

Homework #2

I pledge my honor that I have abided by the Stevens honor system.

1.3: 2, 6, 10a, 10b, 10c, 18, 20

2.) Show that $\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$

p	$\neg p$	$\neg(\neg p)$
T	F	T
F	T	F

Therefore, $p \equiv \neg(\neg p)$.

6.) Use a truth table to verify De Morgan's First Law: $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$

p	q	$p \wedge q$	$\neg(p \wedge q)$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \vee \neg q$
T	T	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T

10. Show each as a tautology.

a. $[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q \equiv \neg[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \vee q \equiv T$

p	q	$\neg p$	$p \vee q$	$\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)$	$\neg[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)]$	$\neg[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \vee q$
F	F	T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	T	T

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q \\
 \equiv & \neg[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \vee q && \text{implicit exchange} \\
 \equiv & p \vee \neg(p \vee q) \vee q && \text{double negation law} \\
 \equiv & p \vee \neg p \wedge \neg q \vee q && \text{De Morgan's Law/associative} \\
 \equiv & p \vee \neg p \wedge q \vee \neg q && \text{commutative property} \\
 \equiv & T \wedge T && \text{Negation law} \\
 \equiv & T && \text{Idempotent}
 \end{aligned}$$

b. $[(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$

p	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \vee \neg q$	$\neg q \vee r$	$(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee r)$	$\neg[(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee r)]$	$\neg p \vee r$	$\neg[(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee r)] \vee (\neg p \vee r)$
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	T	T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	T	F	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	T	F	F	T	F	T
T	T	T	F	F	T	T	T	F	T	T

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r) \\
\equiv & [(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee r)] \rightarrow (\neg p \vee r) && \text{implicit exchange} \\
\equiv & \neg [(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee r)] \vee (\neg p \vee r) && \text{implicit exchange} \\
\equiv & \neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg(\neg q \vee r) \vee (\neg p \vee r) && \text{De Morgan's Law} \\
\equiv & (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \neg r) \vee (\neg p \vee r) && \text{De Morgan's Law} \\
\equiv & p \wedge (\neg q \vee q) \wedge \neg r \vee (\neg p \vee r) && \text{Associative property} \\
\equiv & p \wedge T \vee \neg r \vee (\neg p \vee r) && \text{Negation Law} \\
\equiv & p \wedge \neg r \vee T \vee (\neg p \vee r) && \text{Commutative property} \\
\equiv & p \wedge \neg r \vee (\neg p \vee r) \vee T && \text{Commutative property} \\
\equiv & p \vee \neg p \wedge r \vee \neg r \vee T && \text{Commutative property} \\
\equiv & T \wedge T \vee T && \text{Negation Law} \\
\equiv & T && \text{Idempotent}
\end{aligned}$$

c. $[p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow q$

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$	$p \wedge (\neg p \vee q)$	$\neg(p \wedge (\neg p \vee q))$	$\neg[p \wedge (\neg p \vee q)] \vee q$
T	T	F	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	T

$$\begin{aligned}
& [p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow q \\
\equiv & \neg[p \wedge (\neg p \vee q)] \vee q && \text{Implicit exchange} \\
\equiv & \neg[(p \wedge \neg p) \vee q] \vee q && \text{Associative property} \\
\equiv & \neg(p \wedge \neg p) \wedge \neg q \vee q && \text{De Morgan's Law} \\
\equiv & \neg p \vee p \wedge \neg q \vee q && \text{De Morgan's Law} \\
\equiv & T \wedge T && \text{Negation Law} \\
\equiv & T && \text{Idempotent}
\end{aligned}$$

18.) Show that $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ are logically equivalent.

$$\begin{aligned}
& p \rightarrow q \\
\equiv & \neg p \vee q && \text{Implicit exchange} \\
\equiv & \neg p \vee \neg \neg q && \text{Commutative property} \\
\equiv & \neg \neg q \vee \neg p && \text{Commutative property} \\
\equiv & \neg q \rightarrow \neg p && \text{Implicit exchange}
\end{aligned}$$

20.) Show that $\neg(p \oplus q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow q$

p	q	$p \oplus q$	$\neg(p \oplus q)$	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow p$	$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$
F	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	F	F
T	F	T	F	F	T	F
T	T	F	T	T	T	T

1.4: 6, 8, 10, 12, 28

6.) Let $N(x)$ be “ x has visited ND”, domain consists of students in school. Express in English.

- a. $\exists x N(x)$: There is some student at school that has visited ND.
- b. $\forall x N(x)$: Every student at school has visited ND.
- c. $\neg \exists x N(x)$: No student at school has visited ND.
- d. $\exists x \neg N(x)$: There is some student at school who has not visited ND.
- e. $\neg \forall x N(x)$: Not all students at school have visited ND.
- f. $\forall x \neg N(x)$: None of the students at school have visited ND.

8.) $R(x)$ is “ x is a rabbit” and $H(x)$ is “ x hops”, domain consists of all animals. Express in English.

- a. $\forall x [R(x) \rightarrow H(x)]$: Every animal, that is a rabbit, hops.
- b. $\forall x [R(x) \wedge H(x)]$: All animals are rabbits, and they hop.
- c. $\exists x [R(x) \rightarrow H(x)]$: There is an animal that hops if it is a rabbit.
- d. $\exists x [R(x) \wedge H(x)]$: There is an animal that is a rabbit and hops.

10.) $C(x)$ is “ x has a cat”, $D(x)$ is “ x has a dog”, $F(x)$ is “ x has a ferret”, domain is students in your class.

- a. A student in your class has a cat, a dog, and a ferret: $\exists x [C(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge F(x)]$
- b. All students in your class have a cat, a dog, or a ferret: $\forall x [C(x) \vee D(x) \vee F(x)]$
- c. Some student in your class has a cat, and a ferret, but not a dog: $\exists x [C(x) \wedge F(x) \wedge \neg D(x)]$
- d. No student in your class has a cat, a dog, and a ferret: $\neg \exists x [C(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge F(x)]$
- e. For each $C(x)$, $D(x)$, and $F(x)$, there is a student who has one: $\exists x C(x) \wedge \exists x D(x) \wedge \exists x F(x)$

12.) $Q(x)$ is “ $x+1 > 2x$ ”. What are the truth-values, where domain is all ints?

- a. $Q(0) =$ T
- b. $Q(-1) =$ T
- c. $Q(1) =$ F
- d. $\exists x Q(x) =$ T
- e. $\forall x Q(x) =$ F
- f. $\exists x \neg Q(x) =$ T
- g. $\forall x \neg Q(x) =$ F

28.) $Cor(x)$ is “ x is in the correct place”, $Tool(x)$ is “ x is a tool”, $EC(x)$ is “ x is in excellent condition”.

- a. Something is not in the correct place: $\exists x \neg Cor(x)$
- b. All tools are in the correct place and are in excellent condition: $\forall x [Tool(x) \rightarrow Cor(x) \wedge EC(x)]$
- c. Everything is in the correct place and are in excellent condition: $\forall x [Cor(x) \wedge EC(x)]$
- d. Nothing is in the correct place and is in excellent condition: $\neg \exists x [Cor(x) \wedge EC(x)]$
- e. One of your tools is not in the correct place, but it's in excellent condition: $\exists x (Tool(x) \rightarrow \neg Cor(x) \wedge EC(x))$