

The Kalman filter is a classic linear estimator that fuses autoregressive (AR) time-series modeling with recursive state update. In the reviewed study, an AR model of AQI was augmented by an adaptive Kalman filter (KF) to correct prediction errors. The KF runs iteratively on new data, making it inherently real-time: it is a recursive optimal estimator, providing "a real-time algorithm to estimate the unknown state vector ... for each measurement" web.cecs.pdx.edu

. In practice this hybrid AR-KF model modestly improves accuracy over a plain AR model (especially on monthly-averaged AQI) while remaining linear and interpretable. Because both AR and KF are linear, the combined model is straightforward to understand and tune. It has very low runtime cost per update, and can be deployed on simple hardware (even microcontrollers), making it highly suitable for on-the-fly AQI smoothing or short-term forecasting in resource-

constrained systems. Trade-offs: it captures only linear temporal patterns (no spatial or nonlinear effects), so accuracy lags more complex models.

Accuracy: Moderate (improves AR, good for smooth trends) Interpretability: High (simple linear/regression-based model) Real-time Performance: Excellent (recursive, low-latency update web.cecs.pdx.edu

Ease of Deployment: Very easy (lightweight; minimal computational requirements)

Janusian-minure JPF (Deep gener pon me paper { pre bicking multiværi Ora pollution: A This model uses a Nested Factorial Variational Autoencoder (NF-VAE) with a Gaussian mixture prior, tailored for multivariate air pollutant prediction. It jointly models multiple pollutants and sites via a probabilistic latent representation. In experiments, NF-VAE achieved the highest accuracy: it reduced RMSE by >31% and MAE by >22% versus standard RNNs (LSTM/GRU) across six pollutants

## researchgate.net

. The key idea is that the VAE learns a low-dimensional factorization of the pollution dynamics, allowing it to generalize well even with noisy data. Technically, it consists of an encoder network mapping input pollutant readings to latent variables, a Gaussian-mixture latent prior, and a decoder reconstructing future pollutant values. Forecasting is done by sampling/decoding the latent. Like other deep models, NF-VAE is a "black box": its latent factors are not easily interpretable as physical variables. Real-time performance is moderate to low: inference (forward pass) is feasible on modern hardware but heavier than trees or KF; training requires substantial GPU resources. Deployment demands the ML framework and careful calibration. NF-VAE is best used when multi-pollutant accuracy is critical (e.g. research or city-wide AQI systems) and offline batch forecasts are acceptable. Its trade-offs are excellent accuracy (quantified by the >30% error drop

## researchgate.net

) versus higher complexity and lower explainability.

Accuracy: Highest (leverages deep latent modeling; 31% RMSE reduction vs RNNs researchgate.net

)

Interpretability: Low (latent-space model; not human-readable)

Real-time Performance: Moderate (inference faster than training but still heavy)

Ease of Deployment: Hard (complex model, needs deep learning stack)

Model Comparison Summary

Model Accuracy Interpretability Real-time Performance Ease of Deployment AR+Kalman Moderate High Excellent Very Easy
Decision Tree Moderate High High Easy
Graph NN High Low Moderate Moderate
PatchTST Very High Low Low (slow) Difficult
NF-VAE Highest Low Moderate Difficult

for one project you it works in & mple is ! roed for predicting model model model for predicting air for thereto like PM2.T, PM10, N°2 15°2 7 CD1 03 cerus location 7, mo Zores It has I Enhadel in pud time-series

on to Cantert Space Later Layer - Structured as Janus mintine model (hmm) with josephial certagem Serober: Lutius air gratify why this grobel ! -> mutivariale -> large error improvement