Bro, Where is my code?
Complier can optimize your code out, breaks your error checking logic

#### The Problem

```
Pointer overflow: if (p +128 < P)
int foo(char *buf, char *buf_end, uint off) {
   if (buf + off >= buf_end) return -1;
   /* compiler optimzes this red line out,
    * results in pointer overflow.
   if (buf + off < buf) return -1;</pre>
   /* access buf[0..off-1] */
   return 0;
   /* seen in kernel, chrome, python */
```

#### Other Undefined Behavior

Signed integer overflow

if 
$$(x + 32 < x)$$

Oversized shift

Null pointer dereferece

```
p->node; if (p)
```

# The Consequency

The irony of the problem is that the carefully written boundary check is NO-OPS after compiler optimization.

Note even without -0 in the gcc compiler flags, gcc does optimization and increasingly aggressive.

### Usage (1)

repo:5000/mitstack:3 is a docker image built specifically to detect undefined behavior in C language.

Once can launch a container with the build directory such as

%docker run -rm -v /home/mulin:/ home/mulin -it repo:5000/mitstack:3

### Usage(2)

After lunch the docker image, you can build panos inside the container the same way as before except you need to augment the make with the following:

%/root/foobar/build/bin/stack-build make -i -k

(-i and -k to ignore errors and continue on error)

%/root/foobar/build/bin/poptck

## Usage(3)

```
The results are in YAML format as the following, you can then inspect
the source to check whether it is a problem (there are false positives)
bug: anti-simplify
model: I
 %215 = icmp ne i8* %201, null, !dbg !481
 --> true
stack:
 - /home/mulin/src/libs/common/base/src/foo.c:260:0
ncore: 1
core:
 - /home/mulin/src/libs/common/base/src/foo.c:255:0

    pointer overflow
```