2018 Fall CS408(E/F) Project Pitch Evaluation Sheet

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Criteria** | **clear definition of the problem** |
| Sung-Ju Lee | **convincing argument of why the problem is important and/or interesting** |
| **overall presentation quality, including the slides** |
| **passion** |
| **presentation teamwork** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Team** | **Students** | **Score** | **Comments** |
| 1  automaTA | 이창윤 | 9/10 | Overall, it was fine. Slide titles were smart. I wish the team would have presented the issues of cs101 more clearly; all students took the course so they could relate to it, but not all professors taught (or took) it. Thus more context was needed for the “important audience” (i.e., the graders) to understand.  As a consequence, I “kind of” understood the problem, but not completely. Context is something that is very difficult to capture for machines (and also for human), so not sure exactly what and how you could solve this issue.  As for the presenter, he did well, but needs to show better body language. The body language said “I’m nervous; I’m not comfortable.” Please see the video and you will understand what I mean. Next time please express confidence in your body language. |
| 진형욱 |
| 한동훈 |
| 2  Brogrammers | Bolat Ashim | 9.5/10 | The presentation was short and to the point. It used nice images in the beginning to visualize the concept well. However, I felt something is missing; although this presentation is supposed to focus on introducing the problem, the team could have spent more time in explaining why the problem is important, and why it’s worth spending a semester on (what are the technical challenges?).  The problem statement had the word “acceptable” which is very subjective. I would instead use a concrete goal, such as 95% accuracy.  I would have liked to see more energy from Bolat. It was difficult to see whether the team is excited for the project. |
| Azamat Smagulov |
| Adi Yerembessov |
| 3  CreamBears | 강현우 | 9/10 | I think the presentation itself was good. All three members got involved in the presentation and Q&A, and I could see this team bonding well. The team did a good job demonstrating how our current system has limitations.  Jaemin showed good poise and comfort level. Walking around during the presentation could be effective if that helps working the crowd and engaging the audience; however, it seemed like Jaemin was walking around without purpose, with very little eye contact.  As for the project itself; if KAIST portal uses Google, it’s a solved problem, right? So why isn’t KAIST using google? Is it a budget problem (and if this is the case, you could sell your idea as a cost-effective, in-house solution)? What would your solution be like? Is it simply reproducing Google’s PageRank? If not, why do you need a different solution? |
| 김지석 |
| 홍재민 |
| 4  CS4CS | 권태형 | 7/10 | The good news is that the team showed excellent energy; it showed the team is excited about the project, and the teammates like each other (at least for now!). The presentation was dynamic, but I suggest the presenters to never show their back against the audience.  As I commented during the presentation, please think harder about the problem, understand the design choices of the current solution, etc. If the existing system has a problem of being too closed and careful, you don’t want to build something that has the opposite problem.  “Usability” will be the key issue and challenge for this team. |
| 신은혁 |
| 조인영 |
| 5  GoatskiN | 김경원 | 7.5/10 | I think the problem is interesting, but the story had many gaps which made it difficult for me to understand clearly what the goal of this project is. The team didn’t explain why javascript would handle the issue. The presenter used WOT as an example current technology and why it’s limited. The presenters then jumped to javascript and that got me confused. Does WOT use javascript? I think I kind of understood in the end, but the presentation made the audience to “think” to understand. This is not acceptable; the presenters must clearly communicate.  The end product slide went up and down way too fast and I could not see it. This is unfortunate. |
| 박지희 |
| 최민엽 |
| 6  Hurricane | 김현수 | 7/10 | I am sorry to say it, but this presentation was the most challenging to me… I’m still not sure what the problem is and what the team will try to achieve. There was a story, I think, but the story had so much gaps.  The presenter went by way too fast on the problem. It was good to see the team surveyed the students to understand the problem. But what did you learn from it? I think the team had a slide on it, but it went too fast without explanation. I could not catch it.  What do you mean by the “contribution level”? Could it be objectively captured?  Overall, it looked like the presentation was not rehearsed very much, and this led me to believe the team has not thought deeply about the problem. I’m sure this is not the case, but the lack of clarity in presentation makes me think this way. |
| 설윤아 |
| 함형록 |
| 7  Reflection | 김현수 | 8/10 | Sounds like a really hard problem. Emotion in general very hard to understand, especially for bots. The example chat you provided in the presentation, was not convincing. What makes you think a bot would understand human emotion?  Are you using ML? If so, how would the training be done? Do you have enough data?  I think the team needs to do more thorough research on the problem: (i) is this a real problem? How many people suffer from it? (ii) what are related existing work and what are their limitations?  I would suggest focusing on “depression” instead of trying to cover all different types of emotion. |
| 이건 |
| 이녕우 |
| 8  Team Herald | 김태수 | 50/50 | I might be biased, but the best presentation of the day, by far. Confident delivery. Good energy.  A question is: do you also provide real-time feedback to the bike riders?  Throughout the preparation process, the team showed unbelievable maturity. They communicated well and took everyone’s feedback seriously. The team members, individually and collectively, have great promise and I look forward to seeing them develop further this semester. |
| 정찬주 |
| 허세준 |