Max Callaghan

2023-10-30



Objectives

Objectives

By now we have figured out how to **represent** texts using the "bag of words" model.

Today we will look at **word embeddings**, which are a basic building block for understanding much of much modern NLP.

Word embeddings

Word embeddings

Why do we need word embeddings?

With the bag of words model, there are as many columns as there are unique words, and each column is completely independent.

Consider the following two texts

"The acclaimed author penned novels based on her life"

"Nobel prize-winning writer writes autobiographical fiction"

Are they similar? Would an bag of words model consider these similar texts?

Word similarity

Words themselves can be similar. A richer model will represent this similarity.

If this works, then the sentence

The cat sat on the mat.

will be much more similar to the sentence

The **dog** sat on the mat.


than it will be to the sentence

The **carbon** sat on the mat.

The intuition is that similar words appear in similar contexts

Mikolov et al, 2013 developed a very efficient way to learn word embeddings for very large vocabularies.

Essentially, neural networks are trained on huge corpora to predict words from context (Continuous Bag of Words - CBOW) or context from words (Skip-gram)



from the sentence

the cat sat on the mat we would have the following context, target pairs to predict

([cat sat], the), ([the, sat,
on], cat), ([the, cat, on,
the], sat), ([cat, sat, the,
mat], on), ([sat, on, mat],

The outcome of this learning is a vector representation of each word in n dimensions.

For example, if we learnt 3-dimensional embeddings on a corpus of documents, we might represent cat as [0.3, 0.4, 0.6], dog as [0.3, 0.42, 0.58], and carbon as [0.5, -0.8, -0.1].

How would we calculate the similarity of these?

Glove Embeddings

Glove embeddings are trained on large Corpora (wikipedia, twitter, common crawl (loads of web data)).

We can load these pre-trained embeddings. Let's have a look at some of our words.

```
library(textdata)
library(coop)

N_DIM <- 100
glove <- embedding_glove6b(dimensions=N_DIM, dir="../embeddings")
word_matrix <- as.matrix(glove[,-1])
rownames(word_matrix) <- glove$token
words <- word_matrix[c("dog","cat","carbon"),]
words[,1:5]</pre>
```

```
## dog 0.30817 0.30938 0.52803 -0.92543 -0.73671 
## cat 0.23088 0.28283 0.63180 -0.59411 -0.58599 
## carbon -0.86024 0.41537 0.77613 -0.14248 0.41046
```

Have a look at some words for yourself and identify their similarity in the embedding space. Do they represent meaningful distances?

Similarity with Glove Embeddings

Since each word is simply a vector, we can easily compute a similarity matrix of the words.

```
words <- word_matrix[c("dog","cat","carbon"),]
sims <- cosine(t(words))
sims</pre>
```

```
## dog cat carbon
## dog 1.000000 0.8798075 0.09022930
## cat 0.8798075 1.0000000 0.05027368
## carbon 0.0902293 0.05027368 1.00000000
```

Have a look at some words for yourself and identify their similarity in the embedding space. Do they represent meaningful distances?

Glove Embeddings in Python

```
import pandas as pd
import csv
from zipfile import ZipFile
N_DIMS = 100
z = ZipFile("../embeddings/glove6b/glove.6B.zip")
f = z.open(f'glove.6B.{N_DIMS}d.txt')
word_matrix = pd.read_table(
    f, sep=" ", index_col=0,
    header=None, quoting=csv.QUOTE_NONE
)
from sklearn.metrics.pairwise import cosine_similarity
word_list = ["dog", "cat", "carbon"]
sims = pd.DataFrame(cosine_similarity(word_matrix.loc[word_list]))
sims.index, sims.columns = word_list, word_list
sims
```

```
## dog cat carbon
## dog 1.000000 0.879808 0.090229
## cat 0.879808 1.000000 0.050274
## carbon 0.090229 0.050274 1.000000
```

We can compare two vectors using the cosine() function from the https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/coop/index.html library.

```
library(coop)
vec a <- word matrix["paris",]</pre>
vec b <- word matrix["france",]</pre>
cosine(vec a, vec b)
```

```
## [1] 0.7481587
```

Similarly, we just calculate 1 - the cosine distance from scipy.spatial.distance

```
from scipy.spatial.distance import cosine
vec a = word matrix.loc["paris"]
vec_b = word_matrix.loc["france"]
1 - cosine(vec a, vec b)
```

0.7481586531248817

Unrelated words

In pairs, one person starts by suggesting any word. The other pair member should come up with as dissimilar a word as possible. Continue with a word dissimilar to that. Note down your list of words and their similarity.

Finding similar words

If we want to find words that are similar to other words, then we can compare the vector for our target word with the vector for each other word.

```
vec_a <- word_matrix["cat",]
sims <- apply(word_matrix, 1, function(x) cosine(x,vec_a))
sims %>% sort(decreasing=T) %>% head()

## cat dog rabbit cats monkey pet
## 1.0000000 0.8798075 0.7424427 0.7323004 0.7288710 0.7190140
```

Conversely, we can also find dissimilar words, which can have a similarity < 0

```
sims %>% sort(decreasing=F) %>% head()
```

```
## theros vulso lyssy abbington suhartono chamni
## -0.5288887 -0.5141478 -0.5046386 -0.5018671 -0.5014641 -0.5009102
```

If we want to do this for a few different words, we might decide we don't want to write this code out every time. Let's build a function to do it for us

```
similar_words <- function(word, word_matrix) {
  vec_word <- word_matrix[word,]
  sims <- apply(word_matrix, 1, function(x) cosine(x,vec_word))
  return(sort(sims, decreasing=T))
}
similar_words("carbon", word_matrix) %>% head()
```

```
## carbon dioxide emissions co2 greenhouse gases
## 1.0000000 0.8958776 0.8374466 0.8217504 0.8071149 0.8017597
```

If we want to do this for a few different words, we might decide we don't want to write this code out every time. Let's build a function to do it for us

```
# function for similar words to x
def similar words(word, word matrix):
    vec a = word matrix.loc[word]
    sims = 1 - word matrix.apply(cosine, axis=1, args=(vec a.))
    return sims.sort values(ascending=False)
similar words("carbon".word matrix).head(6)
## 0
## carbon
                 1.000000
## dioxide
                 0.895878
## emissions
                0.837447
## co2
               0.821750
## greenhouse
                0.807115
## gases
                 0.801760
## dtvpe: float64
```

Encoded Linguistic Regularities and Patterns

Embedding spaces encode interesting regularities and patterns. If we subtract vector B from vector A, we get a vector that represents the *relationship* of those vectors.

If we subtract this vector from another vector C, we apply the same transformation, and get a vector D which is to C what B is to A. We can then find words which are close to D.

```
diff <- word_matrix["paris",] - word_matrix["france",]</pre>
vec d <- word matrix["berlin",] - diff</pre>
sims <- apply(word matrix, 1, function(x) cosine(x, vec d))
sims %>% sort(decreasing=T) %>% head()
```

```
poland
                                              berlin
     germany
              austria
                         denmark
                                                        france
## 0.8927663 0.7621856 0.7481993 0.7455099 0.7220174 0.7211105
```

Try out some other analogies!

denmark

poland

herlin

france ## dtype: float64

0.748199

0.745510

0.722017 0.721111

Analogies in Python

```
diff = word_matrix.loc["paris"] - word_matrix.loc["france"]
vec d = word matrix.loc["berlin"] - diff
sims = 1 - word matrix.apply(cosine, axis=1, args=(vec d,))
sims.sort_values(ascending=False).head(6)
## 0
              0.892766
## germany
## austria
              0.762186
```

Not all linguistic regularities and patterns are desirable.

```
##
               john
                         jane
                                 doctor
                                            nurse
          1.0000000 0.5861599 0.3933398 0.2678903
## john
## jane
          0.5861599 1.0000000 0.3485967 0.4004849
## doctor 0.3933398 0.3485967 1.0000000 0.7521509
          0.2678903 0.4004849 0.7521509 1.0000000
## nurse
```

Pre-trained word vectors encode historic and present biases (in particular racism and sexism) in how humans have used languages.

How this affects us depends on our application, but we should be particularly cautious when the application has the potential to amplify biases.

Start from stochastic parrots to explore more on bias, risk, and harms in NLP.

Polysemy

What words would be good neighbours for the word "flies"?

Polysemy

What words would be good neighbours for the word "flies"?

soars, flew, plane; or spider, bug, insect?

Polysemy

What words would be good neighbours for the word "flies"?

soars, flew, plane; or spider, bug, insect?

Each token has only one position in the embedding space regardless of how many senses it has

Word embeddings available online encode information about how words are used in the context of the training dataset.

We may care about how words are used in a specific context, in which case it may make sense to learn our own embeddings.

This usually makes sense when you have large numbers of documents. Check out text2vec for how to do this.

We may even care about how word use differs between subgroups (i.e. what terms are close to immigration in the Republican vs. Democrat space?). Check out Arthur Spirling's work on this. Objectives OO

Document Embeddings

Documents

Lets work out how to use embeddings to represent documents, and see if they are any good. We'll use our example documents from before, and put these into a document-feature matrix, and work out their similarity.

```
docs <- c(
   "The acclaimed author penned novels based on her life",
   "Nobel prize-winning writer writes autobiographical fiction"
)
dfmat <- docs %>% tokens(remove_punc=TRUE) %>%
   tokens_remove(pattern=stopwords("en")) %>%
   dfm()
print(cosine(as.vector(dfmat[1,]), as.vector(dfmat[2,])))
```

Common features

Let's now find the words that are used in the document feature matrix, and the words that are in our embedding vocabulary, and select the parts of each matrix that uses these words. For simplicity first of all, we will select only the first 5 dimensions of the embedding space, and 4 features. We will also round the vectors to 1 decimal place

```
common_features <- intersect(colnames(dfmat),rownames(word_matrix))</pre>
common_features <- c("author", "novels", "writer", "writes")</pre>
glove dfmat <- dfmat[,common features]</pre>
print(glove_dfmat)
## Document-feature matrix of: 2 documents, 4 features (50.00% sparse) and 0 docvars.
##
          features
## docs
           author novels writer writes
     text1
     text2
corpus_word_matrix <- round(word_matrix[common_features,1:5],1)</pre>
print(corpus word matrix)
## author -0.4 0.2 0.0 -0.1 0.9
## novels -0.3 0.0 -0.2 0.1 1.1
## writer -0.7 -0.1 -0.2 -0.6 0.5
## writes -1.3 0.3 0.1 -0.6 0.9
```

Summing

We want a score in each dimension, for each document.

We can achieve this by taking the inner product of the two matrices.

This means summing the d1 scores for each occurrence of each word in a document, then doing the same for d2, d3, etc.

```
doc_matrix <- glove_dfmat %*% corpus_word_matrix
doc_matrix</pre>
```

```
## 2 x 5 Matrix of class "dgeMatrix"

## d1 d2 d3 d4 d5

## text1 -0.7 0.2 -0.2 0.0 2.0

## text2 -2.0 0.2 -0.1 -1.2 1.4
```

Unsimplifying

Now we'll repeat this process but with the full feature set and the full set of dimensions

```
common_features <- intersect(colnames(dfmat),rownames(word_matrix))
glove_dfmat <- dfmat[,common_features]
corpus_word_matrix <- word_matrix[common_features,]
doc_matrix <- glove_dfmat %*% corpus_word_matrix
print(cosine(doc_matrix[1,], doc_matrix[2,]))</pre>
```

[1] 0.8526549

The cosine similarity of these documents in the embedding space is very high, even though they share no words in common!

Embedding documents in python

Embedding documents in python works exactly the same

```
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer
import numpy as np
docs = [
    "The acclaimed author penned novels based on her life",
    "Nobel prize-winning writer writes autobiographical fiction"
]
vec = CountVectorizer()
dfmat = vec.fit_transform(docs).todense()

common_features = set(word_matrix.index) & set(vec.get_feature_names_out())
common_features = list(common_features)
vocab_ids = [vec.vocabulary_[x] for x in common_features]
doc_matrix = dfmat[:,vocab_ids].dot(word_matrix.loc[common_features,])
1 - cosine(doc_matrix[0,].A1, doc_matrix[1,].A1)
```

0.7854257726317802

The cosine similarity of these documents in the embedding space is very high, even though they share no words in common!

Embedded manifestos

Now let's try embedding our manifesto sentences and reducing the dimensionality.

Wrapup and Outlook

Wrapup

• Word embeddings form our first encounter with fancy NLP.

Wrapup

- Word embeddings form our first encounter with fancy NLP.
- We can place words, and documents, in a multidimensional embedding space.

- Word embeddings form our first encounter with fancy NLP.
- We can place words, and documents, in a multidimensional embedding space.
- This space encodes abstract but meaningful information about language

- Word embeddings form our first encounter with fancy NLP.
- We can place words, and documents, in a multidimensional embedding space.
- This space encodes abstract but meaningful information about language
- Encoding texts in this space allows us to do a better job at some tasks

Outlook

Next week, we will go into topic modelling, on which there will be another assignment.
 Come to the class prepared by doing the reading

Outlook

- Next week, we will go into topic modelling, on which there will be another assignment.
 Come to the class prepared by doing the reading
- Please fill out this informal midterm evaluation link

Document Embeddings

Wrapup and Outlook ○○○●