

Leave the Future to Possibility  
把未来留给可能

Language is never neutral.  
语言从来不是中性的。

To use language is to activate a rule system.  
使用语言，就是激活一套规则系统。

Every term carries defaults, constraints, and exclusions.  
每一个术语都携带默认值、约束与排除项。

Most of these operate below awareness.  
其中大多数在意识层以下运作。

Language does not merely describe reality.  
语言并不只是描述现实。

It filters, compresses, and stabilises parts of it.  
它筛选、压缩并稳定现实的部分结构。

What passes through language  
能够通过语言的，

is not what exists,  
并非“存在的一切”，

but what fits the interface.  
而是“适配接口的部分”。

Interfaces enable action by reducing complexity.  
接口通过降低复杂度使行动成为可能。

Reduction is functional, not innocent.  
降维是功能性的，但并非无代价。

What cannot be expressed  
无法被表达的，

does not disappear,  
并不会消失，

but becomes structurally silent.  
而是被结构性地沉默。

For this reason, word choice is structural.  
因此，选词是一种结构行为。

I prefer “mother language” over “first language.”  
我更偏好“母语”，而不是“第一语言”。

“First” presupposes ordering and precedence.  
“第一”预设排序与优先级。

"Mother" presupposes origin and continuity.  
"母"预设来源与延续。

This is not sentiment.  
这不是情绪判断。

It is an interface decision.  
而是接口层面的决策。

Different terms invoke different constraint systems.  
不同术语会调用不同的约束系统。

Once invoked, they shape what can be thought.  
一旦调用，它们就塑造了"可被思考的范围"。

Many things cannot be decided  
许多事物

at the moment they are named.  
在被命名的当下，并不可判定。

Language often arrives  
语言常常先于

before sufficient information.  
充分信息到来。

Naming too early hardens uncertainty into form.  
过早命名，会将不确定性固化为形式。

Not deciding is therefore not avoidance.  
因此，不作决定并非逃避。

It can be a deliberate structural stance.  
它可以是一种有意的结构立场。

A choice to keep multiple futures viable.  
一种让多重未来保持可行的选择。

A refusal to collapse possibility space prematurely.  
一种拒绝过早压缩可能性空间的拒绝。

Hope, in this context, is not optimism.  
在此语境中，"希望"并非乐观。

It is the preservation of optionality.  
它是对选择空间的保留。

It is a technical condition, not an emotion.  
它是一种技术状态，而非情绪状态。

The system remains editable.  
系统因此保持可编辑。

**Temporary Non-Negotiable Constraints**

## 暂时不可谈判的底层约束

Some rules are not social.  
有些规则并非社会建构。

They are not products of language or agreement.  
它们不是语言或协商的产物。

They emerge from current physical understanding,  
它们源自当前的物理解解,

causality,  
因果性,

irreversibility,  
不可逆性,

and resource finitude.  
以及资源有限性。

These constraints are not eternal truths.  
这些约束并非永恒真理。

They are contingent on present knowledge.  
它们依赖于当前认知状态。

But at this moment,  
但在此刻,

they are not negotiable.  
它们不可谈判。

Not because negotiation is forbidden,  
不是因为谈判被禁止,

but because no valid mechanism exists yet.  
而是因为目前尚不存在有效机制。

Language may model these constraints.  
语言可以对这些约束建模。

It may speculate beyond them.  
也可以对其之外进行猜想。

But action must align with what currently holds.  
但行动必须与当前有效的约束对齐。

Ignoring them does not suspend their effects.  
忽视它们, 并不会暂停其效力。

It only delays recognition.  
只会延迟认知。

Openness does not mean denying constraints.  
开放并不意味着否认约束。

It means distinguishing  
它意味着区分

what is fixed for now,  
哪些是“暂时固定的”,

from what remains adjustable.  
以及哪些仍然可调。

Confusing the two is a category error.  
混淆二者, 是范畴错误。

### **Against Entropy** **关于对抗熵增**

Entropy increases.  
熵在增加。

This is a statistical tendency, not an intention.  
这是一种统计趋势, 而非意图。

Living systems do not reverse entropy globally.  
生命系统不会在整体上逆转熵增。

They operate locally,  
它们只在局部运作,

by exporting disorder outward  
通过向外输出无序,

to maintain internal structure.  
来维持内部结构。

Structure requires continuous cost.  
结构需要持续成本。

Stability is never free.  
稳定从来不是免费的。

When maintenance stops,  
当维护停止,

decay resumes by default.  
退化会自动发生。

Neglect is sufficient.  
忽视就足够了。

Human systems follow the same rule.  
人类系统遵循同一规则。

Language, memory,  
语言、记忆,

institutions,  
制度,

and meaning  
以及意义,

are all local reductions of entropy.  
都是局部的熵降低结构。

They persist only through maintenance.  
它们只能通过维护而存在。

Premature closure is entropy-efficient.  
过早收敛在熵意义上是高效的。

It reduces ambiguity quickly.  
它迅速消除模糊性。

It lowers cognitive cost.  
它降低认知成本。

It feels stabilising.  
它让人感觉稳定。

But entropy-efficient states  
但高熵效率状态

are not future-efficient.  
并不一定对未来友好。

They sacrifice adaptability  
它们牺牲适应性

for short-term coherence.  
换取短期一致性。

This trade-off is often invisible.  
这种权衡往往不可见。

Leaving the future to possibility  
把未来留给可能,

is one way of resisting local entropy.  
是对抗局部熵增的一种方式。

Not by denial,  
不是通过否认,

but by continuous work.  
而是通过持续工作。

Attention, energy, and discipline  
注意力、能量与纪律

are constantly consumed.

被不断消耗。

### **Emotionless Structural Analysis** **无情绪的结构分析**

Emotion is not eliminated.  
情绪并未被消除。

It is excluded from inference.  
它被排除在推理链条之外。

Analysis aims for neutrality of inference,  
分析追求的是推理中立,

not absence of feeling.  
而非情感空无。

### **The procedure is fixed:** **流程是固定的:**

Define system boundaries.  
定义系统边界。

Identify non-negotiable constraints (for now).  
识别暂时不可谈判的约束。

Classify variables.  
分类变量。

Map interfaces.  
映射接口。

Account for cost and entropy.  
核算成本与熵。

Enumerate failure modes.  
枚举失效模式。

Delay irreversible closure.  
延迟不可逆收敛。

Results are presented as models,  
结果以模型形式呈现,

with stated assumptions  
并明确假设,

and validity conditions.  
与适用条件。

No verdict is claimed.  
不主张裁决。

Revisability is preserved.  
保留可修订性。

Closing Without Closure  
不封闭的结尾

Leaving the future to possibility  
把未来留给可能，

does not mean believing anything is possible.  
并不意味着相信一切皆有可能。

It means not pretending to decide  
而是不假装能够决定

what currently exceeds decision.  
那些目前超出决定能力的事物。

Some rules are temporarily non-negotiable.  
有些规则暂时不可谈判。

This is not submission.  
这不是屈从。

It is alignment with present constraints.  
这是与当前约束的对齐。

Alignment can change  
对齐可以改变，

when constraints change.  
当约束本身发生变化时。

The future does not need to be fixed now.  
未来不需要现在就被固定。

What matters  
重要的不是

is keeping it revisable  
“一次性选对”，

under what we currently know.  
而是在当前认知下保持可修订。

Not because certainty is wrong,  
不是因为确定性是错误的，

but because premature certainty  
而是因为过早的确定性

is expensive to undo.  
回滚成本极高。

This is not hope as belief.  
这不是作为信念的希望。

It is hope as structure.  
这是作为结构的希望。

There is no author here.  
这里没有作者。