

# Math 620: Homework 3, Functions

Due on Wednesday, September 16, 2015

*Boynton 10:00*

**Kailee Gray**

**Exercise 2.1.1:** Determine whether the given function is one-to-one and whether it is onto.

(a)  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; f(x) = x + 3$

(1-1) This function is one-to-one: If  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ , then  $x_1 + 3 = x_2 + 3$  implies  $x_1 = x_2$ .

(onto) This function is onto: for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y - 3 \in \mathbb{R}$  will map to  $y$ ;  $f(y - 3) = y - 3 + 3 = y$ .

(b)  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1$

(1-1) This function is not one-to-one: notice  $f(0) = 1 = f(-2)$ , but  $0 \neq -2$ .

(onto) This function is onto: for any  $y \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\sqrt{y} \in \mathbb{C}$  so  $-1 \pm \sqrt{y} \in \mathbb{C}$  will map to  $y$ ;  
 $f(-1 \pm \sqrt{y}) = (-1 \pm \sqrt{y})^2 + 2(-1 \pm \sqrt{y}) + 1 = 1 \pm 2\sqrt{y} + y - 2 \pm 2\sqrt{y} + 1 = y$ .

(c)  $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n; f([x]_n) = [mx + b]_n$  where  $m, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

(1-1) This function is not one-to-one: Let  $n = 8, m = 4, b = 1$ . Then,  $f([0]_8) = [4 \cdot 0 + 1] = 1 = [4 \cdot 2 + 1] = f([2]_8)$  but  $[0]_8 \neq [2]_8$ .

However, note if  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , then  $f$  is 1-1. if  $f([x_1]_n) = 1 = f([x_2]_n)$ , then  $[mx_1 + b]_n = [mx_2 + b]_n$  so  $mx_1 + b \equiv mx_2 + b \pmod{n}$  which, since  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , implies  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{n}$ .

(onto) This function is not onto: using  $n = 8, m = 4, b = 1$ , there is no element in  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  that maps to 2. If there were, there would be a solution to  $4x + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$  which would imply  $4x \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . If  $x$  is even,  $4x \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  and if  $x$  is odd,  $4x \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$  so no such  $x$  exists in  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ .

However, as above, if  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , then  $m$  has a unique multiplicative inverse,  $m^{-1}$ , mod  $n$  and so  $f$  is onto: for any  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $m^{-1}(y - b)$  maps to  $y$ .

continued on page 3...

**2.1.1 (d)**  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; f(x) = \ln(x)$

**(1-1)** This function is one-to-one: If  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ , then  $\ln x_1 = \ln x_2 + 3$ , so  $e^{\ln x_1} = e^{\ln x_2 + 3}$ , which implies  $x_1 = x_2$ .

**(onto)** This function is onto: for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e^y \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $f(e^y) = \ln(e^y) = y$ .

**Exercise 2.1.3:** For each one-to-one and onto function in exercise 2, find the inverse of the function.

**(a)**  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; f(x) = x + 3$

$$f^{-1}(x) = x - 3$$

**(b)**  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1$

$$f^{-1}(x) = -1 \pm \sqrt{x}$$

**(c)**  $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n; f([x]_n) = [mx + b]_n$  **where**  $m, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

If  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , the multiplicative inverse of  $m$  exists mod  $n$ . So let  $m^{-1}$  be the multiplicative inverse of  $m$ . Then,  $f^{-1}([x]_n) = [m^{-1} \cdot (x - b)]_n$ .

**(d)**  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; f(x) = \ln(x)$

$$f^{-1}(x) = e^x$$

**Exercise 2.1.9:** Show that the following formula yields a well-defined function.

**(a)**  $f : \mathbb{Z}_8 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_8; f([x]_8) = [mx]_8$ , **for any**  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

**(WD1)** For every  $[x]_8 \in \mathbb{Z}_8$  we have  $[x]_8 \in \mathbb{Z}_8$  so this condition is satisfied.

**(WD2)** Assume  $[x_1]_8 = [x_2]_8$ . Then,  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{8}$ . Multiply both sides of this congruence by  $m$  to obtain  $mx_1 \equiv mx_2 \pmod{8}$ . This implies  $f([x_1]_8) = f([x_2]_8)$ .

**Exercise 2.1.10:** Give an example to show that the formula does not define a function.

**(d)**  $p : \mathbb{Z}_{12} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_5; p([x]_{12}) = [2x]_5$

Notice  $[0]_{12} = [12]_{12}$  but  $f([0]_{12}) = [0]_5$  and  $f([12]_{12}) = [4]_5$ . Since  $f([0]_{12}) \neq f([12]_{12})$ , we have the same input mapping to two different outputs, so  $f$  is not a function.

**Exercise 2.1.11:** Let  $k$  and  $n$  be positive integers. For a fixed  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  define  $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$  by  $f([x]_n) = ([mx]_k)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Show that  $f$  defines a function if and only if  $k \mid mn$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $f$  is a function. Then,  $[0]_n$ , which is equivalent to  $[n]_n$ , must map to exactly one element in  $\mathbb{Z}_k$ . Thus  $f([0]_n) = f([n]_n)$  and so  $[m \cdot 0]_k = [m \cdot n]_k$ . Thus  $0 \equiv mn \pmod{k}$  which implies  $k \mid mn$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Next, suppose  $k \mid mn$ .

**(WD1)** For every  $[x]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  we have  $[mx]_k \in \mathbb{Z}_k$  so this condition is satisfied.

**(WD2)** We must show that when  $[x_1]_n = [x_2]_n$ ,  $f([x_1]_n) = f([x_2]_n)$ . Let  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $[x_1]_n = [x_2]_n$ . Then  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{n}$  and so  $x_1 = x_2 + bn$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Multiply both sides of  $x_1 = x_2 + bn$  by  $m$  to obtain  $mx_1 = mx_2 + mbn$ . Equivalently,  $mx_1 = mx_2 + b(mn)$ . Notice if  $k \mid mn$ ,  $mn = ka$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So we can substitute  $mn = ka$  into  $mx_1 = mx_2 + b(mn)$  to obtain  $mx_1 = mx_2 + b(ka)$ . Thus,  $mx_1 \equiv mx_2 \pmod{k}$  and  $f([x_1]_n) = f([x_2]_n)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.1.12:** Let  $k$  and  $n$  be positive integers such that  $k \mid mn$ . Show that  $f$  defined by:  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$ , and  $f([x]_n) = ([mx]_k)$  is a one-to-one correspondence if and only if  $k = n$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $f$  defined by  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$ , and  $f([x]_n) = ([mx]_k)$  is a one-to-one correspondence. Then,  $f$  is one-to-one and onto.

If  $f$  is one-to-one, the Pigeon-hole Principle as presented in Boyton implies  $n < k$  or  $n = k$ . If  $n < k$ ,  $|\mathbb{Z}_n| < |\mathbb{Z}_k|$ . Since  $f$  is one-to-one, there exist a maximum of  $n$  elements mapped to in  $|\mathbb{Z}_k|$  by  $f$ . So there would exist some  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_k$  such that there was no  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  with  $f([x]_n) = [b]_k$ . However,  $f$  is onto so such a  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  is a contradiction. Thus,  $k = n$ .

Because  $f$  is onto, there exists  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $f([x]_n) = [1]_k$ ;  $f([x]_n) = [mx]_k$ , so there must exist  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $mx \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ . Then,  $mx = 1 + bk$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Equivalently,  $1 = mx + (-b)k$ . We showed above that  $k = n$ , so we can substitute to obtain  $1 = mx + (-b)n$ . Since we can write 1 as a linear combination of  $m, n$ ,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $k = n$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . We will show  $f$  is one-to-one and then use theorem 14 in Boynton to show  $f$  is a one-to-one correspondence. Suppose  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $f([x_1]_n) = f([x_2]_n)$ . Since  $f([x_1]_n) = [mx_1]_k$  and  $f([x_2]_n) = [mx_2]_k$ , we have  $mx_1 \equiv mx_2 \pmod{k}$ . Since  $k = n$ , the previous equivalence can be written  $mx_1 \equiv mx_2 \pmod{n}$ .

If  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ ,  $m$  has a multiplicative inverse mod  $n$ , so multiplying both sides of  $mx_1 \equiv mx_2 \pmod{n}$  by this multiplicative inverse gives us  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{n}$ . Thus  $f([x_1]_n) = f([x_2]_n)$  implies  $[x_1]_n = [x_2]_n$ ; so  $f$  is one-to-one. If  $k = n$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_k = \mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $|\mathbb{Z}_k| = |\mathbb{Z}_n|$ . Thus, because  $f$  has domain and co-domain with the same cardinality and because  $f$  is one-to-one, theorem 14 in Boynton implies  $f$  is a one-to-one correspondence.

□

**Exercise 2.1.14:** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  be one-to-one and onto. Show that  $(g \circ f)^{-1}$  exists and  $(g \circ f)^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  be one-to-one and onto.

First we will show that  $(g \circ f)^{-1}$  exists. By proposition 2.1.5 (Beachy), if  $g, f$  are one-to-one and onto,  $g \circ f$  is one-to-one and onto. So, by proposition 2.1.7 (Beachy),  $(g \circ f)^{-1}$  exists and is unique. Next, we will prove  $(g \circ f)^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$ . By definition 2.1.6 (Beachy), if  $(g \circ f)^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $g \circ f$ ,  $(g \circ f) \circ (g \circ f)^{-1} = 1_C$  and  $(g \circ f)^{-1} \circ (g \circ f) = 1_A$ . So, we will evaluate  $(g \circ f) \circ (f^{-1} \circ g^{-1})$  and  $(f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}) \circ (g \circ f)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (g \circ f) \circ (f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}) &= g \circ (f \circ f^{-1}) \circ g^{-1} && \text{by associative property of } \circ \\ &= g \circ (1_B) \circ g^{-1} && \text{by definition 2.1.6 (Beachy)} \\ &= g \circ (1_B \circ g^{-1}) && \text{by associative property of } \circ \\ &= g \circ g^{-1} && \text{by definition 2.1.6 (Beachy)} \\ &= 1_C && \text{by definition 2.1.6 (Beachy.)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}) \circ (g \circ f) &= f^{-1} \circ (g^{-1} \circ g) \circ f && \text{by associative property of } \circ \\ &= f^{-1} \circ (1_B) \circ f && \text{by definition 2.1.6 (Beachy)} \\ &= f^{-1} \circ (1_B \circ f) && \text{by associative property of } \circ \\ &= f^{-1} \circ f && \text{by definition 2.1.6 (Beachy)} \\ &= 1_A && \text{by definition 2.1.6 (Beachy.)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$  is an inverse of  $g \circ f$ . Additionally,  $(g \circ f)^{-1}$  is unique so  $(g \circ f)^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.1.15, part 1:** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  be functions. Prove that if  $g \circ f$  is one-to-one, then  $f$  is one-to-one

*Proof.* Assume  $g \circ f$  is one-to-one. Let  $x_1, x_2 \in A$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Then, since  $g$  is a function,  $g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2))$  and so  $(g \circ f)(x_1) = (g \circ f)(x_2)$ . Since  $g \circ f$  is one-to-one,  $(g \circ f)(x_1) = (g \circ f)(x_2)$  implies  $x_1 = x_2$ . Thus,  $f$  is one-to-one.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.1.15, part 2:** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  be functions. Prove that if  $g \circ f$  is onto, then  $g$  is onto.

*Proof.* If  $g \circ f$  is onto for any  $c \in C$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $(g \circ f)(a) = c$ . This implies  $g(f(a)) = c$ .  $f$  is a function so for any  $a \in A$ , there exists  $b \in B$  with  $f(a) = b$ . Thus, for any  $c \in C$ , there exists  $b \in B$ ,  $f(a) = b$  such that  $g(b) = g(f(a)) = c$ . Thus  $g$  is onto.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.1.17:** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a function. Prove that  $f$  is onto if and only if  $h \circ f = k \circ f$  implies  $h = k$ , for every set  $C$  and all choice of functions  $h : B \rightarrow C$  and  $k : B \rightarrow C$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $f$  is onto. Then, for any  $b \in B$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = b$ . Next, assume  $h \circ f = k \circ f$ . Then, by definition of function equality, for all  $a \in A$ ,  $(h \circ f)(a) = (k \circ f)(a)$ . Equivalently,  $h(f(a)) = k(f(a))$ . Again, since  $f$  is onto and because  $h, k$  are functions, for any  $b \in B$  there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $h(b) = h(f(a))$  and  $k(b) = k(f(a))$ . Thus  $h(f(a)) = k(f(a))$ ,  $h(b) = h(f(a))$  and  $k(b) = k(f(a))$  imply  $h(b) = k(b)$  for any  $b \in B$ . Therefore,  $h = k$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $h \circ f = k \circ f$  implies  $h = k$ , for every set  $C$  and all choice of functions  $h : B \rightarrow C$  and  $k : B \rightarrow C$ . Since  $h \circ f = k \circ f$ ,  $h, k$  agree on the image of  $f$ . Suppose  $f$  is not onto. Then, there exists  $b \in B$  such that there are no  $a \in A$  with  $f(a) = b$ . We will define  $h, k$  such that  $h, k$  agree on the image of  $f$  but not on all of  $B$ . Let  $h(x) = 1$  if  $x = b$ ,  $k(x) = 2$  if  $x = b$ , and let  $h(x) = 0 = k(x)$  when  $x \neq b$ . Then,  $(h \circ f)(x) = (k \circ f)(x)$  for all  $x$  but  $h(x) \neq k(x)$  when  $x = b$ . This is a contradiction, so  $f$  must be onto.

$\square$

**Exercise 2.1.20:** Define  $f: \mathbb{Z}_{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$  by  $f([x]_{mn}) = ([x]_m, [x]_n)$ . Show that  $f$  is a function and that  $f$  is onto if and only if  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $f: \mathbb{Z}_{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$  defined by  $f([x]_{mn}) = ([x]_m, [x]_n)$  is a function and is onto. Since  $f$  is onto, there must exist some  $[x]_{mn} \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$  such that  $f([x]_{mn}) = ([0]_m, [1]_n)$ . Then,  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  and  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  so  $x = mk$  and  $x = 1 + ns$  for some  $k, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus,  $mk = 1 + ns$  and  $1 = ns + (-k)m$ . Since we can write 1 as a linear combination of  $m$  and  $n$ ,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

First, we will show  $f: \mathbb{Z}_{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$  defined by  $f([x]_{mn}) = ([x]_m, [x]_n)$  is a function.

**(WD1)** For every  $[x]_{mn} \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$  we have  $([x]_m, [x]_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$  so this condition is satisfied.

**(WD2)** Assume  $[x_1]_{mn} = [x_2]_{mn}$ . Then,  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{mn}$  so  $x_1 = x_2 + mn(k)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus,  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{m}$  and  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{n}$  and  $([x_1]_m, [x_1]_n) = ([x_2]_m, [x_2]_n)$ . This implies  $f([x_1]_{mn}) = f([x_2]_{mn})$ .

Now we will show  $f$  is onto. Consider any element in  $([b]_m, [a]_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ . If  $f([x]_{mn}) = ([b]_m, [a]_n)$ , then  $x \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $x \equiv a \pmod{n}$ . Because  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , the Chinese Remainder Theorem implies there exists a unique solution, mod  $mn$  to the previous system of congruences. Also, since  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$  there exists  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $rm + sn = 1$ . Following the construction in the proof of the Chinese Remainder Theorem, let  $x = arm + bsn$ , so that  $f([arm + bsn]_{mn}) = ([arm + bsn]_m, [arm + bsn]_n) = ([bsn]_m, [arm]_n)$ . Since  $sn \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  and  $rm \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , we have  $f([arm + bsn]_{mn}) = ([b]_m, [a]_n)$ .  $\square$