```
Kai Wong (704 451 679) mathematics 1516 Homework 4
la. set y(tin) = y(ti) + h[af(tiny(ti)) + bf(tin, y(tin)) + cf(tin, y(tin)) + df(tin, y(tins))]
             = y(ti)+ ahy'(ti) + bhy'(tin) + chy'(tin) + dhy'(tin) - (1)
   Taylor expand both sides:
        y(ti) + hy(ti) + 1= y"(ti) + 1= y"(ti) + 24 y"(ti) + 0(1)
        = y(t) + aby(t,) + bh (y(t) - hy"(t) + 2y"(t) - 6 y"(t) + 0(h))
                       + ch (y'(t) - 2hy" (t) + 2h2y"(t) - 4 h3y"(t) + 0(h4))
                       + dh(y'(t) - 3hy"(t) + 2h2y"(t) - 2h2y"(t) + o(h4))
         = y(ti)+ (a+ b+c+d) hy(ti)+ (-b-2c-3d) h2y"(ti)+ ($b+2c+$2d)h3y"(ti)+ (-6b-43c-22d) h4y""(ti)+ 0(h5)
                 => 1 = a+b+ c+d
                     == -b-2c-3d
                      1 = 2b+ 2c+3d
                      1 = 76 = 4c - 2d
                  solving, we get a = 55 b = -59 c = 37 b = -3
    Substitute back to (1):
         y(tin) = y(ti) + h [ 55/24 y)(ti) - 55/24 y)(tin) + 37/24 y)(tin) = 8 y)(tins) = 0 (h5)
          ⇒ Nit1 = W; + 24 [55 f(ti, Ni) - 59 f(ti, Ni+) + 37 f(ti, 2, Ni-2) - 9 f(ti, 2, Ni-3)]
             The order of the local truncation error is T(h) = O(h4) x
20. Set y(tit) = y(ti) + aftin, yin) + bf(tin yi)
            = y(ti) + ay'(tin) + by'(ti) -- (1)
    Taylor expand both sides:
     y(t;) + hy(t;) + h2 y(t;) + 0(h)
       = y(ti) + a(y(ti) + hy"(ti) + o(h2)) + by"(ti)
       = ytt.) + (a+b) y'tti) + ah y"(ti) + a O(h2)
           > atb=h, a= \ > b= \ 2
     Hence, we have Win = W; + 12[flin, Win) + flin W;)] &
26. Wing Widpoint method, we have: Weilp = W; + hf(t;+ 1/2, W; + 1/2 f(t;, Wi))
    Substitute Hamily back into implicit method devived in (a):
                     Win = Wit = [f(tin, Wan)) + f(ti, Wi)] xx
2c. let n, let) = y let) and note() = y let) > (0 u'ill) = 60 + 4 u, let) with u, lo) = 0, wo = 0, wo = 0
     So, 19(01) = Will = Wino + Kon = 3(0.1)2. Kon = h(be-0.05 + 4(110 + 2Kin)) = hbe 0.05 = Hono + Kon = Kon
      ⇒ y(0.1) ≈ MIN = MINO + 1/2[hf, (to+h, WINP, WANP) + KIN] = 3h200.05 ____ Y(1.0) ≈ MINO = WING + 1/2[hf, (to+h, WINP, WANP) + KAN] ≈ 3.16/777 *
```

2d. Exact value: y(1) = 3.161772

order of unversare p= log\_ [haron - yes] = 2

mu PECE method is not better than the explicit Midpoint method in terms of order of accuracy, but because it is an implicit method it is more stable than the explicit midpoint method, meaning we can perform the iterations wing larger value of "h" without losing as much accuracy (regains more computations however).

3. Rewriting Adams-Monton 3-step implicit method:

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{iti} = W_{i} + \frac{h}{24} \left[ 9y'(t_{in}) + 19y'(t_{i}) - 5y'(t_{in}) + y'(t_{i-2}) \right] \\ & = W_{i} + \frac{h}{24} \left[ 9w_{i+1}g(t_{in}) + 19w_{i}g(t_{i}) - 5w_{in}g(t_{in}) + W_{i-2}g(t_{i-2}) \right] \\ & \Rightarrow W_{iti} \left( 1 - \frac{9h}{24}g(t_{i+1}) \right) = \frac{h}{24} \left[ 19w_{i}g(t_{i}) - 5w_{in}g(t_{i-1}) + W_{i-2}g(t_{i-2}) \right] \\ & W_{iti} \left( \frac{24 - 9hg(t_{in})}{24} \right) = \frac{h}{24} \left[ 19w_{i}g(t_{i}) - 5w_{in}g(t_{i-1}) + W_{i-2}g(t_{i-2}) \right] \\ & \Rightarrow W_{iti} = \frac{h}{24 - 9hg(t_{in})} \left[ 19w_{i}g(t_{i}) - 5w_{in}g(t_{in}) + W_{i-2}g(t_{i-2}) \right] \\ & \Rightarrow W_{iti} = \frac{h}{24 - 9hg(t_{in})} \left[ 19w_{i}g(t_{i}) - 5w_{in}g(t_{in}) + W_{i-2}g(t_{i-2}) \right] \\ \end{aligned}$$

What could go wrong?

This method may give an underlined approximation at a given to them 24-9hgltin)=0

This happens when gltil= 24 which is possible for some smooth function g. &