2b ∨ ¬2b: That is the Multiplicative Identity

Proof School Math Burst 2022

Caden Bacher, Alex Cao, Hannah Fox, Pico Gilman, Jackson Gish, Kailey Hua, Anna Johnston

Advisor: Dr. Shelly Manber

Identifying an isomorphism between a Boolean algebra and a substructure of a power set algebra

<u>Definition (Boolean algebra)</u>

A Boolean algebra is a set B with two binary operations \land ("and") and \lor ("or"), a unary operation \neg ("not"), and two special elements 0 and 1 such that for all a, b, c \in B, the following properties hold:

- Commutativity: $a \land b = b \land a$ and $a \lor b = b \lor a$
- Associativity: $a \land (b \land c) = (a \land b) \land c$ and $a \lor (b \lor c) = (a \lor b) \lor c$
- Distributivity: $a \land (b \lor c) = (a \land b) \lor (a \land c)$ and $a \lor (b \land c) = (a \lor b) \land (a \lor c)$
- Identities: $0 \lor a = a$ and $1 \land a = a$
- Complements: $a \lor \neg a = 1$ and $a \land \neg a = 0$

Examples

Consider a nonempty set X, and let P(X) be the power set of X, i.e. the set of all the subsets of X. Define

- \land to be intersections (\cap)
- ∨ to be unions (U)
- ¬ to be complement (c)
- 0 to be the empty set (Ø)
- 1 to be the full set X

Then $(P(X), \cap, \cup, c, \emptyset, X)$ is a Boolean algebra.

Another example is the infinite binary strings (sequences of 0s and 1s).

Initial Results

For all a, b \in B:

- $a \wedge a = a$ and $a \vee a = a$
- $1 \lor a = 1 \text{ and } 0 \land a = 0$
- $a \lor b = 1$ and $a \land b = 0 \Rightarrow b = \neg a$
- $\neg(\neg a) = a$
- $\neg(a \lor b) = \neg a \land \neg b \text{ and } \neg(a \land b) = \neg a \lor \neg b$

Not every Boolean algebra isomorphic to a power set algebra

- Consider the set of infinite sequences of 0s and 1s such that there are only finitely many 0s or finitely many 1s
- This is countably infinite

<u>Definition (atom & atomic)</u>

Given a Boolean algebra B, we can define a **partial ordering** on B by defining $a \le b$ if and only if $a \lor b = b$. (Equivalently, $a \le b$ if $a \land b = a$).

- An atom is a minimal non-zero element
- A Boolean algebra is atomic if no two distinct elements are greater than or equal to the same set of atoms
 - This means that every element can be built from a unique set of atoms using ∨

Proof of the finite case

- Not all Boolean algebras are atomic & not all Boolean algebras contain atoms
- All finite Boolean algebras contain at least one atom & are atomic

Every finite Boolean algebra is isomorphic the power set of the set of atoms

- This is true because each element is ≥ to a unique set of atoms
- Any set A of atoms is finite, so VA is an element which is ≥ to the atoms in A

<u>Definition (Boolean ring)</u>

A **ring** consists of a set with the binary operations +, -, and \cdot .

• A Boolean ring is a ring where x · x = x for all ring elements x

There is an equivalence between Boolean algebras and Boolean rings

- $a + b = (a \land \neg b) \lor (b \land \neg a) = (a \lor b) \land \neg (a \land b)$
- $a \cdot b = a \wedge b$
- $a \lor b = a + b + (a \cdot b)$
- $a \wedge b = a \cdot b$
- $\neg a = 1 + a$

Results about Boolean rings

- Every Boolean ring is commutative and has characteristic 2
- Every Boolean ring has zero divisors
- An ideal is prime if and only if it is maximal
- If I is a finitely generated ideal of a Boolean ring, I is principal
- In any infinite Boolean ring, there exist ideals which are not finitely generated

Correspondence between algebras & rings

- An ideal I in a Boolean ring B corresponds to a nonempty proper subset of B which is downwards closed
- A subring corresponds to a subset that is closed under union, intersection, and complement, and has the same 0 and 1

Proving the isomorphism

Every Boolean algebra embeds into a power set algebra—it is isomorphic to a substructure of a power set algebra

- Given any Boolean algebra B, B embeds into the power set of the set of its maximal ideals
- An element b ∈ B is sent to the set of maximal ideals that do not contain it
- Every ideal contains 0 but not 1, so 0 is sent to the empty set and 1 is sent to the complete set of all maximal ideals

 $b \mapsto \{maximal ideals not containing b\}$

- For every distinct pair of elements a, b
 ∈ B, one of them is not greater than the
 other one. Assume a ≱ b. Then a ∨ ¬b is
 contained in some maximal ideal I. I
 contains a but not b, so it distinguishes
 between a and b.
- This means that every element in B is sent to a different set of ideals, so this is an embedding

Further areas of research

All Boolean algebras are substructures of power set algebras. But what is missing?

- Do these missing elements look like gaps, holes, or something else?
- Are maximal ideals more special than just "half of the algebra"?
- The complement of a maximal ideal is an ultrafilter. How do those behave in an arbitrary Boolean ring, especially non-principal ones?