

Typechecking

CS496

Types

- ▶ Types
 - ▶ Organize data and act as classifiers.
 - ▶ Constitute a form of documentation.
 - ▶ Provide an approximation of the behavior of an expression.
- ▶ A type can state that something is a number, a list, a character, a string, a procedure, etc.
- ▶ The type of a procedure declares the types of its arguments, and when a procedure is applied to arguments of the wrong type a **type error** occurs.

Types

1. Static vs dynamic typing
 - ▶ Compile time
 - ▶ Run time
2. Type checking vs type inference

Typed Languages

- ▶ Define a set of types and when an expression e has type t , written

$$e :: t$$

- ▶ A **type analysis step** is introduced into the language-processing model.
 - ▶ It tries to assign a type to each expression in the program.
 - ▶ It reports an error if it can't.

Examples

- ▶ In order to gain further intuition on typability, we next consider a series of examples
- ▶ For each we ask ourselves:
 - ▶ Is this expression typable?
 - ▶ If so, what should its type be?
- ▶ Let's start with

```
if 3 then 88 else 99
```

Examples

- ▶ In order to gain further intuition on typability, we next consider a series of examples
- ▶ For each we ask ourselves:
 - ▶ Is this expression typable?
 - ▶ If so, what should its type be?
- ▶ Let's start with

`if 3 then 88 else 99` **reject**: 3 is not a boolean

`proc (x) (3 x)`

Examples

- ▶ In order to gain further intuition on typability, we next consider a series of examples
- ▶ For each we ask ourselves:
 - ▶ Is this expression typable?
 - ▶ If so, what should its type be?
- ▶ Let's start with

`if 3 then 88 else 99` **reject:** 3 is not a boolean

`proc (x) (3 x)` **reject:** non-proc-val rator
Does the type of `x` matter here?

Examples

```
proc (x) (x 3)
```


Examples

```
proc (x) (x 3)
```

- ▶ It depends on the type of x
- ▶ For example, if x is a boolean, then its not well typed.
- ▶ But if x has the type of a function that consumes numbers, then it is well-typed
- ▶ We **accept** this procedure expression as typable because there is a type for x that makes its body typable

More Examples

```
proc (f) proc (x) (f x)
```

More Examples

```
proc (f) proc (x) (f x)  accept
```

```
let x = 4 in (x 3)
```

More Examples

`proc (f) proc (x) (f x)` `accept`

`let x = 4 in (x 3)` `reject:` non-proc-val rator

`(proc (x) (x 3) 4)`

More Examples

`proc (f) proc (x) (f x)` **accept**

`let x = 4 in (x 3)` **reject:** non-proc-val rator

`(proc (x) (x 3) 4)` **reject:** same as preceding example

`let x = zero?(0)`

`in -(3, x)`

More Examples

`proc (f) proc (x) (f x)` **accept**

`let x = 4 in (x 3)` **reject:** non-proc-val rator

`(proc (x) (x 3) 4)` **reject:** same as preceding example

`let x = zero?(0)`

`in -(3, x)` **reject:** non-integer argument to a diff-exp

More Examples

```
(proc (x) -(3,x)  
zero?(0))
```

More Examples

```
(proc (x) -(3,x)  
zero?(0))
```

reject: same as preceding example

```
let f = 3  
in proc (x) (f x)
```


More Examples

```
(proc (x) -(3,x)  
zero?(0))
```

reject: same as preceding example

```
let f = 3  
in proc (x) (f x)
```

reject: non-proc-val rator

```
(proc (f) proc (x) (f x)  
3)
```

More Examples

```
(proc (x) -(3,x)  
zero?(0))
```

reject: same as preceding example

```
let f = 3  
in proc (x) (f x)
```

reject: non-proc-val rator

```
(proc (f) proc (x) (f x)  
3)
```

reject: same as preceding example

```
letrec f(x) = (f -(x,-1))  
in (f 1)
```

More Examples

```
(proc (x) -(3,x)  
zero?(0))
```

reject: same as preceding example

```
let f = 3  
in proc (x) (f x)
```

reject: non-proc-val rator

```
(proc (f) proc (x) (f x)  
3)
```

reject: same as preceding example

```
letrec f(x) = (f -(x,-1))  
in (f 1)
```

accept, nonterminating but safe

Typable Expressions and Evaluation Safety

- ▶ If an expression can be assigned a type we say it is **typable**
- ▶ What guarantees do typable expressions give us at run-time?
 - ▶ They guarantee that evaluation (i.e. execution) is **safe**
- ▶ For example, that every evaluation of a variable `var` is found in the environment.
- ▶ We next give a definition of what it means for evaluation to be safe

Evaluation Safety

Evaluation is **safe** if and only if for every evaluation of $a(n)$:

1. variable *var*, the variable is bound.
2. $-(exp1, exp2)$, the values of *exp1* and *exp2* are both num-val.
3. $zero?(exp1)$, the value of *exp1* is a num-val.
4. **if** *exp1* **then** *exp2* **else** *exp3*, the value of *exp1* is a bool-val.
5. $(rator\ rand)$, the value of *rator* is a proc-val.

Evaluation of safe programs may fail: division by zero, car of the empty list, infinite loop, etc.

Concrete Syntax of Types

$\langle Type \rangle ::= \text{int}$
 $\langle Type \rangle ::= \text{bool}$
 $\langle Type \rangle ::= (\langle Type \rangle \rightarrow \langle Type \rangle)$

Examples of Values and Their Types

- ▶ Recall that we write

$e :: t$

if expression e has type t

Examples:

- ▶ $3 :: \text{int}$
- ▶ $-(33, 22) :: \text{int}$
- ▶ $\text{zero?}(11) :: \text{bool}$
- ▶ $\text{proc } (x) \text{ } -(x, 11) :: (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})$
- ▶ $\text{proc } (x) \text{ let } y = -(x, 11) \text{ in } -(x, y) :: (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})$
- ▶ $\text{proc } (x) \text{ if } x \text{ then } 11 \text{ else } 22 :: (\text{bool} \rightarrow \text{int})$

More Examples of Values and Their Types

- ▶ `proc (x) if x then 11 else zero?(11)` has no type.
- ▶ `proc (x) proc (y) if y then x else 11 :: (int → (bool → int))`.
- ▶ `proc (f) if (f 3) then 11 else 22 :: ((int → bool) → int)`
- ▶ `proc (f) (f 3) :: ((int → t) → t)`, for any type `t`.
- ▶ `proc (f) proc (x) (f (f x)) :: ((t → t) → (t → t))`, for any type `t`.

Typed Languages

Specifying the Behavior of the Type Checker

The Language CHECKED

Typing Letrec

Typing Rules

- ▶ What is the type of 3?
- ▶ What is the type of `zero?(4)`?
- ▶ What is the type of `zero?(x)`?

Typing Rules

- ▶ What is the type of 3?
- ▶ What is the type of `zero?(4)`?
- ▶ What is the type of `zero?(x)`?
- ▶ We need to know the types of the variables in order to determine the type of an expression
- ▶ A **type environment** tenv associates types to variables
 - ▶ E.g. $\{x \leftarrow \text{bool}, y \leftarrow \text{int}\}$

Typing Judgements

- ▶ A typing judgement is an expression of the form

$$\text{tenv} \vdash e :: t$$

where

- ▶ tenv is a type environment
 - ▶ e is an expression
 - ▶ t is a type expression
- ▶ A **typing system** consists of **typing rules**

$$\frac{J_1 \dots J_n}{J} \text{ rule-name}$$

- ▶ J_1, \dots, J_n, J are typing judgements
- ▶ When $n = 0$, the rule is also called an **axiom**

Typing Derivations

- ▶ Typing rules can be composed to form **typing derivations**
- ▶ A typing system determines a set of **derivable typing judgements**, namely those that are the root of a typing derivation
- ▶ If a judgement

$$\text{tenv} \vdash e :: \tau$$

is derivable, then we say that “ e is typable with type τ under typing environment tenv ”

Preliminary Summary of Notions

- ▶ Typing judgement:

$$\text{tenv} \vdash e :: t$$

- ▶ Typing rule:

$$\frac{J_1 \dots J_n}{J} \text{rule-name}$$

- ▶ Typing derivation: Tree of typing judgements built from typing rules
- ▶ Derivable typing judgements: Those that are the root of a typing derivation

Typing Rules

Typing axioms and rules for expressions

Typing integers:

$$\frac{}{\text{tenv} \vdash n :: \text{int}} TConst$$

Typing variables:

$$\frac{\text{tenv}(x) = t}{\text{tenv} \vdash x :: t} TVar$$

Typing Rules

Typing zero?:

$$\frac{\text{tenv} \vdash e :: \text{int}}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{zero?}(e) :: \text{bool}} \text{ TZero}$$

Typing diff:

$$\frac{\text{tenv} \vdash e1 :: \text{int} \quad \text{tenv} \vdash e2 :: \text{int}}{\text{tenv} \vdash -(e1, e2) :: \text{int}} \text{ TDiff}$$

Typing rules – If

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{tenv} \vdash e1 :: \text{bool} \\ \text{tenv} \vdash e2 :: t \\ \text{tenv} \vdash e3 :: t \end{array}}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{if } e1 \text{ then } e2 \text{ else } e3 :: t} \text{ TIf}$$

Exercise Before Continuing

- ▶ Show that `if zero?(0) then 3 else 4` is typable
- ▶ For that, construct a **typing derivation** for the judgement
$$\text{empty-env} \vdash \text{if zero?(0) then 3 else 4} :: \text{int}$$
- ▶ Note that in a typing derivation
 - ▶ Each leaf of the tree is an instance of an axiom;
 - ▶ Each internal node is an instance of a typing rule; and
 - ▶ The root of the tree is
$$\text{empty-env} \vdash \text{if zero?(0) then 3 else 4} :: \text{int}$$

Typing rules – Let

$$\frac{\text{tenv} \vdash e1 :: t1 \quad [\text{var}=t1] \text{tenv} \vdash e2 :: t2}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{let var}=e1 \text{ in } e2 :: t2} \text{TLet}$$

Typing rules – Proc Application

$$\frac{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{rator} :: t1 \rightarrow t2 \quad \text{tenv} \vdash \text{rand} :: t1}{\text{tenv} \vdash (\text{rator rand}) :: t2} \text{ } TProcApp$$

Typing rules

Attempt at typing procedures

Motivating expression: `proc (x) -(x,2)`

$$\frac{[\text{var} = \textcolor{red}{t1}] \text{tenv} \vdash e :: \textcolor{green}{t2}}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{proc } (\text{var}) \ e :: \textcolor{red}{t1} \rightarrow \textcolor{green}{t2}} \quad TProc$$

Typing rules

Attempt at typing procedures

Motivating expression: `proc (x) -(x,2)`

$$\frac{[\text{var} = \text{t1}] \text{tenv} \vdash e :: \text{t2}}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{proc } (\text{var}) \ e :: \text{t1} \rightarrow \text{t2}} \quad TProc$$

- ▶ Where do we obtain t1 from?
- ▶ This specification is incomplete as it stands
- ▶ Two options:
 1. the missing type is supplied by the programmer (we choose this one for now!)
 2. the missing type is inferred from the source code

Typing_{proc}

Failed attempt:

$$\frac{[\text{var} = \textcolor{red}{t1}] \text{tenv} \vdash e :: \textcolor{green}{t2}}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{proc } (\text{var}) \ e :: \textcolor{red}{t1} \rightarrow \textcolor{green}{t2}} \textit{TProc}$$

New typing rule:

$$\frac{[\text{var} = \textcolor{blue}{t1}] \text{tenv} \vdash e :: \textcolor{green}{t2}}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{proc } (\text{var}:\textcolor{blue}{t1}) \ e :: \textcolor{blue}{t1} \rightarrow \textcolor{green}{t2}} \textit{TProc}$$

Summary of Typing Rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\text{tenv} \vdash n :: \text{int}} TConst \qquad \frac{\text{tenv}(x)=t}{\text{tenv} \vdash x :: t} TVar \qquad \frac{\text{tenv} \vdash e :: \text{int}}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{zero?}(e) :: \text{bool}} TZero \\
 \\
 \frac{\text{tenv} \vdash e_1 :: \text{int} \quad \text{tenv} \vdash e_2 :: \text{int}}{\text{tenv} \vdash -(e_1, e_2) :: \text{int}} TDiff \\
 \\
 \frac{\text{tenv} \vdash e_1 :: \text{bool} \quad \text{tenv} \vdash e_2 :: t \quad \text{tenv} \vdash e_3 :: t}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 :: t} TIf \\
 \\
 \frac{\text{tenv} \vdash e_1 :: t_1 \quad [\text{var}=t_1]\text{tenv} \vdash e_2 :: t_2}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{let var}=e_1 \text{ in } e_2 :: t_2} TLet \\
 \\
 \frac{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{rator} :: t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \quad \text{tenv} \vdash \text{rand} :: t_1}{\text{tenv} \vdash (\text{rator rand}) :: t_2} TProcApp \\
 \\
 \frac{[\text{var} = t_1]\text{tenv} \vdash e :: t_2}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{proc (var:t}_1\text{) } e :: t_1 \rightarrow t_2} TProc
 \end{array}$$

Typed Languages

Specifying the Behavior of the Type Checker

The Language CHECKED

Typing Letrec

The Language CHECKED

- ▶ We now introduce CHECKED
- ▶ It is based on REC except that the programmer writes
 - ▶ the type of formal parameters in procedures, and
 - ▶ the type of parameters and results in `letrec`-bound variables.

Examples

```
1 proc (x:int) -(x,1)
```

```
1 proc (f:(bool -> int))  
2   proc (n:int) (f zero?(n))
```

CHECKED: Concrete Syntax

- ▶ One existing production (for now) is modified as follows

$\langle Expression \rangle ::= \text{proc } (\langle Identifier \rangle : \langle Type \rangle) \langle Expression \rangle$

- ▶ We recall the syntax of types below:

$\langle Type \rangle ::= \text{int}$

$\langle Type \rangle ::= \text{bool}$

$\langle Type \rangle ::= (\langle Type \rangle \rightarrow \langle Type \rangle)$

CHECKED: Abstract Syntax

```
1 (define-datatype expression expression?
2   (const-exp
3     (num number?))
4   (diff-exp
5     (exp1 expression?)
6     (exp2 expression?))
7   (zero?-exp
8     (exp1 expression?))
9   (if-exp
10    (exp1 expression?)
11    (exp2 expression?)
12    (exp3 expression?))
13   (var-exp
14     (var symbol?))
15   (let-exp
16     (var symbol?)
17     (exp1 expression?)
18     (body expression?))
```

The new variants for type annotated procs and letrec

```
1 (proc-exp
2   (var symbol?)
3   (type type?)
4   (body expression?))
5 (call-exp
6   (rator expression?)
7   (rand expression?))
```

The new variants for type annotated procs and letrec

```
1  (proc-exp  
2    (var symbol?)  
3    (type type?)  
4    (body expression?))  
5  (call-exp  
6    (rator expression?)  
7    (rand expression?))
```

CHECKED: Abstract Syntax of Types

```
1 (define-datatype type type?
2   (int-type)
3   (bool-type)
4   (proc-type
5     (src type?)
6     (tgt type?)))
```


Concrete vs Abstract Syntax

```
1 proc (f:(bool -> int))  
2     proc (n:int) (f zero?(n))
```

```
1 (a-program  
2   (proc-exp  
3     'f  
4     (proc-type (bool-type) (int-type))  
5     (proc-exp 'n  
6               (int-type)  
7               (call-exp (var-exp 'f) (zero?-exp  
                           (var-exp 'n))))))
```

Implementing a Type-Checker

- ▶ We implement the following:

```
1 ;; type-of-program :: program -> type
2 ;; type-of :: {exp,typeEnv} -> type
```

- ▶ We use the specification as a guideline
- ▶ Type environments

```
1 (define-datatype type-environment
   type-environment?
2   (empty-tenv-record)
3   (extended-tenv-record
4     (sym symbol?)
5     (type type?)
6     (tenv type-environment?)))
```

Implementing a Type-Checker

- We make use of the following auxiliary function:

```
1 ;; check-equal-type! :: {type, type, exp} -> unspecified
2 (define check-equal-type!
3   (lambda (ty1 ty2 exp)
4     (when (not (equal? ty1 ty2))
5       (report-unequal-types ty1 ty2 exp))))
```

- report-unequal-types simply prints an error message
- when is an `if` without an `else`; returns `#<void>` if the condition is false

type-of-program

```
1 ;; type-of-program :: program -> type
2 (define type-of-program
3   (lambda (pgm)
4     (cases program pgm
5       (a-program (exp1) (type-of exp1 (init-tenv))))))
```

(init-tenv) is the **type** environment for the initial environment

```
1 (define init-tenv
2   (lambda ()
3     (extend-tenv 'x (int-type)
4       (extend-tenv 'v (int-type)
5         (extend-tenv 'i (int-type)
6           (empty-tenv))))))
```

Typing Integers

$$\frac{}{\text{tenv} \vdash n :: \text{int}} TConst$$

```
1 (define type-of
2   (lambda (exp tenv)
3     (cases expression exp
4       (const-exp (n) (int-type))))))
```

Typing Variable References

$$\frac{\text{tenv}(\text{var})=t}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{var} :: t} \text{ TVar}$$

```
1 (define type-of
2   (lambda (exp tenv)
3     (cases expression exp
4       ...
5       (var-exp (var) (apply-tenv tenv var))))))
```

Typing the `zero?` Predicate

$$\frac{\text{tenv} \vdash e :: \text{int}}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{zero?}(e) :: \text{bool}} \text{ TZero}$$

```
1 (define type-of
2   (lambda (exp tenv)
3     (cases expression exp
4       ...
5       (zero?-exp (e)
6         (let ((ty (type-of e tenv)))
7           (check-equal-type! ty (int-type) e)
8           (bool-type))))))
```

Typing Difference

$$\frac{\text{tenv} \vdash e1 :: \text{int} \quad \text{tenv} \vdash e2 :: \text{int}}{\text{tenv} \vdash -(e1, e2) :: \text{int}} \text{TDiff}$$

```
1 (define type-of
2   (lambda (exp tenv)
3     (cases expression exp
4       ...
5       (diff-exp (e1 e2)
6         (let ((ty1 (type-of e1 tenv))
7               (ty2 (type-of e2 tenv)))
8           (check-equal-type! ty1 (int-type) e1)
9           (check-equal-type! ty2 (int-type) e2)
10          (int-type))))))
```


Typing `let`

$$\frac{\text{tenv} \vdash e1 :: t1 \quad [\text{var}=t1]\text{tenv} \vdash e2 :: t2}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{let var}=e1 \text{ in } e2 :: t2} \text{ } TLet$$

```
1 (define type-of
2   (lambda (exp tenv)
3     (cases expression exp
4       ...
5       (let-exp (var e1 e2)
6         (let ((e1-type (type-of e1 tenv)))
7           (type-of e2
8             (extend-tenv var e1-type tenv)))))))
```

Typing the Conditional

$$\frac{\text{tenv} \vdash e1 :: \text{bool} \quad \text{tenv} \vdash e2 :: t \quad \text{tenv} \vdash e3 :: t}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{if } e1 \text{ then } e2 \text{ else } e3 :: t} \quad T\text{If}$$

```
1  (define type-of
2    (lambda (exp tenv)
3      (cases expression exp
4        ...
5        (if-exp (e1 e2 e3)
6          (let ((ty1 (type-of e1 tenv))
7                (ty2 (type-of e2 tenv))
8                (ty3 (type-of e3 tenv)))
9            (check-equal-type! ty1 (bool-type) e1)
10           (check-equal-type! ty2 ty3 exp)
11           ty2))))))
```

Typing Procedure Declaration

$$\frac{[\text{var} = t_1] \text{tenv} \vdash e :: t_2}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{proc } (\text{var}:t_1) \ e :: t_1 \rightarrow t_2} \text{ } TProc$$

```
1 (define type-of
2   (lambda (exp tenv)
3     (cases expression exp
4       ...
5       (proc-exp (var t1 e)
6         (let ((t2 (type-of e
7                       (extend-tenv var t1 tenv))))
8           (proc-type t1 t2))))))
```

Typing Procedure Application

$$\frac{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{rator} :: t1 \rightarrow t2 \quad \text{tenv} \vdash \text{rand} :: t1}{\text{tenv} \vdash (\text{rator rand}) :: t2} \text{ TProcApp}$$

```
1 (define type-of
2   (lambda (exp tenv)
3     (cases expression exp
4       ...
5       (call-exp (rator rand)
6         (let ((rator-type (type-of rator tenv))
7               (rand-type (type-of rand tenv)))
8           (cases type rator-type
9             (proc-type (arg-type result-type)
10              (begin
11                (check-equal-type! arg-type rand-type rand)
12                result-type))
13              (else
14                (report-rator-not-a-proc-type rator-type
15                  rator))))))))))
```

Testing CHECKED

- ▶ Code available from <http://www.eopl3.com>
- ▶ Directory `chapter7/checked`
- ▶ Open `top.scm` in Racket
- ▶ There are a number of tests in `tests.scm`
- ▶ You can type-check them using `check-one`. Eg.

```
1 > (check-one 'apply-a-proc-2-typed)
2 'int
```

Typed Languages

Specifying the Behavior of the Type Checker

The Language CHECKED

Typing Letrec

Letrec

```
1 letrec int double (x:int) =  
2     if zero?(x)  
3     then 0  
4     else -((double -(x,1)), -2)  
5 in double
```

CHECKED: Concrete Syntax

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Expression \rangle &::= \text{proc } (\langle Identifier \rangle : \langle Type \rangle) \langle Expression \rangle \\ \langle Expression \rangle &::= \text{letrec } \langle Type \rangle \langle Identifier \rangle (\langle Identifier \rangle : \langle Type \rangle) = \\ &\quad \langle Expression \rangle \text{ in } \langle Expression \rangle\end{aligned}$$

CHECKED: Abstract Syntax

```
1 (define-datatype expression expression?
2   (const-exp
3     (num number?))
4   (diff-exp
5     (exp1 expression?)
6     (exp2 expression?))
7   (zero?-exp
8     (exp1 expression?))
9   (if-exp
10    (exp1 expression?)
11    (exp2 expression?)
12    (exp3 expression?))
13   (var-exp
14     (var symbol?))
15   (let-exp
16     (var symbol?)
17     (exp1 expression?)
18     (body expression?))
```

The new variant for type annotated letrec

```
1  (proc-exp
2    (var symbol?)
3    (type type?)
4    (body expression?))
5  (call-exp
6    (rator expression?)
7    (rand expression?))
8  (letrec-exp
9    (p-result-type type?)
10   (p-name symbol?)
11   (b-var symbol?)
12   (p-var-type type?)
13   (p-body expression?)
14   (letrec-body expression?))
```

The new variant for type annotated letrec

```
1  (proc-exp
2    (var symbol?)
3    (type type?)
4    (body expression?))
5  (call-exp
6    (rator expression?)
7    (rand expression?))
8  (letrec-exp
9    (p-result-type type?)
10   (p-name symbol?)
11   (b-var symbol?)
12   (p-var-type type?)
13   (p-body expression?)
14   (letrec-body expression?))
```

Abstract Syntax for `letrec`

```
1 letrec int double (x:int) =  
2     if zero?(x)  
3     then 0  
4     else -((double -(x,1)), -2)  
5 in double
```

```
1 (a-program  
2   (letrec-exp  
3     (int-type)  
4     'double  
5     'x  
6     (int-type)  
7     (if-exp  
8       (zero?-exp (var-exp 'x))  
9       (const-exp 0)  
10      (diff-exp (call-exp (var-exp 'double) (diff-exp  
11        (var-exp 'x) (const-exp 1))) (const-exp -2)))  
        (var-exp 'double)))
```

Typing rule for letrec

```
1 letrec int double (x:int) =  
2     if zero?(x)  
3     then 0  
4     else -((double -(x,1)), -2)  
5 in double
```

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} [\text{var}=\text{tVar}] [\text{f}=\text{tVar} \rightarrow \text{tRes}] \text{tenv} \vdash e :: \text{tRes} \\ [\text{f}=\text{tVar} \rightarrow \text{tRes}] \text{tenv} \vdash \text{body} :: \text{t} \end{array}}{\text{tenv} \vdash \text{letrec } \text{tRes } \text{f } (\text{var}:\text{tVar}) = e \text{ in body} :: \text{t}} \text{TRec}$$

Typing Letrec

```
1 (define type-of
2   (lambda (exp tenv)
3     (cases expression exp
4       ...
5       (letrec-exp (p-result-type p-name b-var b-var-type
6         p-body letrec-body)
7         (let ((tenv-for-letrec-body
8           (extend-tenv p-name
9             (proc-type b-var-type p-result-type)
10              tenv)))
11           (let ((p-body-type
12             (type-of p-body
13               (extend-tenv b-var b-var-type
14                 tenv-for-letrec-body))))
15             (check-equal-type!
16               p-body-type p-result-type p-body)
17             (type-of letrec-body tenv-for-letrec-body)))))))))
```