## Applied Artificial Intelligence 2023



## Summative Assessment Feedback Report

Group: 3 Student: 28

## Statement on the validity of the submission:

Source files were submitted in a single .zip as required and in suitable/readable formats within the archive.

Feedback area	Feedback	Mark
Executive Summary (0-10 Marks)	The executive summary is reasonably clear and provides basic coverage for most of the key areas.	5
Introduction (0-10 Marks)	The introduction is basic with limited theoretical underpinning, definition of the project and reference to relevant concepts in the field of artificial intelligence.	5
Literature Review (0-20 Marks)	There is limited coverage and the sources selected could be more relevant as they are not a good match to the subject matter under review; referencing and citation are present but limited.	9
Research Design (0-20 Marks)	The research design element is limited, with limited coverage of the dataset/subset selection, pre-processing, selection criteria for the methods applied, feature selection and parameterization, and lacks rationale or justification for the decisions made.	9
Experimental Results & Analysis (0-20 Marks)	Basic evidence of analysis and evaluation of the results from both solutions under review, although comparison may be limited and there is only very limited rationale or justification given for the approach(es) taken.	11

Conclusion (0-10 Marks)	The conclusion is basic, summarising the key points in the findings only and with limited consideration of limitations and further development.	5
Overall Academic Quality (0-10 Marks)	The documentation has a clear structure and is both legible and coherent but could flow better and make much better use of referencing and citation.	5
Overall Feedback	The report generally covers all the required sections. To enhance the report: expand the executive summary by including the key findings and description of the methods used, improve the literature review by incorporating recent research studies, describe the solution presented in the report within the introduction, and clearly highlight its relevance and potential impact of the problem. The report lacks the use of references and wider reading in general. By incorporating appropriate references, you can strengthen your arguments, provide evidence to support your claims and demonstrate a well-informed analysis.	49

If you have any questions regarding clarification of your marks or feedback please contact <u>cs-online-assessment-feedback@york.ac.uk</u>; please do not contact your assessor directly as it is necessary to maintain records of any communications regarding assessment through the designated contact address.