# Math 620: Homework 2, Congruence

Due on Friday, September 11, 2015  $Boynton\ 10:00$ 

Kailee Gray

Exercise 1.3.4 from B&B: Solve the conguence  $20x \equiv 12 \pmod{72}$ .

Note gcd(20,72) = 4. Note  $4 \mid 12$  so there will be 4 distinct solutions modulo 72. If  $20x \equiv 12 \pmod{72}$ , 20x = 12 + 72k for some integer k. 20, 12, 72 are all divisible by 4, so the previous equation is equivalent to 5x = 3 + 18k. This yields the congruence

$$5x \equiv 3 \pmod{18}. \tag{1}$$

Since gcd(5, 18) = 1 proposition 1.3.4 in B&B implies there exists some integer b such that  $5b \equiv 1 \pmod{18}$ . Apply the extended Euclidean Algorithm to find this b:

$$18 = 3 \cdot 5 + 3 \Leftrightarrow 3 = 18 - 3 \cdot 5, \qquad 5 = 3 \cdot 1 + 2 \Leftrightarrow 2 = 5 - 3 \cdot 1, \qquad 3 = 2 \cdot 1 + 1 \Leftrightarrow 1 = 3 - 2 \cdot 1.$$

Then, using back substitution we have

$$1 = 3 - (5 - 3 \cdot 1) \cdot 1 = 2 \cdot 3 - 5 = 2 \cdot (18 - 3 \cdot 5) - 5 = 2 \cdot 18 - 6 \cdot 5 - 5 = 2 \cdot 18 - 7 \cdot 5$$

. Thus, b = -7 which is equivalent to 11 mod 18. So, multiply both sides of equation (1) by 11 to obtain

$$11 \cdot 5x \equiv 11 \cdot 3 \pmod{18} \Leftrightarrow 55x \equiv 33 \pmod{18} \Leftrightarrow 1 \cdot x \equiv 15 \pmod{18} \Leftrightarrow x \equiv 15 \pmod{18}$$

Hence, the solutions of the given congruence are 15, 33, 51, 69 mod 72.

## Exercise 2

Exercise 1.3.12 of B&B: Show that  $4 \cdot (n^2 + 1)$  is never divisible by 11.

Proof. If there was an integer n such that  $11 \mid 4 \cdot (n^2 + 1)$ , then  $4 \cdot (n^2 + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$ . Suppose there is such an n. If  $4 \cdot (n^2 + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$ , then  $4n^2 + 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$  and  $4n^2 \equiv -4 \pmod{11}$ . Because  $\gcd(4, 11) = 1$ ,  $4n^2 \equiv -4 \pmod{11}$  is equivalent to  $n^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{11}$ . This is equivalent to  $n^2 \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$ . By the division algorithm, all integers can be written as  $k + 11 \cdot l$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{11}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; thus it suffices to check all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{11}$  to see if such an n exists:

$$0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}, \quad 1^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{11}, \quad 2^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{11}, \quad 3^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{11}, \quad 4^2 \equiv 5 \pmod{11}, \quad 5^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{11},$$

$$5^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{11}, \quad 6^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{11}, \quad 7^2 \equiv 5 \pmod{11}, \quad 8^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{11}, \quad 9^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{11}, \quad 10^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{11}.$$

Since no  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{11}$  satisfies the congruence  $4 \cdot (n^2 + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$  we know no such integer n exists. Hence,  $4 \cdot (n^2 + 1)$  is never divisible by 11.

Page 2 of 10

## Exercise 3

Exercise 1.3.14 of B & B: Find the units digit of  $3^{29} + 11^{12} + 15$ .

Proof. To find the units digit we will reduce  $3^{29} + 11^{12} + 15 \mod 10$ . Notice,

$$(3^{29} + 11^{12} + 15) \mod 10 = 3^{29} \mod 10 + 11^{12} \mod 10 + 15 \mod 10.$$

Now we will reduce each of these integers mod 10:

$$3^{29} \mod 10 = (3^4)^7 \cdot 3 \mod 10 = (81)^7 \cdot 3 \mod 10 = (1)^7 \cdot 3 \mod 10 = 1 \cdot 3 \mod 10 = 3 \mod 10,$$
 (2)

$$11^{12} \bmod 10 = (1)^{12} \bmod 10 = 1 \bmod 10, \tag{3}$$

and

$$15 \bmod 10 = 5 \bmod 10. \tag{4}$$

Thus,

$$(3^{29} + 11^{12} + 15) \mod 10 = 3 \mod 10 + 1 \mod 10 + 5 \mod 10 = (3 + 1 + 5) \mod 10 = 9 \mod 10.$$

Hence the units digit of  $3^{29} + 11^{12} + 15$  is 9.

#### Exercise 4

Exercise 1.3.20 of B & B: Solve the following system of congruences:

$$2x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}, \qquad 3x \equiv 4 \pmod{8} \tag{5}$$

First we will solve each of the congruences in equation 5 for x. By trial and error, -3 is found to be an inverse of 2 mod 7 and -5 is found to be an inverse of 3 mod 8. Applying these inverses we have:

$$-3 \cdot 2x \equiv -3 \cdot 5 \pmod{7}$$
,  $-6x \equiv -15 \pmod{7}$ ,  $1 \cdot x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ ,  $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ 

and

$$-5 \cdot 3x \equiv -5 \cdot 4 \pmod{8}$$
  $-15x \equiv -20 \pmod{8}$   $1 \cdot x \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$   $x \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ .

Now, using the construction within the proof of the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we will solve the system of equations,  $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ ,  $x \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$  which we showed is equivalent to the system given in (5). Since  $\gcd(7,8) = 1$ , theorem 1.3.6 implies the given system has a solution modulo  $7 \cdot 8$ . The congruence  $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$  gives us the equation x = 6 + 7k for some integer k. Then, substituting we obtain  $6 + 7k \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ , or equivalently,  $7k \equiv -2 \pmod{8}$ . Multiplying by 7, Since  $7 \cdot 7 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , gives us  $k \equiv -14 \pmod{8}$  or  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ . This yields the particular solution  $x = 6 + 7 \cdot 2 = 20$ . Thus, we write the solution to the given system of equations,  $x \equiv 20 \pmod{56}$ .

Exercise 1.3.24 of B&B: Show that the remainder of an integer n when divided by 9 is the same as the remainder of the sum of its digits when divided by 9.

*Proof.* We will show for any integer n written in decimal form as  $n = a_k a_{k-1} ... a_1 a_0$  satisfies the following equation:

$$n \equiv (a_k + a_{k-1} + \dots + a_1 + a_0) \mod 9.$$

If n has decimal digits  $a_k a_{k-1} ... a_1 a_0$  we can write n in expanded form:

$$n = 10^k \cdot a_k + 10^{k-1} \cdot a_{k-1} + \dots + 10^1 \cdot a_1 + 10^0 \cdot a_0.$$

If this equality holds, it must also be valid mod 9:

$$n \equiv (10^k \cdot a_k + 10^{k-1} \cdot a_{k-1} + \dots + 10^1 \cdot a_1 + 10^0 \cdot a_0) \mod 9.$$

Then, since  $10 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ , we can write

$$n \equiv (1^k \cdot a_k + 1^{k-1} \cdot a_{k-1} + \dots + 1^1 \cdot a_1 + 1^0 \cdot a_0) \mod 9.$$

Any power of 1 is 1, so we have

$$n \equiv (1 \cdot a_k + 1 \cdot a_{k-1} + \dots + 1 \cdot a_1 + 1 \cdot a_0) \mod 9.$$

Because 1 is the multiplicative identity, we have

$$n \equiv (a_k + a_{k-1} + \dots + a_1 + a_0) \mod 9.$$

Therefore, when divided by 9, the remainder of n is be the same as the remainder of the sum of its digits.

Exercise 1.3.26 of B&B: let p be a prime number and let a, b be any integers. Prove that  $(a+b)^p \equiv a^p + b^p \pmod{p}$ 

*Proof.* Let p be a prime number and let a, b be any integers. Using the binomial formula,

$$(a+b)^p = \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{p}{k} a^{p-k} \cdot b^k$$

Expanding this binomial we have

$$(a+b)^p = \binom{p}{0}a^p \cdot b^0 + \binom{p}{1}a^{p-1} \cdot b^1 + \binom{p}{2}a^{p-2} \cdot b^2 + \dots + \binom{p}{p-2}a^2 \cdot b^{p-2} + \binom{p}{p-1}a^1 \cdot b^{p-1} + \binom{p}{p}a^0 \cdot b^p.$$

Notice

$$\binom{p}{0}a^p \cdot b^0 = a^p \qquad \text{and} \binom{p}{p}a^0 \cdot b^p = b^p$$

Thus our goal is to show that for all  $1 \le k \le p-1$ ,

$$\binom{p}{k} a^{p-k} \cdot b^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Note

$$\binom{p}{k} = \frac{p!}{k!(p-k)!} = \frac{p \cdot (p-1)!}{k!(p-k)!} = p \cdot \frac{(p-1)!}{k!(p-k)!}.$$

The coefficients  $\frac{p!}{k!(p-k)!}$  are known to be integers from the binomial theorem. Also, since p is prime,  $\frac{p!}{k!(p-k)!}$  has p as a factor because p is a divisor of the numerator but not the denominator. Thus  $\frac{(p-1)!}{k!(p-k)!}$  is an integer and  $p \mid \binom{p}{k}$  for  $1 \le k \le p-1$ . Since  $\binom{p}{0} = \binom{p}{p} = 1$ , the coefficients on  $a^p$  and  $b^p$  are not divisible by p whereas when  $1 \le k \le p-1$ ,  $\binom{p}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . This implies  $\binom{p}{k}a^{p-k} \cdot b^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  when  $1 \le k \le p-1$ . Thus,

$$(a+b)^p \equiv \binom{p}{0}a^p \cdot b^0 + 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 + 0 + \binom{p}{p}a^0 \cdot b^p \pmod{p}$$
$$\equiv a^p + b^p \pmod{p}.$$

Exercise 7

Exercise 1.4.1(b): Make addition and multiplication tables for the set  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ .

| + | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 |

|   | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

Exercise 1.4.2(a) in B & B: Make multiplication table for  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .

|   | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

## Exercise 9

Exercise 1.4.3(b) in B & B: Find the multiplicative inverses [38] in  $\mathbb{Z}_{83}$ .

Since 83 is prime, [38] has multiplicative inverses (by corollary 1.4.6). To find  $[38]_{83}^{-1}$ , we can use the matrix form of the Euclidean algorithm:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 83 \\ 0 & 1 & 38 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 - 2R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 38 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 + -5R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 7 \\ -5 & 11 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 - 2R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 11 & -24 & 1 \\ -5 & 11 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 + -3R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 11 & -24 & 1 \\ -38 & 83 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus,  $11 \cdot 83 + -24 \cdot 38 = 1$ , which shows that  $[38]_{83}^{-1} = [-24]_{83} = [59]_{83}$ .

## Exercise 10

Exercise 1.4.9(a) in B & B: Let gcd(a, n) = 1. The smallest positive integer k such that  $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  is called the multiplicative order of [a] in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^{\times}$ . Find the multiplicative orders of [2] and [5] in f.

First, note that gcd(5,16) = 1, so we will find the multiplicative order of [5] in  $\mathbb{Z}_{16}^{\times}$  using theorem 1.4.11. Since  $\varphi(16) = 8$ , we know that  $5^8 \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ . However, by exercise 1.4.10, the multiplicative order of any element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{16}^{\times}$  must divide  $\varphi(16) = 8$ , so we must test 1, 2, 4, 8:

$$5^1 \equiv 5 \pmod{16}, \qquad 5^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, \qquad 5^4 \equiv (5^2)^2 \equiv 9^2 \equiv 81 \equiv 1 \pmod{16}.$$

Hence the multiplicative order of [5] in  $\mathbb{Z}_{16}^{\times}$  is 4.

Next, find the multiplicative order of [7] in  $\mathbb{Z}_{16}^{\times}$  by testing 1, 2, 4, 8:

$$7^1 \equiv 7 \pmod{16}, \qquad 7^2 \equiv 49 \equiv 1 \pmod{16}.$$

Hence the multiplicative order of [7] in  $\mathbb{Z}_{16}^{\times}$  is 2.

#### Exercise 11

Exercise 1.4.14 from B & B: If p is a prime number, show that [0] and [1] are the only idempotent elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Proof. Note that [0] is trivially idempotent since 0 times any integer in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  must be zero, so  $[0]^2 = [0]$ . Suppose there exists some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $[a]^2 = [a]$  but a > 1. Since  $[a]^2 = [a]$ ,  $a^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ . Because p is prime, all nonzero elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  have a multiplicative inverse. Thus, there exists some integer  $a^{-1}$  such that  $a^{-1} \cdot a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Multiply both sides of the congruence  $a^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$  by  $a^{-1}$  to obtain  $a^{-1} \cdot a^2 \equiv a^{-1} \cdot a \pmod{p}$ . Equivalently,  $a^{-1} \cdot a \cdot a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $1 \cdot a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Thus,  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  which contradicts our assumption that a is in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  but a > 1. Thus, [0] and [1] are the only idempotent elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

#### Exercise 12

Exercise 1.4.15 from B & B: If n is not a prime power, show that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has an idempotent element different from [0] and [1].

*Proof.* Assume n is not a prime power. Thus, n must have more than one prime factor so there must exist integers b and c such that  $b \mid n$ ,  $c \mid n$ , n = bc, and gcd(b, c) = 1. Because gcd(b, c) = 1, the Chinese Remainder Theorem implies a solution, x, exists mod bc to the following system of congruences:

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{b}, \qquad x \equiv 0 \pmod{c}.$$

Claim 1:  $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{bc}$ 

*Proof.* If  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{bc}$ ,  $bc \mid x \text{ implies } b \mid x, \text{ but } x \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ .

Claim 2:  $x \not\equiv 1 \pmod{bc}$ 

*Proof.* If  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{bc}$ ,  $bc \mid (x-1)$  implies  $c \mid (x-1)$ , but  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$ .

Notice if  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$  and  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$ , x = 1 + bk and x = cm for some integers k, m. If we multiply both sides of the equation x = 1 + bk by x we obtain  $x \cdot x = (1 + bk)x$ . This implies  $x^2 = 1 \cdot x + (bk) \cdot x$ . Since x = cm t,  $x^2 = x + (bk) \cdot cm$ . Thus,  $x^2 \equiv x \pmod{bc}$ .

Exercise 1.4.16 from B & B: An element [a] of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is said to be nilpotent if  $[a]^k = [0]$  for some k. Show that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements if and only if n has no factor that is a square (except 1).

*Proof.* First, assume n has no factor that is a square. Then, write the prime factorization of n:

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{\alpha_i}$$
, where  $p_i$  are prime,  $\alpha_i$ , are in  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ .

But, n has no square factors, so we can conclude that all  $\alpha_i = 1$ :

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i$$
 where  $p_i$  are prime.

We want to show that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements. Suppose that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has some nonzero nilpotent element,  $[a], [a] \neq [0]$ . Then,  $a^k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . This implies  $n \mid a^k$ . Equivalently,  $\prod_{i=1}^m p_i \mid a^k$ . Then, there exists some integer b such that  $a^k = b \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^m p_i)$ . So, for any  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,

$$a^k = p_j \cdot b \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} p_i \cdot \prod_{i=j+1}^m p_i.$$

Thus for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,  $p_j \mid a^k$ . Equivalently, for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,  $p_j \mid a \cdot a^{k-1}$ . So by corollary 1.2.6, we can inductively conclude that for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,  $p_j \mid a$ . Hence,  $\prod_{i=1}^m p_i \mid a$ . This contradicts our assumption that  $[a] \neq [0]$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements.

Next, assume  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements. Then, there are no  $[a] \neq [0]$  such that  $a^k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . Suppose n has some square factor,  $s^2 \neq 1$ , so  $n = t \cdot s^2$ . Note  $[st] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $[st] \neq [0]$  since  $n \nmid st$ ; but  $(ts)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . Thus, if  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements, n has no square factors.

Exercise 14

Exercise 1.4.17 from B & B: Compute  $\varphi(27), \varphi(81), \varphi(p^{\alpha})$ 

Using the formula in proposition 1.4.8, since  $27 = 3^3$ ,  $\varphi(27) = 27 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) = 18$ . Similarly,  $81 = 3^4$ , so  $\varphi(81) = 81 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) = 54$ . Finally,  $\varphi(p^{\alpha}) = p^{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = p^{\alpha} \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) = p^{\alpha-1}(p-1) = p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha-1}$ .

Give a proof that the formula for  $\varphi(n)$  is valid when  $n = p^{\alpha}$ .

To calculate  $\varphi(p^{\alpha})$ , we need to count the number of integers from the set  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$  that are relatively prime to  $p^{\alpha}$ . Note  $|\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}| = p^{\alpha}$ . To find  $\varphi(p^{\alpha})$ , we will first find all integers in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$  that are not relatively prime to  $p^{\alpha}$ . Consider  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$  such that  $\gcd(m, p^{\alpha}) \neq 1$ . To count how many m exist, we will prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 0.1.** The following statements are equivalent when p is prime,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $1 \le k < p^{\alpha}$ :

$$i. \gcd(k,p) = 1$$
 $ii. \gcd(k,p^{\alpha}) = 1$ 
 $iii. k \text{ is not a multiple of } p$ 

*Proof.* To show these statements are equivalent, it suffices to prove the following conditional statements:

```
(1) If gcd(k,p) = 1, then gcd(k,p<sup>\alpha</sup>) = 1.
(2) If gcd(k,p<sup>\alpha</sup>) = 1, then k is not a multiple of p.
(3) If k is not a multiple of p, then gcd(k,p) = 1.
```

- (1). Assume  $\gcd(k,p)=1$ . Note if  $\alpha=2$ , proposition 1.2.3 (d) implies  $\gcd(k,p^2)=1$ . Now, assume when  $\alpha=n$ ,  $\gcd(k,p^n)=1$ . If  $\gcd(k,p^n)=1$  and  $\gcd(k,p)=1$ , proposition 1.2.3 (d) implies  $\gcd(k,p^{n+1})=1$ . Thus, by the principle of induction,  $\gcd(k,p^\alpha)=1$  for any  $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ .
- (2). Assume  $gcd(k, p^{\alpha}) = 1$ . Then, by theorem 1.1.6 there exists integers x, y such that  $kx + p^{\alpha}y = 1$ . Equivalently,  $kx + p(p^{\alpha-1}y) = 1$ . Again, by theorem 1.1.6, this implies gcd(k, p) = 1. Then,  $p \nmid k$  so k is not a multiple of p.
- (3). Assume k is not a multiple of p. Then,  $p \nmid k$ . The only divisors of p are 1 and p so if  $p \nmid k$ , gcd(k, p) = 1.

By lemma 0.1, we know the following statements are equivalent:

i. 
$$gcd(k, p) \neq 1$$
  
ii.  $gcd(k, p^{\alpha}) \neq 1$   
iii.  $k$  is a multiple of  $p$ .

Thus, the only  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$  such that  $\gcd(m, p^{\alpha}) \neq 1$ , are multiples of  $p: 0, p, 2p, 3p, ..., p^{\alpha-1}p$ . So every  $p^{\text{th}}$  integer in  $\{0, 1, 2, ..., p^{\alpha} - 1\}$  is a multiple of p. Thus, there are  $p^{\alpha}/p = p^{\alpha-1}m \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha}}$  such that  $\gcd(m, p^{\alpha}) \neq 1$ .

Therefore, 
$$\varphi(n) = p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha-1}$$
.

## Exercise 15

Exercise 1.4.24 from B & B: Show that if p is a prime number, then the congruence  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  has only the solutions  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

Proof. Let p be a prime number and let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Note that  $x \equiv 1$  and  $x \equiv -1$  satisfy the given congruence since  $1^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $(-1)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Now, suppose there exists some other integer a such that  $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  but  $a \not\equiv 1, -1$ . Then,  $a^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , or equivalently,  $(a-1)(a+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Thus,  $p \mid (a-1)(a+1)$ . By corollary 1.2.6 in B & B, if  $p \mid (a-1)(a+1)$ , then  $p \mid (a-1)$  or  $p \mid (a+1)$ . if  $p \mid (a-1)$ , then  $a-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  which implies  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  which contradicts our assumption that  $a \not\equiv 1$ . If  $p \mid (a+1)$ ,  $a+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  which implies  $a \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  which contradicts our assumption that  $a \not\equiv -1$ . Thus, the congruence  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  has only the solutions  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

Exercise 1.4.27 from B & B: Show that if p is a prime number, then  $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

Proof. Let p be a prime number. Note that when p=2 and p=3,  $(2-1)! \equiv 1! \equiv -1 \pmod 2$  and  $(3-1)! \equiv 2! \equiv 2 \equiv -1 \pmod 3$ . We have shown the given congruence holds for p=2,3, so we will consider only p>3 and so that p is odd. Consider  $[a]_p$ . Since every integer  $1 \leq a \leq p-1$  is relatively prime to p, all  $[a]_p$  have unique multiplicative inverses in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Thus, (p-1)! is the product of all elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ . So for all  $[a]_p$  we can find a unique  $[a]_p^{-1}$ . Note that the only cases when  $[a]_p^{-1} = [a]_p$  can be found by applying exercise 24 in section 1.4 of B & B: if the only solutions to  $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  are  $\pm 1$ , then  $a^{-1}a^2 \equiv a^{-1} \pmod{p}$  implies  $\pm 1$  are the only solutions to  $a \equiv a^{-1} \pmod{p}$ . Thus for all  $a \neq 1, p-1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  there exists a unique  $a^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  with  $a \neq a^{-1}$ . Then all  $2 \leq a \leq p-2$  must have a multiplicative inverse  $2 \leq a^{-1} \leq p-2$ . Consider the product  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdots (p-3)(p-2)$  then rearrange and group this product so that each element is multiplied by its multiplicative inverse so that  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdots (p-3)(p-2) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Then, multiply both sides by p-1 to obtain  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdots (p-3)(p-2)(p-1) \equiv 1(p-1) \pmod{p}$ , or equivalently,  $1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdots (p-3)(p-2)(p-1) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Thus,  $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  when p is prime.