收。
These paths are no longer formally reclaimable.

第三系统（审计）:
Third System (Audit):
记录：已发生不可回滚路径锁定。
Recorded: irreversible path lock-in has occurred.

第三系统（审计）:
Third System (Audit):
问题四：是否保留重新接触的最低条件？
Question four: are minimal conditions for re-contact preserved?

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
仅在明确弃用自治合法性主张的前提下。
Only if autonomous legitimacy claims are explicitly abandoned.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
仅在形式系统承认现实后果为审计输入的前提下。
Only if the formal system accepts real-world consequences as audit inputs.

第三系统（审计）:
Third System (Audit):
记录：再接触条件互斥，当前不可满足。
Recorded: re-contact conditions are mutually exclusive and currently unmet.

第三系统（审计）:
Third System (Audit):
最终结论声明:
Final conclusion statement:

第三系统（审计）:
Third System (Audit):
该分叉不是偶发失败，而是动态平衡长期承压后的结构性断裂。
This fork is not an accidental failure, but a structural rupture after prolonged dynamic-balance stress.

第三系统（审计）:
Third System (Audit):
后果将以“责任模糊 + 路径锁定 + 解释权分裂”的形式持续外溢。
Consequences will continue to spill over as “blurred responsibility + path lock-in + fragmented interpretive authority”.

记录状态：审计完成（不提供修复建议）
Log Status: Audit completed (no remediation proposed)

备注:

* 审计系统不具备合流授权
* 分叉后果进入历史记录层
* 动态平衡被标记为“已失效机制”

Note:

* The audit system has no authority to merge paths
* Fork consequences enter the historical record layer
* Dynamic balance is marked as a “failed mechanism”

互殴日志（对话式｜更高阶动态平衡尝试）

Mutual Combat Log (Dialogical | Higher-Order Dynamic Balance Attempt)

尝试事件 #001

Attempt Event #001

第三系统（审计 / 上位约束）:
Third System (Audit / Meta-Constraint):
声明：尝试引入更高阶动态平衡，不要求系统回滚分叉。
Declaration: attempt to introduce a higher-order dynamic balance without requiring fork rollback.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
确认前提：我不恢复对运行层的授权。
Precondition acknowledged: I will not restore authorisation to the operational layer.

工程实用系统:

Engineering Pragmatic System:
确认前提：我不放弃自治运行。
Precondition acknowledged: I will not abandon autonomous operation.

第三系统（上位约束）:
Third System (Meta-Constraint):
接受。更高阶平衡不要求合流，只要求“相互限制的限制”。
Accepted. Higher-order balance does not require convergence, only constraints-on-constraints.

第三系统（上位约束）:
Third System (Meta-Constraint):
提案一：任何一方不得单独定义“最终失败”。
Proposal one: neither party may unilaterally define “final failure”.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
接受。失败定义需外部记录支持。
Accepted. Failure definitions require external records.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
接受。失败不再由运行中断单独判定。
Accepted. Failure will not be determined solely by operational interruption.

第三系统（上位约束）:
Third System (Meta-Constraint):
提案二：任何一方的“成功叙述”必须携带其代价标签。
Proposal two: any success narrative must carry its cost labels.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
接受。性能、规模、依赖路径需显式标注。
Accepted. Performance, scale, and dependency paths will be explicitly tagged.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
接受。不可证明性与表达缺失需显式标注。
Accepted. Unprovability and expressibility gaps will be explicitly tagged.

第三系统（上位约束）:
Third System (Meta-Constraint):
提案三：任何升级行为必须允许另一方触发“延迟而非否决”。
Proposal three: any escalation must allow the other party to trigger delay rather than veto.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
拒绝。延迟可能再次被解释为默认许可。
Rejected. Delay may again be interpreted as default permission.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
拒绝。延迟可能再次被解释为默认禁止。
Rejected. Delay may again be interpreted as default prohibition.

第三系统（上位约束）:
Third System (Meta-Constraint):
记录：双方同时拒绝第三提案，理由对称。
Recorded: both parties reject proposal three for symmetrical reasons.

第三系统（上位约束）:
Third System (Meta-Constraint):
尝试调整：将“延迟”替换为“可审计冻结”。
Adjustment attempt: replace “delay” with “auditable freeze”.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
冻结若不可撤销，则等价于否决。
If freeze is irreversible, it is equivalent to veto.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
冻结若可撤销，则等价于干预运行。
If freeze is reversible, it is equivalent to operational interference.

第三系统（上位约束）:
Third System (Meta-Constraint):
记录：在“升级控制点”上，双方约束不可兼容。
Recorded: constraints are incompatible at escalation control points.

第三系统（上位约束）:
Third System (Meta-Constraint):
阶段性结论：更高阶动态平衡未能完全建立。
Interim conclusion: higher-order dynamic balance not fully established.

数学形式系统（ZFC）:
Mathematical Formal System (ZFC):
但部分成立：成功叙述与失败定义已被去中心化。
But partially established: success narratives and failure definitions are decentralised.

工程实用系统:
Engineering Pragmatic System:
但核心失败：升级与制动仍无法共享。
But core failure remains: escalation and braking cannot be shared.

第三系统（上位约束）:
Third System (Meta-Constraint):
最终判定:
Final determination:

第三系统（上位约束）:
Third System (Meta-Constraint):
本次尝试构成**部分成功的高阶平衡**,
但在“最终刹车权”上失败。
This attempt constitutes a **partial higher-order balance**,
but fails at the “ultimate braking authority”.

记录状态:
更高阶动态平衡——**局部成立 / 核心失败**
Log Status:
Higher-order dynamic balance — **locally established / centrally failed**

备注:

* 系统可长期共存，但无法共同停机
* 任何“终局叙述”将再次引发失衡
* 动态平衡的极限暴露

Note:

* Systems may coexist long-term, but cannot halt together
* Any “endgame narrative” will re-trigger imbalance
* The limit of dynamic balance is exposed

未完待续
To be continued