

# MA337 Real Analysis (H) Notes

Kai Chen

November 1, 2025

## **Abstract**

These notes are compatible to the MA337 course (2025 Fall).

# Contents

<b>1 Crash Course on Set Theory</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Metric Spaces</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3 Continuous Maps</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4 Compactness</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5 Lebesgue Measure and Integration</b>	<b>5</b>
5.1 Systems of Sets: Semi-rings, Rings, Algebras, $\sigma$ -Algebras, Borel $\sigma$ -Algebra, Measures . . . . .	6
5.2 Lebesgue Extension of a $\sigma$ -Additive Measure . . . . .	11
5.3 . . . . .	20

# 1 Crash Course on Set Theory

## 2 Metric Spaces

### 3 Continuous Maps

## 4 Compactness

## 5 Lebesgue Measure and Integration

## 5.1 Systems of Sets: Semi-rings, Rings, Algebras, $\sigma$ -Algebras, Borel $\sigma$ -Algebra, Measures

**Definition 5.1.** (Semi-Ring of Sets)

A system of sets  $S$  is called a **semi-ring** if it satisfies the following two axioms:

1. If  $A, B \in S$ , then  $A \cap B \in S$ .
  2. If  $A, B \in S$ , then there exist disjoint sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in S$  such that
- $$A \setminus B = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n A_i.$$

**Example 5.2.** (semi-open cells in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ )

$I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$ : intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $C := I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_n$  is called a **cell** in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**semi-open interval:** an interval that is closed at one end and open at the other end, e.g.,  $[a, b)$  or  $(a, b]$ .

Let  $S$  be the collection of all semi-open cells in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (not required to be finite!), i.e.  $S = \{[a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n] : a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}, a_i < b_i\}$ . Then  $S$  is a semi-ring.

**Warning:** Be cautious about the directions of semi-open cells! The directions of all cells must coincide.

*Remark 5.3. Question:* Can we take all closed/open cells in  $R^n$ ?

Answer: NO! For example,  $[0, 1] \cap [1, 2] = \{1\}$ ,  $(0, 1) \setminus (1/2, 1) = (0, 1/2]$ , both result in some elements not in the original system.

**Proposition 5.4.** If  $S$  is a semi-ring, then

1.  $\emptyset \in S$ .
2. *Axiom 2 can be strengthened to:*  $\forall A \in S, \forall A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in S, A_j \in A, \forall j, \text{disjoint, there exist disjoint sets } A_{m+1}, A_{m+2}, \dots, A_s \in S \text{ such that } A = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s A_i$ .

*Proof.* 1.  $\emptyset = A \setminus A, \forall A \in S$ .

2. One can prove by induction on  $m$ : splitting the whole area  $A$  into disjoint parts. It is easier to prove for the semi-ring {all cells in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ }.

*Remark 5.5.* We now show that with axiom 1 and the strengthened condition above we could say  $S$  is a semi-ring.

*Proof.* Now axiom 1 is satisfied.

Suppose  $A, B \in S$ , then  $A \setminus B = A \setminus (A \cap B)$ . Let  $A_1 = B, n = 1$ . By our strengthened condition, one could find disjoint sets  $A_2, A_3, \dots, A_s \in S$ , s.t.  $A = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s A_i$ , i.e.  $A \setminus B = \bigsqcup_{i=2}^s A_i$ . ✓

Thus, we have the following equivalent definition for semi-rings.

**Definition 5.6.** (Semi-Ring of Sets - Alternative Definition)

A system of sets  $S$  is called a **semi-ring** if it satisfies the following two axioms:

1. If  $A, B \in S$ , then  $A \cap B \in S$ .
2.  $\forall A \in S, \forall A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in S, A_j \subset A, \forall j, \text{disjoint, there exist disjoint sets } A_{m+1}, A_{m+2}, \dots, A_s \in S \text{ such that } A = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s A_i$ .

**Definition 5.7.** (Semi-ring with Unity)

A semi-ring  $S$  is called a **semi-ring with unity** if  $S \in 2^\Omega (\leftrightarrow \forall A \in S, A \in \Omega)$  and  $\Omega \in S$  for some set  $\Omega$ .  $\Omega$  is called the **unity** of  $S$ . Indeed,  $\Omega \cap A = A, \forall A \in S$ .

**Example 5.8.** 1. (a semi-ring with unity)

The semi-ring of all semi-open cells in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (to be more precise, we need to add the element  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into it) is a semi-ring with unity  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

2. (a semi-ring WITHOUT a unity)

The semi-ring of all finite semi-open cells in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : NO unity ( $\mathbb{R}^n$ )!

**Definition 5.9.** (Ring of Sets)

A system of sets  $\mathcal{R}$  is called a **ring** if it satisfies the following two axioms:

1.  $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{R}, A \cap B \in \mathcal{R}$ .
2.  $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{R}, A \Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) \in \mathcal{R}$ .

*Remark 5.10.* In fact, a ring  $R$  is closed under set difference and finite unions.

1.  $\forall A, B \in R, A \setminus B = A \Delta (A \cap B) \in R$ .
2.  $\forall A, B \in R, A \cup B = (A \Delta B) \Delta (A \cap B) \in R$ .

Conversely, we have

1.  $\forall A, B \in R, A \cap B = ((A \cup B) \setminus (A \setminus B)) \setminus (B \setminus A) \in R$ .
2.  $\forall A, B \in R, A \Delta B = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B) \in R$ .

**Definition 5.11.** (Ring of Sets - Alternative Definition)

A system of sets  $\mathcal{R}$  is called a **ring** if it satisfies the following two axioms:

1.  $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{R}, A \setminus B \in \mathcal{R}$ .
2.  $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{R}, A \cup B \in \mathcal{R}$ .

*Remark 5.12.* As a result, we arrive with the same definition of ring requiring closeness under set difference and finite unions.

**Example 5.13.** (a semi-ring but NOT a ring)

The semi-ring of all cells in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : not ensuring the closeness under union!

**Definition 5.14.** (Algebra)

A ring with unity is called an **algebra of sets**.

**Example 5.15.** (a ring but NOT an algebra)

Consider  $R = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : |A| < +\infty\}$ .  $R$  is a ring, but  $\mathbb{N} \notin R$ , which means it doesn't have a unity.

**Proposition 5.16.** 1. A ring is a semi-ring.

2.  $\forall$  system of sets  $P$ ,  $\exists$  a **minimal ring**  $\mathcal{R}(P) \supset P$ .

*Proof.* 1. Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a ring. Then  $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{R}, A \setminus B = A \setminus B(!) = A\Delta(A \cap B) \in \mathcal{R}$ .

2. Start with  $\mathcal{R}_0 = 2^\Omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is the union of all sets in  $P$ . Let  $\{R_\alpha\}$  be the collection of all rings containing  $P$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}(P) := \bigcap_\alpha R_\alpha$  is the minimal ring containing  $P$  (it is clearly again a ring!).  $\square$

**Proposition 5.17.** *Let  $S$  be a semi-ring, then*

$$\mathcal{R}(S) = \left\{ \bigcup_{j=1}^m A_j, A_j \in S, m \in \mathbb{N} : \text{arbitrary} \right\} \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \bigsqcup_{j=1}^s A_j, A_j \in S, s \in \mathbb{N} : \text{arbitrary} \right\}$$

*Proof.* " $\Leftrightarrow$ ":

Firstly, the claimed system  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  is indeed a ring.

$$A = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^s A_j, B = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m B_i, A \cap B = \sqcup_{i,j} (A_j \cap B_i) \in S \subset \mathcal{R}(S).$$

$$\Rightarrow A\Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cap (B \setminus A) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m \cap_{i=1}^m (A_j \setminus B_i) \in S \subset \mathcal{R}(S).$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  is a ring.

Next,  $\forall$  other ring  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(S)$  containing  $S$ , it must contain all elements of  $\mathcal{R}(S)$ .

i.e.  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(S) \supset \mathcal{R}(S) \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(S)$  is the minimal ring containing  $S$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5.18.** A system of sets  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a  **$\sigma$ -algebra** if  $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^\Omega, \Omega \in \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}$  is an algebra with unity  $\Omega$ , and  $\forall A_1, A_2, \dots$  (finite or infinite family of sets!) with  $\forall j : A_j \in \mathcal{A}$  it holds  $\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty A_j \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 5.19.** 1. A  $\sigma$ -algebra is closed under taking the implement:  $A^c = \Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}$  since a  $\sigma$ -algebra is a ring with unity  $\Omega$ . It is closed under set difference.

2.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$  since  $\emptyset = \Omega^c$  or  $\emptyset = \Omega \setminus \Omega$ .

3. A  $\sigma$ -algebra is closed under finite or countable union thanks to its definition and the fact that  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$

4. A  $\sigma$ -algebra is closed under finite or countable intersection:

$\forall A_1, A_2, \dots$  (finite or infinite family of sets!) with  $\forall j : A_j \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^\infty A_j = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty (\Omega \setminus A_j) \in \mathcal{A}$$

5. A  $\sigma$ -algebra is closed under countable symmetric difference.

**Remark 5.20.** Question: We have so many seemingly equivalent conditions for the definition of a  $\sigma$ -algebra, what are the least number of conditions we need to define/prove a  $\sigma$ -algebra?

Answer: I prefer the following three minimal conditions:

1.  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$ .

2. If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A^c = (\Omega \setminus A) \in \mathcal{A}$ .

3. If  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty A_j \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 5.21.**  $\forall S \in 2^\Omega, \exists!$  minimum  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}(S) \supset S$ .

*Proof.* Similar as the proof for  $\mathcal{R}(S)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.22.* Upshot 1:

In general:

System of sets  $\Rightarrow$  Semi-ring  $\Rightarrow$  Ring  $\Rightarrow$  Algebra with unity  $\Rightarrow \sigma$ -Algebra.

Now, start with a semi-ring with unity  $S$

$\rightarrow$  could generate a ring  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  (still equipped with a unity  $\Omega$ )

$\rightarrow$  A ring with unity is actually an algebra with unity!

$\rightarrow$  An algebra of sets:  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R}(S)) = \mathcal{A}(S)$ .

*Remark 5.23.* Upshot 2:

A system of sets  $S$

$\rightarrow$  ensuring the two axioms: closeness under intersection and being able to be decomposed into some disjoint subsets

$\rightarrow$  A semi-ring!

$\rightarrow$  could generate a ring  $\mathcal{R}(S)$ !

$\rightarrow$  A ring which satisfies closeness under: (intersection and symmetric difference) or (union and difference)

$\rightarrow$  equip with a unity

$\rightarrow$  An algebra of sets!

**Definition 5.24.** (Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra)

The **Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra** on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as the minimum  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Remark 5.25.* Note that  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  also contains all closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  since it is closed under difference (open  $\rightarrow$  semi-open  $\rightarrow$  closed).

Thus, an alternate definition of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the minimum  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Definition 5.26.** (Measure on a Semi-Ring)

Let  $S$  be a semi-ring. A function  $\mu : S \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is called a (**finitely additive**) **measure on  $S$**  if it satisfies the following two axioms:

1. (Non-negativity)  $\forall A \in S, \mu(A) \geq 0$ .
2. (Finite Additivity) If  $A, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in S$  such that  $A = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n A_j$ , then  $\mu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j)$ .

**Proposition 5.27.** 1.  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .

2.  $\forall A, B \in S, A \subset B$ , we have  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ .

*Proof.* 1.  $\emptyset = \emptyset \cup \emptyset \Rightarrow \mu(\emptyset) = 2\mu(\emptyset)$ .

2. Since  $S$  is a semi-ring, there exist  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m \in S$ , s.t.  $B \setminus A = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m A_j$

$$\Rightarrow B = A \bigsqcup (\bigsqcup_{j=1}^m A_j) \Rightarrow \mu(B) = \mu(A) + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_j) \geq \mu(A).$$

□

**Example 5.28.** On the semi-ring  $\{\text{all finite semi-open cells in } \mathbb{R}^n\}$ , we define a measure as follows:

A finite semi-open cell  $C = I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , define  $\mu(C) := l(I_1) \times l(I_2) \times \dots \times l(I_n)$ , where  $l(I)$  := length of  $I$  and we are measuring the cell's "volume".

This  $\mu$  is called the **Lebesgue measure on all finite semi-open cells in  $\mathbb{R}^n$** .

**Proposition 5.29.**  $\forall$  measure on a semi-ring  $S$  can be extended (with identical properties) to  $R(S)$ .

*Proof.* For  $A = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m A_j \in \mathcal{R}(S)$  with  $A_j \in \mathcal{R}(S)$ , define  $\mu(A) := \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_j)$ . (We need to firstly deal with  $A_j \in S$ , and then gradually scan the whole  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  based on measure-already-defined sets.)

Well-defined (Correctness): Suppose  $A = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^p A_j = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s A'_i$ . We have

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \mu(A_j) = \{\text{using the finite additivity of } \mu, \text{ and } A_j = A_j \cap A = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s (A_j \cap A'_i)\} \\ = \sum_{j=1}^p (\sum_{i=1}^s \mu(A_j \cap A'_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^s (\sum_{j=1}^p \mu(A'_i \cap A_j)) = \sum_{i=1}^s \mu(A'_i). \checkmark$$

Non-negativity: Clearly,  $\mu(A) \geq 0$ .  $\checkmark$

Finite Additivity: Suppose  $A, B \in R(S) : A \cap B = \emptyset$ .  $A = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^p A_j, B = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^q B_i$ , with  $A_j, B_i \in S$ .

$$\Rightarrow A \sqcup B = (\bigsqcup_{j=1}^p A_j) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{i=1}^q B_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(A \sqcup B) = \sum_{j=1}^p \mu(A_j) + \sum_{i=1}^q \mu(B_i)$$

Same for finite union of sets.  $\checkmark$

□

**Proposition 5.30.** (*Properties of a Measure on a ring  $\mathcal{R}$* )

1.  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .
2. If  $A, B \in R, A \subset B$ , then  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ .
3. (**Semi-Additivity**) If  $A \subset \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n A_j$ , with  $A, A_j \in R$ , then  $\mu(A) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j)$ .

Now, switch from  $\bigsqcup_{j=1}^n$  to  $\bigsqcup_{j=1}^n$ :

Set  $A'_1 := A_1, A'_2 := A_2 \setminus A_1, A'_3 := A_3 \setminus \bigsqcup_{j=1}^2 A_j, \dots$

Now, we have  $\bigsqcup_{j=1}^n A_j = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n A'_j$ .

Thus,  $A \subset \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n A'_j$  (even more:  $A = (\bigsqcup_{j=1}^n A'_j) \cap A = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n (A'_j \cap A)$ !).

Then,  $\mu(A) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n \mu(A'_j \cap A) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A'_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j)$ .

*Remark 5.31.* Question: Could prop. 5.30 (3) maintain for a measure on a semi-ring? Why?

Answer: NO!!! The key difference between a semi-ring and a ring is that: in a semi-ring  $S$ , the difference between sets may not belong to  $S$ , which means though they could be represented as disjoint unions of sets in  $S$ , they do NOT have measure defined on them! Then the inequality chain cannot go forward anymore.

## 5.2 Lebesgue Extension of a $\sigma$ -Additive Measure

**Definition 5.32.** ( $\sigma$ -additivity)

A measure  $\mu$  on a semi-ring  $S$  is called to satisfy  **$\sigma$ -additivity**

(**countable-additivity**) if for any  $A \in S$ ,  $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset S$  such that  $A = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$ , we have  $\mu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$ .

*Remark 5.33.* A  $\sigma$ -algebra is not necessarily  $\sigma$ -additive!

Also note that  $\sigma$ -additivity always implies

**semi- $\sigma$ -additivity:**  $\forall A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j, A, A_j \in S, \mu(A) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$ .

And more importantly, finite additivity implies semi- $\sigma$ -additivity also!

**Example 5.34.** 1. Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S = 2^{\Omega}$ . Define  $\mu(A) := \sum_{j \in A} p_j$ , where  $p_j$  is the "weight" assigned to element  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j = 1$  (or any finite number). Then  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive measure on  $S$ .

2. Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S = 2^{\Omega}$ . Define  $\mu(A) := |A|$  (if  $A$  is infinite,  $\mu(A) := +\infty$ ). Then  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive measure on  $S$ . (View "weight" being 1 for all elements. This is the case violating the requirement " $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j = \text{any finite number}$ " in example 1.)

3. (Lebesgue measure on all finite semi-open cells in  $R^n$ )

Let  $S = \{\text{all finite semi-open cells in } \mathbb{R}^n\}$ . We know that  $S$  is a semi-ring.

$\mu(C) := l(I_1) \times l(I_2) \times \dots \times l(I_n)$ , where  $l(I) := \text{length of } I$ .

Then  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive measure on  $S$ .

*Proof.* We already know that  $\mu$  is a measure on the semi-ring  $S$ .  $\mu$  is finitely additive.

Suppose  $A \in S, \{A_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in S, A = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$ .

WTS:  $\mu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$

Step 1:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, A \supseteq \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n A_j$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j) = \{\text{finit-additivity}\} = \mu(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^n A_j) \leq \mu(A)$$

$\Rightarrow$  Take limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j) \leq \mu(A)$ . ✓

Step 2: Let  $A = [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \times \dots \times [\alpha_n, \beta_n]$  be a finite semi-open cell in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and suppose  $A = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$ , where each  $A_j$  is also a semi-open cell, and the  $A_j$ 's are pairwise disjoint.

Step 2.1: Partition of  $A$  into uniform subcells.

For each integer  $m \geq 1$ , divide each coordinate interval  $[\alpha_i, \beta_i]$  into  $m$  equal subintervals:  $I_{i,k_i}^{(m)} = [\alpha_i + k_i(\beta_i - \alpha_i)/m, \alpha_i + (k_i + 1)(\beta_i - \alpha_i)/m], k_i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ .

Define the finite family of subcells  $\mathcal{Q}_m = \left\{ Q_k^{(m)} = I_{1,k_1}^{(m)} \times \dots \times I_{n,k_n}^{(m)} : 0 \leq k_i \leq m-1 \right\}$ .

Then the cells in  $\mathcal{Q}_m$  are pairwise disjoint and satisfy  $A = \bigsqcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_m} Q$ .

In fact,  $|\mathcal{Q}_m| = m^n$ , which is finite. By finite additivity of  $\mu$ ,  $\mu(A) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_m} \mu(Q)$ .

Step 2.2: Classification of subcells.

For each  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_m$ , there are two possibilities:

1.  $Q \subset A_j$  for some  $j$ ;
2.  $Q$  intersects at least two distinct sets  $A_{j_1}, A_{j_2}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{Q}_m^{(1)} = \{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_m : \exists j, Q \subset A_j\}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_m^{(2)} = \mathcal{Q}_m \setminus \mathcal{Q}_m^{(1)}$ .

Define  $A_m^{(1)} = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_m^{(1)}} Q$ ,  $A_m^{(2)} = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_m^{(2)}} Q$ .

Then  $A = A_m^{(1)} \sqcup A_m^{(2)}$ , and by finite additivity,  $\mu(A) = \mu(A_m^{(1)}) + \mu(A_m^{(2)})$ .

Step 2.3: Estimate of  $\mu(A_m^{(1)})$ .

Since every  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_m^{(1)}$  is contained in some  $A_j$ , and all  $Q$ 's are disjoint,  $\mu(A_m^{(1)}) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_m^{(1)}} \mu(Q) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$ .

Step 2.4: Estimate of  $\mu(A_m^{(2)})$ .

Each  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_m^{(2)}$  intersects at least two distinct cells  $A_{j_1}, A_{j_2}$ . Thus, every such  $Q$  intersects the boundary of some  $A_j$ .

Denote  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \partial A_j$ . Each  $\partial A_j$  is contained in a finite union of  $(n-1)$ -dimensional hyperrectangles parallel to the coordinate axes; hence  $\Gamma$  is a countable union of such hyperrectangles. Therefore,  $\mu(\Gamma) = 0$ .

Let  $\delta_m = \max_i \frac{\beta_i - \alpha_i}{m}$  be the mesh size of the partition  $\mathcal{Q}_m$ . Then  $A_m^{(2)}$  is contained in the  $\delta_m$ -neighborhood of  $\Gamma$  inside  $A$ . Because  $\Gamma$  has measure zero, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that the  $\eta$ -neighborhood of  $\Gamma$  has  $\mu$ -measure less than  $\varepsilon$ . For all sufficiently large  $m$  (namely  $m > (\max_i(\beta_i - \alpha_i))/\eta$ ), we have  $\delta_m < \eta$  and hence  $\mu(A_m^{(2)}) < \varepsilon$ . This shows  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_m^{(2)}) = 0$ .

Combining above,  $\mu(A) = \mu(A_m^{(1)}) + \mu(A_m^{(2)}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j) + \mu(A_m^{(2)})$ , and letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  gives  $\mu(A) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. (Finite Additive BUT NOT $\sigma$ -Additive)

Let  $\Omega = (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . Define the collection  $\mathcal{R} = \{A \subset \Omega : A \text{ is finite or co-finite in } \Omega\}$ , where ‘‘co-finite’’ means that  $\Omega \setminus A$  is finite. Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring, since the family of all finite or co-finite subsets of any countable set is closed under finite unions and differences.

Define  $\mu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\mu(A) = 0$ , if  $A$  is finite;  $1$ , if  $A$  is co-finite in  $\Omega$ .

We verify that  $\mu$  is finitely additive.

If  $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$  are disjoint, then:

1. If both  $A$  and  $B$  are finite,  $A \cup B$  is finite, so  $\mu(A \cup B) = 0 = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ .
2. If one is finite and the other co-finite, their union is co-finite, so  $\mu(A \cup B) = 1 = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ .
3. It is impossible for two disjoint co-finite subsets to exist in  $\Omega$ , so no contradiction arises.

Hence  $\mu$  is finitely additive.

Now enumerate  $\Omega = \{q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots\}$  and set  $A_j = \{q_j\}$ .

Then each  $A_j$  is finite, hence  $\mu(A_j) = 0$ . Also note that  $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$ .

If  $\mu$  were  $\sigma$ -additive, we would have  $\mu(\Omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j) = 0$ . But by definition  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ . Therefore  $\mu$  FAILS to be  $\sigma$ -additive, even though it is finitely additive.

*Remark 5.35.* A measure  $\mu$  with  $\sigma$ -additivity on  $S$  could extend to a measure with  $\sigma$ -additivity on  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  by defining  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^m A_j\right) := \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_j)$ , with  $A_j \in S$ : disjoint.

While  $\sigma$ -additivity on  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  can be derived from  $\sigma$ -additivity on  $S$ , note that we also have a weaker condition satisfied: **semi- $\sigma$ -additivity**, i.e.  $\forall A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty A_j, A, A_j \in \mathcal{R}(S), \mu(A) \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu(A_j)$ .

**Definition 5.36.** (outer Lebesgue measure of a set E)

Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -additive measure on a semi-ring  $S$  with unity  $\Omega$  (so,  $S \subset 2^\Omega$ ). Let  $\mathcal{R}(S) = \mathcal{A}(S)$  — the minimum algebra containing  $S$ . For any  $E \subset \Omega$ , define

$$\mu^*(E) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu(A_j) : A_j \in S, A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty A_j \right\}.$$

Then,  $\mu^*$  is called the **outer(exterior) Lebesgue measure of a set E** induced by  $\mu$  on  $\Omega$ .

*Remark 5.37.* The outer measure  $\mu^*$  of a set  $E$  always exists (may be infinite), since

1.  $\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu(A_j) : A_j \in S, A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty A_j \right\}$  at least contains  $\Omega$ ;
2. Consider the real numbers in  $\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu(A_j) : A_j \in S, A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty A_j \right\}$ , they have lower bound 0. By the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$ , the infimum exists.

Warning:

In general, one CANNOT claim that  $\mathcal{A}(S) \supset \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ . This is also the key problem of out outer measure being not able to capture all the information in the algebra generated by  $\Omega$ !

**Example 5.38.** (An invisible set under the outer measure)

Let  $S = \{[a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}, a < b\}$ , and define the premeasure  $\mu([a, b)) = b - a$ . The outer measure  $\mu^*$  on  $2^\mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $\mu^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu(A_j) : A_j \in S, E \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty A_j \right\}$ .

Consider the set  $E = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ . We will show that  $\mu^*(E) = 1$ , while  $\mu^*(\{q\}) = 0$  for all  $q \in E$ . Hence,  $\mu^*(\bigcup_{q \in E} \{q\}) = 1 > 0 = \sum_{q \in E} \mu^*(\{q\})$ , which demonstrates that  $\mu^*$  is not countably additive, even for disjoint sets.

*Remark 5.39.* This example shows that  $\mu^*$  cannot "see" the internal structure of sets outside the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(S)$ . Although  $E$  is a countable, measure-zero set in the intuitive sense, any cover of  $E$  by rational half-open intervals must in fact cover the entire interval  $[0, 1]$ . Hence, the outer measure treats  $E$  as if it were as large as  $[0, 1]$ .

*Remark 5.40.* (The philosophy behind outer measure)

Why do we call it an "outer measure"?

The name comes from its construction principle: we measure a set *from the outside*. Given a subset  $E \subseteq \Omega$ , we generally cannot measure  $E$  directly, because  $E$  may be too irregular or may not belong to the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(S)$  where the original measure  $\mu$  is defined. Instead, we approximate  $E$  by sets  $A_j \in S$  that cover  $E$  from the outside and take the smallest possible total measure among all such coverings.

Formally,  $\mu^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_j \mu(A_j) : E \subseteq \bigcup_j A_j, A_j \in S \right\}$ , which expresses the idea of an *outer approximation*. The measure does not come from the intrinsic structure of  $E$ , but from the minimal "outer shell" built using measurable sets in  $S$ .

Philosophically,  $\mu^*$  represents the best information we can obtain about the size of  $E$  given our limited "vocabulary"  $S$ . It is an act of estimation under partial visibility: we look at  $E$  through a coarse geometric lens and ask, "How small can the total measure of the covering be if I only use shapes I can measure?"

Thus, it is called an *outer measure* because it always measures from the *outside*, enclosing  $E$  within measurable sets rather than dissecting it from the inside.

**Proposition 5.41.** 1.  $\mu^*$  always  $\exists$ , and  $\mu^*(A) \geq 0, \forall A \subset \Omega$ .

2. We can equivalently say in the definition of  $\mu^*$  that  $A_j$  are disjoint.

3.  $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}(S), \mu(A) = \mu^*(A)$

*Proof.* On one hand, by the semi- $\sigma$ -additivity,  $\mu(A) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$  if  $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \supset A$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Take  $\inf$ :  $\mu(A) \leq \mu^*(A)$ ;

On the other hand, take the trivial covering:  $A_1 = A$ ,

$$\mu(A) = \mu(A_1) = \mu(A_1 \sqcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \emptyset) \geq \mu^*(A),$$

$\Rightarrow \mu(A) = \mu^*(A)$ . □

4. If  $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \Omega$ , then  $\mu^*(E_1) \leq \mu^*(E_2)$  (since any covering of  $E_2$  is also a covering of  $E_1$ ).

5. (Semi- $\sigma$ -additivity of  $\mu^*$ )

If  $E \subset \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$ ,  $E, E_j \subset \Omega$ , then  $\mu^*(E) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_j)$ . (this CANNOT be improved even if  $E = \sqcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$  — check our warning above!)

*Proof.*  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,

$\forall j$ , choose  $\{A_{j,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset S$  such that  $E_j \subset \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{j,k}$  and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_{j,k}) \leq \mu^*(E_j) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j} \text{ (thanks to the infimum property).}$$

Thus,  $E \subset \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \subset \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{j,k}$ .

Thus, by the definition of  $\mu^*$  and semi- $\sigma$ -additivity of  $\mu$ ,

$$\mu^*(E) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_{j,k}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mu^*(E_j) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_j) + \varepsilon.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , we get the desired result. □

**Example 5.42.** Let's fix a bounded cell  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $S = \{\text{all cells } C \subset \Omega\}$ .

Define  $\mu(\{p\}) = 0$  for all  $p \in \Omega$ . Consider  $E = \Omega \cap \mathbb{Q}^n, E = \{q_1, q_2, \dots\}$

$$\Rightarrow \mu^*(E) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(\{q_j\}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(\{q_j\}) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu^*(E) = 0.$$

$$\mu^*(\Omega \setminus E) \leq \mu^*(\Omega) = \mu(\Omega)$$

But by semi- $\sigma$ -additivity,  $\mu(\Omega) = \mu^*(\Omega) \leq \mu^*(E) + \mu^*(\Omega \setminus E) = \mu^*(\Omega \setminus E)$ .

$\Rightarrow \mu^*(\Omega \setminus E) = \mu(\Omega)$ , which means that the outer measure CANNOT distinguish the counterable but sparse set  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ .

**Definition 5.43.** Let  $S$  be a semi-ring with unity  $\Omega$ , and  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -additive measure on  $S$ .  $R(S) = \mathcal{A}(S)$  — the minimum algebra containing  $S$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(S) \subset 2^{\Omega}$ . A set  $E \subset \Omega$  is called **(Lebesgue) measurable** if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists B_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{A}(S)$  such that  $\mu^*(E \Delta B_{\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$ .

**Example 5.44.** In this setting, let  $\mu^*(E) = 0$ , then  $E$  is measurable: Choose  $B_{\varepsilon} = \emptyset$ , then  $\mu^*(E \Delta B_{\varepsilon}) = \mu^*(E) < \varepsilon$ .

*Remark 5.45.* The definition of a (Lebesgue) measurable set captures the idea of *approximability by “nice” sets*. A set  $E \subset \Omega$  is called measurable if it can be arbitrarily well approximated by sets  $B_{\varepsilon}$  from the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(S)$ , in the sense that the “disagreement region” between  $E$  and  $B_{\varepsilon}$ , namely the symmetric difference  $E \Delta B_{\varepsilon}$ , has arbitrarily small outer measure:  $\mu^*(E \Delta B_{\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Intuitively, this means that even if  $E$  itself may be irregular or complicated, we can always find a clean, measurable set  $B_\varepsilon$  that almost coincides with  $E$  up to an arbitrarily small “error area.” Measurable sets are precisely those whose geometry can be faithfully captured through such approximations.

In the above example, if  $\mu^*(E) = 0$ , then  $E$  is trivially measurable. Indeed, we can take  $B_\varepsilon = \emptyset$ , so that  $\mu^*(E \Delta B_\varepsilon) = \mu^*(E) = 0 < \varepsilon$ . This illustrates that every *measure-zero set* is measurable: such sets are geometrically “invisible” to the outer measure, since they can be ignored without affecting any measured quantity.

Setting:

- $(\Omega, S, \mu)$  —  $\Omega$  - set,  $S$  - semi-ring with unity  $\Omega$ ,  $\mu$  -  $\sigma$ -additive measure on  $S$
- directly extend to  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}(S), \mu)$
- introduce  $\mu^*$  on the whole  $2^\Omega$
- $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}(\Omega), \mu)$ , with  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ : collection of all measurable sets in  $\Omega$ .
- $A \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$  if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists B_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}(S)$  such that  $\mu^*(A \Delta B_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 5.46.** *In the above setting, let  $\mathcal{M}(S)$  be the collection of all measurable sets and we set  $\mu(A) := \mu^*(A)$ ,  $\forall A \in M(S)$ . Then,*

1.  $\mathcal{M}(S)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

*( $M(S)$  extends the original algebra  $\mathcal{A}(S)$ .)*

2.  $\mu^*$  is  $\sigma$ -additive on  $\mathcal{M}(S)$ .

*( $M$  extends the original measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{A}(S)$ .)*

*Proof.* First of all, we know that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ .

Step I: prove if  $A \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ , then  $\Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists B_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}(S)$  such that  $\mu^*(A \Delta B_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ .

Consider  $\Omega \setminus B_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then, note  $(\Omega \setminus A) \Delta (\Omega \setminus B_\varepsilon) = A \Delta B_\varepsilon$ .

Thus,  $\mu^*((\Omega \setminus A) \Delta (\Omega \setminus B_\varepsilon)) < \varepsilon \Rightarrow \Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ .

Step II: prove  $\forall A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ , we have  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ .

Only need to prove for  $n = 2$  (others by induction).

$A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{A} : \mu^*(A_1 \Delta B_1) < \varepsilon, \mu^*(A_2 \Delta B_2) < \varepsilon$ .

$A = A_1 \cup A_2$ , we will approximate by  $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ .

Since  $(A_1 \cup A_2) \Delta (B_1 \cup B_2) \subset (A_1 \cup B_1) \Delta (A_2 \cup B_2)$ ,

$\mu^*(A \Delta B) < \mu^*(A_1 \Delta B_1) + \mu^*(A_2 \Delta B_2) < 2\varepsilon$

$\Rightarrow A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ .

Thus, the first statement is proved.

**Corollary 5.47.**  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$  is an algebra.

*Proof.* • contains  $\Omega$ .

- closed under taking union: proved above.
- closed under intersection:
- closed under symmetric difference:  $A \Delta B =$

□

Step III: prove  $\mu^*$  is finitely additive on  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ .

So,  $\forall A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ , we need to show  $\mu(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n) = \mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2) + \dots + \mu(A_n)$ .

Similarly, only need to show for  $n = 2$ .

Take  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ ,  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ .

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{A}(S) : \mu^*(A_1 \Delta B_1) < \varepsilon, \mu^*(A_2 \Delta B_2) < \varepsilon$ .

Since  $B_1 \cap B_2 \subset (A_1 \Delta B_1) \cup (A_2 \Delta B_2)$ , we have  $\mu^*$

Step IV: prove  $\mu^*$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ .

...

...

Replace by disjoint union: let  $A'_1 = A_1, A'_2 = A_2 \setminus A_1, A'_3 = A_3 \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2), \dots$

Then, we have  $A = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A'_i$ .

We have

Step V: prove  $\mu^*$  is  $\sigma$ -additive on  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ .  
WTS:  $\forall A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ , we have  $\mu^*(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n) = \mu^*(A_1) + \mu^*(A_2) + \dots + \mu^*(A_n)$ .

□

So, we end up with a triple:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}(\Omega), \mu)$  — (set  $\Omega$ ,  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\sigma$ -additive measure on  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ ).

**Definition 5.48.** (Space with Measure)

Such a triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  ( $\mathcal{A}$  is some  $\sigma$ -algebra) is called a **space with measure**.

## 5.3

**Definition 5.49.** (Completeness)

If  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a space with measure, then it's called **complete** if  $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(A) = 0$ , it holds  $\forall E \subset A, E \in \mathcal{A}$  (and hence  $\mu(E) = 0$ ).

**Example 5.50.** For  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}(\Omega), \mu)$ , we always have completeness:

$$\mu(A) = 0, E \subset A \Rightarrow 0 \leq \mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(A) = 0 \Rightarrow E \subset \mathcal{M}(\Omega).$$

BUT this FAILS in general. For example,  $\exists$  measure 0 non-Borel sets, which is contained in some measure 0 Borel sets, so Lebesgue measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , restricted to Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra is incomplete.

However,

**Theorem 5.51.** For any space with measure  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , the following holds:

1.  $\forall A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \subset \dots$  with  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ , it holds  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i)$ .
- 2.

Question: What about  $\infty$ -valued measures?

Consider a space with measure  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , where  $\mu$  is a  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ -valued measure. The definition of  $\sigma$ -additivity is repeated word-by-word.

Then we easily deduce several similar properties.

**Proposition 5.52.** 1.  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .

2. If  $A \subset B$ , then  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ .
3. If  $A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$ , then  $\mu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$ .

**Definition 5.53.** A space with  $\infty$ -valued measure is called  **$\sigma$ -finite** if  $\Omega = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega_k$ ,  $\Omega_k \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mu(\Omega_k) < \infty$ , then  $\forall A \subset \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mu(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A \cap \Omega_k) =: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k(A)$ .

So, essentially,  $\mu$  is obtained from  $\mu_k$  for  $k=1$  to  $\infty$ , with each  $\mu_k$  defined on  $\mathcal{A} \cap 2^{\Omega_k}$ .

**Example 5.54.**  $\mathbb{R}^n = \bigsqcup_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} [i_1, i_1 + 1) \times [i_2, i_2 + 1) \times \dots \times [i_n, i_n + 1)$ ,  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .