2018 Fall CS408(E/F) Project Pitch Evaluation Sheet

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Criteria** | **clear definition of the problem** |
| Juho Kim | **convincing argument of why the problem is important and/or interesting** |
| **overall presentation quality, including the slides** |
| **passion** |
| **presentation teamwork** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Team** | **Students** | **Score** | **Comments** |
| 1  automaTA | 이창윤 | 8/10 | * Cute slide titles * Good survey of existing solutions and current practice, but more direct Q&A​ support examples could have been discussed. * Problem definition came up too late in the talk. * “Students don’t know what to ask’: what’s the evidence? Is it really that students don’t know what to ask? It might be more that students don’t know what helps the TA answer better. * Overall direction of the solution makes sense, but it’s unclear if the proposed solution will really be able to capture the useful context required for efficient Q&A. |
| 진형욱 |
| 한동훈 |
| 2  Brogrammers | Bolat Ashim | 9/10 | * Why is lack of touch support bad? What do people want to do with touch? Unclear what the needs are. * Catchy slogan * Good organization, clear message, confident presentation * Discussion of alternative approaches and why they are limited would have been nice. |
| Azamat Smagulov |
| Adi Yerembessov |
| 3  CreamBears | 강현우 | 7/10 | * Many examples provided in the talk: isn’t this a matter of a particular search engine used by KAIST? * “Speed” might be misleading: at first I thought you meant “faster” search indexing. * Do you have information on how the current KAIST search engine works? Understanding this will help you identify the exact problem better. * What’s the unique approach in your proposed solution? I’m worried it might be just a matter of using a “reasonable” off-the-shelf algorithm. |
| 김지석 |
| 홍재민 |
| 4  CS4CS | 권태형 | 8/10 | * Confident and engaging delivery * Good to see interview results with school officials * Have you looked at existing solutions used by other schools or companies? * How would such a system be better than fixing the current school system by sending alerts more often to all members of the campus vis SMS? * Not relying on “human ability” sounds a bit misleading. |
| 신은혁 |
| 조인영 |
| 5  GoatskiN | 김경원 | 8/10 | * Why only focus on JS files? There might be other ways security might be at risk. What will be captured by focusing on JS and what not? * Good existing solution example * Unclear what exactly the solution is trying to do after detecting potential risks: e.g., how to help users see, analyze, and take action? |
| 박지희 |
| 최민엽 |
| 6  Hurricane | 김현수 | 7/10  +  35/40 | * “User Study” is misleading. Saying it’s a survey suffices. * How you identified the problem from the survey results is unclear. Just chose the ones that you thought are interesting? * Google Slides: why is it important to know exactly what your teammates are doing? * Contribution level idea is not really clear. Some visual aid might have helped. * Q&A handling needs more preparation * Double-check English before presenting.   **Process feedback**   * Nice exploration with survey, interviews, and brainstorming. * Solution idea ⬄ identified problem matching is something that needs more work. |
| 설윤아 |
| 함형록 |
| 7  Reflection | 김현수 | 7/10  +  35/40 | * Skyblue color has very bad visibility on the projector * “Three things” slide: sentences are awkwardly written. * Double-check English before presenting. * Existing solutions: not enough info about them was given so it’s hard to know what’s really limiting in them. * Solution: why do you need the crowd’s verification? * Crowdsourcing example: why is the user depressed when they pass the exam? * Q&A handling needs more preparation   **Process feedback**   * Good motivation overall * The scope is too broad and we need to think harder about the concrete problem that could be addressed in this semester. |
| 이건 |
| 이녕우 |
| 8  Team Herald | 김태수 | 9/10 | * Fantastic opening * Survey: wanted more info about what exactly was asked, how many responded. * Good to see some initial sensor results * Spoken too fast in places * Just adding social features wouldn’t make it work. What are some challenges? What out of all these endless options do you think would work in your case? * Dealing with false positives? |
| 정찬주 |
| 허세준 |