

## Response Letter

We would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive feedback regarding the writing issues in our manuscript. We have made the necessary revisions, and our detailed responses are as follows:

### Reviewer #1

- First of all, I find the way the authors marked the changes in this revision compared to the first one a bit annoying (following the Track Changes feature of Microsoft Word). It is not necessary to keep the old text, and mark it with the red color, and strikethrough font. I would just remove the old text, and mark the new one with the blue color.
- In Pages 6, 7, 10 there are some missing references, i.e., “Figure ??” please fix this.
  - > The missing reference issues stem from the tool `latex-diff`, which we used to automatically highlight changes in the previous revision. Consequently, these issues appeared only in the file that marked the changes and not in the final revision. In this new revision, we have manually marked the changes instead of relying on the tool.
- There is a typo in Page 31: “colleced”
  - > Thanks for the feedback. We fixed the typo.