

Kappa dataset summary

Variable Number	Variable Name	Variable Type	Variable Length	Variable Label
1	SITE	Numeric	8	Masked Site identifier
2	CaseID	Character	7	Masked Case Identifier
3	ControlType	Character	4	case/control type
4	StudySubjectID	Character	7	StudySubjectID
5	PtAmbulatoryPriorArrival	Character	2	On arrival to the study site was the patient ambulatory?
6	HxLOCSTite	Character	2	Was there history of loss of consciousness - LOC?
7	SectionGCSAvailable	Character	2	Section Glasgow Coma Scores available
8	TotalGCSAvailable	Character	2	Total GCS available
9	TotalGCSManual	Character	2	Total GCS if section scores not available
10	GCSEye	Character	1	Section GCS for eye
11	VerbalGCS	Character	1	Section GCS for verbal
12	MotorGCS	Character	1	Section GCS for motor
13	TotalGCS	Character	2	Calculated total GCS
14	AVPU	Character	1	Is there documentation of the patients mental status using a narrative description or an AVPU category?
15	AVPUDetails	Character	1	If there is documentation of the patients mental status, which AVPU category best describes patients mental status?
16	AVPUMental	Character	3	If documentation of patients mental status used a term that cannot be mapped to AVPU, which term best describes patients mental status?
17	AVPUMentaltxt	Character	78	If patients mental status is other, please describe
18	AVPUMentaltxtCat	Character	9	Other Term used that cannot be mapped to AVPU (Categorized)
19	PtCompPain	Character	3	Did the patient complain of pain?
20	PtCompPainHead	Numeric	8	Complaint of pain in the Head
21	PtCompPainFace	Numeric	8	Complaint of pain in the Face
22	PtCompPainNeck	Numeric	8	Complaint of pain in the Neck
23	PtCompPainNeckMove	Character	2	If neck pain was indicated, did the pain increase with neck movement?
24	PtCompPainChest	Numeric	8	Complaint of pain in the Chest
25	PtCompPainBack	Numeric	8	Complaint of pain in the Back
26	PtCompPainFlank	Numeric	8	Complaint of pain in the Flank
27	PtCompPainAbd	Numeric	8	Complaint of pain in the Abdomen
28	PtCompPainPelvis	Numeric	8	Complaint of pain in the Pelvis
29	PtCompPainExt	Numeric	8	Complaint of pain in the Extremities
30	PtTender	Character	2	Did the patient have tenderness on exam?
31	PtTenderHead	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the Head
32	PtTenderFace	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the Face
33	PtTenderNeck	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the Neck
34	PtTenderNeckLevel	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the Neck: Level

Kappa dataset summary

Variable Number	Variable Name	Variable Type	Variable Length	Variable Label
35	PtTenderNeckLevelC1	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the neck: C1
36	PtTenderNeckLevelC2	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the neck: C2
37	PtTenderNeckLevelC3	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the neck: C3
38	PtTenderNeckLevelC4	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the neck: C4
39	PtTenderNeckLevelC5	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the neck: C5
40	PtTenderNeckLevelC6	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the neck: C6
41	PtTenderNeckLevelC7	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the neck: C7
42	PtTenderNeckAnt	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the neck: Anterior
43	PtTenderNeckPos	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the neck: Posterior
44	PtTenderNeckLat	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the neck: Lateral
45	PtTenderNeckMid	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the neck: Midline
46	PtTenderNeckOther	Numeric	8	Other neck tenderness
47	PtTenderNeckOthertxt	Character	51	Other neck tenderness text
48	PtTenderNeckOtherTxtCat	Character	3	Other neck tenderness finding deemed consistent with posterior midline neck tenderness by consensus panel
49	PtTenderChest	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the Chest
50	PtTenderBack	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the Back
51	PtTenderFlank	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the Flank
52	PtTenderAbd	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the Abdomen
53	PtTenderPelvis	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the Pelvis
54	PtTenderExt	Numeric	8	Tenderness in the Extremities
55	LimitedRangeMotion	Character	2	Did the patient have limited range of motion of the neck or torticollis?
56	OtherInjuries	Character	1	Aside from tenderness on exam, was there any other clinical evidence of substantial injuries?
57	OtherInjuriesHead	Numeric	8	Substantial Injuries to the: Head
58	OtherInjuriesFace	Numeric	8	Substantial Injuries to the: Face
59	OtherInjuriesNeck	Numeric	8	Substantial Injuries to the: Neck
60	OtherInjuriesChest	Numeric	8	Substantial Injuries to the: Chest
61	OtherInjuriesBack	Numeric	8	Substantial Injuries to the: Back
62	OtherInjuriesFlank	Numeric	8	Substantial Injuries to the: Flank
63	OtherInjuriesAbd	Numeric	8	Substantial Injuries to the: Abdomen
64	OtherInjuriesPelvis	Numeric	8	Substantial Injuries to the: Pelvis
65	OtherInjuriesExt	Numeric	8	Substantial Injuries to the: Extremities
66	MinorInjuries	Character	1	Aside from tenderness on exam, was there any other clinical evidence of minor injuries?
67	MinorInjuriesHead	Numeric	8	Minor Injuries to the: Head
68	MinorInjuriesFace	Numeric	8	Minor Injuries to the: Face

Kappa dataset summary

Variable Number	Variable Name	Variable Type	Variable Length	Variable Label
69	MinorInjuriesNeck	Numeric	8	Minor Injuries to the: Neck
70	MinorInjuriesChest	Numeric	8	Minor Injuries to the: Chest
71	MinorInjuriesBack	Numeric	8	Minor Injuries to the: Back
72	MinorInjuriesFlank	Numeric	8	Minor Injuries to the: Flank
73	MinorInjuriesAbs	Numeric	8	Minor Injuries to the: Abdomen
74	MinorInjuriesPelv	Numeric	8	Minor Injuries to the: Pelvis
75	MinorInjuriesExt	Numeric	8	Minor Injuries to the: Extremities
76	PtParesthasias	Character	2	Did the patient have any paresthasias (abnormal tactile sensation)?
77	PtSensoryLoss	Character	2	Did the patient have any loss of sensation (loss of feeling in any region of body)?
78	PtExtremityWeakness	Character	2	Did the patient have any extremity weakness?
79	OtherNeuroDeficit	Character	2	Did the patient have a neurological deficit other than paresthasias, loss of sensation or extremity weakness?
80	OtherNeuroDeficitDesc	Character	79	If yes, please describe the neurological deficit
81	OtherNeuroDeficitDescCat	Character	3	Other neurologic finding deemed consistent with spine injury by consensus panel
82	IntervForCervicalStab	Character	1	Did the patient undergo interventions for cervical stabilization at the study site?
83	IntervForCervicalStabSCollar	Numeric	8	Cervical Stabilization: Soft Collar
84	IntervForCervicalStabRCollar	Numeric	8	Cervical Stabilization: Rigid Collar
85	IntervForCervicalStabBrace	Numeric	8	Cervical Stabilization: Brace
86	IntervForCervicalStabTraction	Numeric	8	Cervical Stabilization: Traction
87	IntervForCervicalStabSurgical	Numeric	8	Cervical Stabilization: Surgical
88	IntervForCervicalStabHalo	Numeric	8	Cervical Stabilization: Surgical - Halo
89	IntervForCervicalStabIntFix	Numeric	8	Cervical Stabilization: Surgical - Internal Fixation
90	IntervForCervicalStabIntFixtxt	Character	122	Cervical Stabilization: Surgical - Other (describe)
91	IntervForCervicalStabOther	Numeric	8	Cervical Stabilization: Other
92	IntervForCervicalStabOthertxt	Character	58	Cervical Stabilization: Other (describe)
93	OutcomeStudySite	Character	3	What was the patients neurological outcome at discharge from the study site?
94	OutcomeStudySiteNeuro	Character	3	Please classify the patients cognitive function
95	OutcomeStudySiteMobility	Character	2	Please classify the patients mobility
96	OutcomeStudySiteMobility1	Numeric	8	Complete paraplegia
97	OutcomeStudySiteMobility2	Numeric	8	Complete quadriplegia
98	OutcomeStudySiteBowel	Character	1	Please indicate the patients bowel function
99	OutcomeStudySiteUrine	Character	1	Please classify the patients bladder function
100	FieldDocumentation	Character	3	Field Documentation
101	PtAmbulatoryPriorEMSArrival	Character	2	Was patient ambulatory prior to EMS arrival?

Kappa dataset summary

Variable Number	Variable Name	Variable Type	Variable Length	Variable Label
102	PatientsPosition	Character	5	What was patients position on EMS arrival?
103	HxLOCField	Character	2	Was there history of loss of consciousness (LOC)?
104	EDDocumentation	Character	4	Outside Hospital Emergency Department (ED) Documentation
105	PtAmbulatoryPriorArrivalED	Character	2	On arrival to the outside ED was the patient ambulatory?
106	HxLOCED	Character	2	Was there history of loss of consciousness (LOC)?
107	InjuryPrimaryMechanism	Character	2	Primary Mechanism of Injury
108	InjuryPrimaryMechanismtxt	Character	160	Primary Mechanism of Injury Text
109	MVCREC	Numeric	8	Motor Vehicle Collision: Type of collision - Rear end collision
110	MVCHOC	Numeric	8	Motor Vehicle Collision: Type of collision - Head on collision
111	MVCSI	Numeric	8	Motor Vehicle Collision: Type of collision - Side Impact
112	MVCRO	Numeric	8	Motor Vehicle Collision: Type of collision - Rollover
113	MVCEFA	Numeric	8	Motor Vehicle Collision: Type of collision - Ejected from automobile
114	MVCDSC	Numeric	8	Motor Vehicle Collision: Type of collision - Death in the same collision
115	MVCSpeed	Numeric	8	Motor Vehicle Collision: Speed of patients vehicle
116	PassRestraint	Numeric	8	Motor Vehicle Collision: Was a passenger restraint system used?
117	OMTSpeed	Numeric	8	Other Motorized Transport Crash: Speed of patients vehicle
118	Assault	Numeric	8	Blunt injury: Assault?
119	ChildAbuse	Numeric	8	Blunt injury: Suspected or confirmed child abuse?
120	ShakenBabySyndrome	Numeric	8	Blunt injury: Shaken baby syndrome?
121	FallFromElevation	Numeric	8	Fall from elevation: Estimated height in feet
122	FallDownStairs	Numeric	8	Fall down stairs: Estimated number of stairs
123	Helmet	Character	2	Was the child wearing protective helmet?
124	clotheslining	Character	2	Was the injury a result of an object striking the neck?

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

On arrival to the study site was the patient ambulatory?		
PtAmbulatoryPriorArrival	Frequency	Percent
3	7	1.92
N	299	81.92
ND	26	7.12
Y	33	9.04

Was there history of loss of consciousness - LOC?		
HxLOCSite	Frequency	Percent
3	24	6.58
N	206	56.44
ND	20	5.48
U	18	4.93
Y	97	26.58

Section Glasgow Coma Scores available		
SectionGCSAvailable	Frequency	Percent
ND	176	48.22
Y	189	51.78

Total GCS available		
TotalGCSAvailable	Frequency	Percent
	189	51.78
ND	81	22.19
Y	95	26.03

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Total GCS if section scores not available		
TotalGCSManual	Frequency	Percent
	270	73.97
12	1	0.27
13	2	0.55
14	6	1.64
15	77	21.10
3	5	1.37
6	2	0.55
8	1	0.27
9	1	0.27

Section GCS for eye		
GCSEye	Frequency	Percent
	177	48.49
1	22	6.03
2	5	1.37
3	6	1.64
4	155	42.47

Section GCS for verbal		
VerbalGCS	Frequency	Percent
	177	48.49
1	19	5.21
2	9	2.47
3	2	0.55
4	14	3.84
5	144	39.45

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Section GCS for motor		
MotorGCS	Frequency	Percent
	177	48.49
1	13	3.56
3	1	0.27
4	8	2.19
5	12	3.29
6	154	42.19

Calculated total GCS		
TotalGCS	Frequency	Percent
	177	48.49
10	2	0.55
11	3	0.82
12	2	0.55
13	3	0.82
14	14	3.84
15	138	37.81
3	10	2.74
4	1	0.27
6	3	0.82
7	9	2.47
8	3	0.82

Is there documentation of the patients mental status using a narrative description or an AVPU category?		
AVPU	Frequency	Percent
N	11	3.01
Y	354	96.99

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

If there is documentation of the patients mental status, which AVPU category best describes patients mental status?		
AVPUDetails	Frequency	Percent
	11	3.01
A	288	78.90
N	35	9.59
P	18	4.93
U	8	2.19
V	5	1.37

If documentation of patients mental status used a term that cannot be mapped to AVPU, which term best describes patients mental status?		
AVPUMental	Frequency	Percent
	330	90.41
OTH	35	9.59

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

If patients mental status is other, please describe		
AVPUMentaltxt	Frequency	Percent
	330	90.41
Agitated	1	0.27
Appropriate	1	0.27
Confused	1	0.27
Euthymic	1	0.27
agitated, crying,moaning cry, occasional episodes of decorticate posturing	1	0.27
agitated; combative	1	0.27
alert but disoriented	1	0.27
answers questions appropriately	1	0.27
anxious	1	0.27
appropriate, well-appearing	1	0.27
confused, moaning	1	0.27
confused, unable to remember anything, amnesia, anxious	1	0.27
hysterical, crying but consolable	1	0.27
intubated, sedated	1	0.27
interacts, consolable	1	0.27
intermittently alert/ irritable/ somnolent	1	0.27
intubated	1	0.27
intubated, sedated	1	0.27
intubated, sedated, paralyzed	1	0.27
mildly irritable	1	0.27
no apparent distress	1	0.27
oriented to person only	1	0.27
paralyzed	1	0.27
paralyzed, sedated	1	0.27
responsive to noxious stimuli/deep sternal rub	1	0.27
seized on or shortly after EDD arrival, then 'post-ictal moves all extremities'	1	0.27
sleepy but arousable	1	0.27
sleepy but arousable, agitated	1	0.27
sleepy, oriented to person and place	1	0.27
sleepy, slow to respond	1	0.27
thrashing, moving all extremities,	1	0.27

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

If patients mental status is other, please describe		
AVPUMentaltxt	Frequency	Percent
tired, difficult to awaken, a bit uncooperative	1	0.27
trying to extubate self, moving all 4	1	0.27
waxing and waning consciousness	1	0.27
well, following commands	1	0.27

Other Term used that cannot be mapped to AVPU (Categorized)		
AVPUMentaltxtCat	Frequency	Percent
	338	92.60
ALTERED	22	6.03
UNALTERED	5	1.37

Did the patient complain of pain?		
PtCompPain	Frequency	Percent
N	41	11.23
NA	35	9.59
ND	16	4.38
P	18	4.93
S	12	3.29
Y	237	64.93
YND	6	1.64

Complaint of pain in the Head		
PtCompPainHead	Frequency	Percent
0	292	80.00
1	73	20.00

Complaint of pain in the Face		
PtCompPainFace	Frequency	Percent
0	342	93.70
1	23	6.30

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Complaint of pain in the Neck		
PtCompPainNeck	Frequency	Percent
0	240	65.75
1	125	34.25

If neck pain was indicated, did the pain increase with neck movement?		
PtCompPainNeckMove	Frequency	Percent
	240	65.75
N	4	1.10
ND	105	28.77
Y	16	4.38

Complaint of pain in the Chest		
PtCompPainChest	Frequency	Percent
0	343	93.97
1	22	6.03

Complaint of pain in the Back		
PtCompPainBack	Frequency	Percent
0	324	88.77
1	41	11.23

Complaint of pain in the Flank		
PtCompPainFlank	Frequency	Percent
0	364	99.73
1	1	0.27

Complaint of pain in the Abdomen		
PtCompPainAbd	Frequency	Percent
0	343	93.97
1	22	6.03

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Complaint of pain in the Pelvis		
PtCompPainPelvis	Frequency	Percent
0	362	99.18
1	3	0.82

Complaint of pain in the Extremities		
PtCompPainExt	Frequency	Percent
0	272	74.52
1	93	25.48

Did the patient have tenderness on exam?		
PtTender	Frequency	Percent
N	104	28.49
NA	35	9.59
ND	6	1.64
S	12	3.29
Y	208	56.99

Tenderness in the Head		
PtTenderHead	Frequency	Percent
0	347	95.07
1	18	4.93

Tenderness in the Face		
PtTenderFace	Frequency	Percent
0	355	97.26
1	10	2.74

Tenderness in the Neck		
PtTenderNeck	Frequency	Percent
0	229	62.74
1	136	37.26

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Tenderness in the Neck: Level		
PtTenderNeckLevel	Frequency	Percent
0	315	86.30
1	50	13.70

Tenderness in the neck: C1		
PtTenderNeckLevelC1	Frequency	Percent
0	357	97.81
1	8	2.19

Tenderness in the neck: C2		
PtTenderNeckLevelC2	Frequency	Percent
0	352	96.44
1	13	3.56

Tenderness in the neck: C3		
PtTenderNeckLevelC3	Frequency	Percent
0	343	93.97
1	22	6.03

Tenderness in the neck: C4		
PtTenderNeckLevelC4	Frequency	Percent
0	342	93.70
1	23	6.30

Tenderness in the neck: C5		
PtTenderNeckLevelC5	Frequency	Percent
0	338	92.60
1	27	7.40

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Tenderness in the neck: C6		
PtTenderNeckLevelC6	Frequency	Percent
0	347	95.07
1	18	4.93

Tenderness in the neck: C7		
PtTenderNeckLevelC7	Frequency	Percent
0	346	94.79
1	19	5.21

Tenderness in the neck: Anterior		
PtTenderNeckAnt	Frequency	Percent
0	365	100.00

Tenderness in the neck: Posterior		
PtTenderNeckPos	Frequency	Percent
0	347	95.07
1	18	4.93

Tenderness in the neck: Lateral		
PtTenderNeckLat	Frequency	Percent
0	340	93.15
1	25	6.85

Tenderness in the neck: Midline		
PtTenderNeckMid	Frequency	Percent
0	332	90.96
1	33	9.04

Other neck tenderness		
PtTenderNeckOther	Frequency	Percent
0	312	85.48
1	53	14.52

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Other neck tenderness text		
PtTenderNeckOthertxt	Frequency	Percent
	312	85.48
'L SCM'	1	0.27
'entire c/s'	1	0.27
Right SCM	1	0.27
T1	2	0.55
T5	1	0.27
Upper c-spine	1	0.27
all along midline	1	0.27
around T1	1	0.27
base of c-spine	1	0.27
c-spine	1	0.27
cervical	1	0.27
cervical spine	1	0.27
cervical spine tenderness at C5	1	0.27
diffues cspine	1	0.27
diffuse	2	0.55
diffuse; lower	1	0.27
diffusely	1	0.27
diffusely tender	1	0.27
entire	1	0.27
entire c-spine	1	0.27
inconsistent tender	1	0.27
left SCM, mild upper cervical pain	1	0.27
left musculature	1	0.27
left sternocleidomastoid	2	0.55
light	1	0.27
mid and upper cervical spine	1	0.27
mid cervical spine	1	0.27
mid cspine	1	0.27
mild. no location given	1	0.27
musculoskeletal	1	0.27
non-specific tenderness	1	0.27

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Other neck tenderness text		
PtTenderNeckOthertxt	Frequency	Percent
over c-spine	1	0.27
paraspinal muscle mild tenderness	1	0.27
paraspinal muscles	2	0.55
point	1	0.27
right lateral side on lower aspect	1	0.27
right paraspinal	1	0.27
soft tissues	1	0.27
sternocliedomastoid left	1	0.27
tenderness over upper cspine	1	0.27
throughout	1	0.27
top to bottom	1	0.27
upper and ST right	1	0.27
upper and mid cervcial spine tenderness	1	0.27
upper cervical region	1	0.27
upper cervical, med student note mentions C1 and C2	1	0.27
upper half of neck on right	1	0.27
upper-mid	1	0.27
with flexion	1	0.27

Other neck tenderness finding deemed consistent with posterior midline neck tenderness by consensus panel		
PtTenderNeckOtherTxtCat	Frequency	Percent
	312	85.48
No	31	8.49
Yes	22	6.03

Tenderness in the Chest		
PtTenderChest	Frequency	Percent
0	344	94.25
1	21	5.75

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Tenderness in the Back		
PtTenderBack	Frequency	Percent
0	320	87.67
1	45	12.33

Tenderness in the Flank		
PtTenderFlank	Frequency	Percent
0	364	99.73
1	1	0.27

Tenderness in the Abdomen		
PtTenderAbd	Frequency	Percent
0	333	91.23
1	32	8.77

Tenderness in the Pelvis		
PtTenderPelvis	Frequency	Percent
0	360	98.63
1	5	1.37

Tenderness in the Extremities		
PtTenderExt	Frequency	Percent
0	293	80.27
1	72	19.73

Did the patient have limited range of motion of the neck or torticollis?		
LimitedRangeMotion	Frequency	Percent
3	10	2.74
4	236	64.66
N	70	19.18
NA	17	4.66

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Did the patient have limited range of motion of the neck or torticollis?		
LimitedRangeMotion	Frequency	Percent
ND	10	2.74
Y	22	6.03

Aside from tenderness on exam, was there any other clinical evidence of substantial injuries?		
OtherInjuries	Frequency	Percent
N	274	75.07
Y	91	24.93

Substantial Injuries to the: Head		
OtherInjuriesHead	Frequency	Percent
0	319	87.40
1	46	12.60

Substantial Injuries to the: Face		
OtherInjuriesFace	Frequency	Percent
0	348	95.34
1	17	4.66

Substantial Injuries to the: Neck		
OtherInjuriesNeck	Frequency	Percent
0	358	98.08
1	7	1.92

Substantial Injuries to the: Chest		
OtherInjuriesChest	Frequency	Percent
0	353	96.71
1	12	3.29

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Substantial Injuries to the: Back		
OtherInjuriesBack	Frequency	Percent
0	363	99.45
1	2	0.55

Substantial Injuries to the: Flank		
OtherInjuriesFlank	Frequency	Percent
0	365	100.00

Substantial Injuries to the: Abdomen		
OtherInjuriesAbd	Frequency	Percent
0	354	96.99
1	11	3.01

Substantial Injuries to the: Pelvis		
OtherInjuriesPelvis	Frequency	Percent
0	361	98.90
1	4	1.10

Substantial Injuries to the: Extremities		
OtherInjuriesExt	Frequency	Percent
0	337	92.33
1	28	7.67

Aside from tenderness on exam, was there any other clinical evidence of minor injuries?		
MinorInjuries	Frequency	Percent
N	166	45.48
Y	199	54.52

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Minor Injuries to the: Head		
MinorInjuriesHead	Frequency	Percent
0	296	81.10
1	69	18.90

Minor Injuries to the: Face		
MinorInjuriesFace	Frequency	Percent
0	264	72.33
1	101	27.67

Minor Injuries to the: Neck		
MinorInjuriesNeck	Frequency	Percent
0	347	95.07
1	18	4.93

Minor Injuries to the: Chest		
MinorInjuriesChest	Frequency	Percent
0	338	92.60
1	27	7.40

Minor Injuries to the: Back		
MinorInjuriesBack	Frequency	Percent
0	351	96.16
1	14	3.84

Minor Injuries to the: Flank		
MinorInjuriesFlank	Frequency	Percent
0	359	98.36
1	6	1.64

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Minor Injuries to the: Abdomen		
MinorInjuriesAbs	Frequency	Percent
0	354	96.99
1	11	3.01

Minor Injuries to the: Pelvis		
MinorInjuriesPelv	Frequency	Percent
0	355	97.26
1	10	2.74

Minor Injuries to the: Extremities		
MinorInjuriesExt	Frequency	Percent
0	264	72.33
1	101	27.67

Did the patient have any paresthesias (abnormal tactile sensation)?		
PtParesthesias	Frequency	Percent
3	11	3.01
N	259	70.96
NA	50	13.70
ND	23	6.30
Y	22	6.03

Did the patient have any loss of sensation (loss of feeling in any region of body)?		
PtSensoryLoss	Frequency	Percent
3	11	3.01
N	287	78.63
NA	34	9.32
ND	18	4.93
Y	15	4.11

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Did the patient have any extremity weakness?		
PtExtremityWeakness	Frequency	Percent
3	10	2.74
N	298	81.64
NA	33	9.04
ND	6	1.64
Y	18	4.93

Did the patient have a neurological deficit other than paresthesias, loss of sensation or extremity weakness?		
OtherNeuroDeficit	Frequency	Percent
N	307	84.11
NA	32	8.77
ND	7	1.92
Y	19	5.21

If yes, please describe the neurological deficit		
OtherNeuroDeficitDesc	Frequency	Percent
	346	94.79
aphasia, facial weakness, trembling right hand, unsteadiness of gait	1	0.27
cannot speak	1	0.27
decreased rectal tone	2	0.55
decreased rectal tone, priapism	1	0.27
decreased rectal tone; pupils fixed and dilated	1	0.27
decreased rectal tone; right pupil sluggish	1	0.27
dizzy	1	0.27
dtrs trace upper ext; right toes <--> ; left down;	1	0.27
eye deviation, eyes not tracking, decreased rectal tone, no extremity movement	1	0.27
hearing changes	1	0.27
increased tone lower extremities	1	0.27
loss of rectal tone	1	0.27
lue twitching	1	0.27
mild decreased rectal tone	1	0.27

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

If yes, please describe the neurological deficit		
OtherNeuroDeficitDesc	Frequency	Percent
priapism, decreased rectal tone	1	0.27
pupils fixed and dilated	1	0.27
repetitive questions, short term memory loss	1	0.27
will not speak, will not follow commands, altered MS	1	0.27

Other neurologic finding deemed consistent with spine injury by consensus panel		
OtherNeuroDeficitDescCat	Frequency	Percent
	346	94.79
No	8	2.19
Yes	11	3.01

Did the patient undergo interventions for cervical stabilization at the study site?		
IntervForCervicalStab	Frequency	Percent
N	230	63.01
Y	135	36.99

Cervical Stabilization: Soft Collar		
IntervForCervicalStabSCollar	Frequency	Percent
0	355	97.26
1	10	2.74

Cervical Stabilization: Rigid Collar		
IntervForCervicalStabRCollar	Frequency	Percent
0	258	70.68
1	107	29.32

Cervical Stabilization: Brace		
IntervForCervicalStabBrace	Frequency	Percent
0	363	99.45
1	2	0.55

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Cervical Stabilization: Traction		
IntervForCervicalStabTraction	Frequency	Percent
0	360	98.63
1	5	1.37

Cervical Stabilization: Surgical		
IntervForCervicalStabSurgical	Frequency	Percent
0	349	95.62
1	16	4.38

Cervical Stabilization: Surgical - Halo		
IntervForCervicalStabHalo	Frequency	Percent
0	352	96.44
1	13	3.56

Cervical Stabilization: Surgical - Internal Fixation		
IntervForCervicalStabIntFix	Frequency	Percent
0	356	97.53
1	9	2.47

Cervical Stabilization: Surgical - Other (describe)		
IntervForCervicalStabIntFixtxt	Frequency	Percent
	356	97.53
C1-C3 fusion	1	0.27
C4-5 anterior diskectomy and fusion with allograft bone and anterior plating	1	0.27
anterior approach to odontoid with tansodeontoid screw fixation of fracture	1	0.27
c5-6 fusion	1	0.27
halo placed first; then c4-7 anterior cervical fusion with atlantis anterior cervical plate and fibular allograft strut	1	0.27
open reduction and posterior spinal fusion/ bone graft	1	0.27
open reduction of c1-c2 subluxaton with Gallie fusion (C1-C2)	1	0.27

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Cervical Stabilization: Surgical - Other (describe)		
IntervForCervicalStabIntFixtxt	Frequency	Percent
posterior c3-c6lateral mass fixation nad fusion, c4-5 corpectamies with fibu;lar strut grfatand orion plating	1	0.27
posterior spinal fusion C2-C3 with an intraspinous process wiring technique; right posterior iliac crest bone graft havest	1	0.27

Cervical Stabilization: Other		
IntervForCervicalStabOther	Frequency	Percent
0	358	98.08
1	7	1.92

Cervical Stabilization: Other (describe)		
IntervForCervicalStabOthertxt	Frequency	Percent
	358	98.08
aspen collar	2	0.55
collar was removed on 2nd day in picu	1	0.27
full spinal immobilization	1	0.27
miami collar	1	0.27
sand bags to improve immobilization during transport to CT	1	0.27
towels to support c-collar	1	0.27

What was the patients neurological outcome at discharge from the study site?		
OutcomeStudySite	Frequency	Percent
DTH	9	2.47
N	326	89.32
PND	30	8.22

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Please classify the patients cognitive function		
OutcomeStudySiteNeuro	Frequency	Percent
	335	91.78
MD	9	2.47
NR	14	3.84
PVS	1	0.27
SD	6	1.64

Please classify the patients mobility		
OutcomeStudySiteMobility	Frequency	Percent
	335	91.78
DA	10	2.74
I	4	1.10
N	11	3.01
WD	5	1.37

Complete paraplegia		
OutcomeStudySiteMobility1	Frequency	Percent
0	363	99.45
1	2	0.55

Complete quadriplegia		
OutcomeStudySiteMobility2	Frequency	Percent
0	364	99.73
1	1	0.27

Please indicate the patients bowel function		
OutcomeStudySiteBowel	Frequency	Percent
	335	91.78
I	10	2.74
N	20	5.48

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Please classify the patients bladder function		
OutcomeStudySiteUrine	Frequency	Percent
	335	91.78
C	3	0.82
I	7	1.92
N	20	5.48

Field Documentation		
FieldDocumentation	Frequency	Percent
	1	0.27
EMS	194	53.15
NR	90	24.66
OTR	80	21.92

Was patient ambulatory prior to EMS arrival?		
PtAmbulatoryPriorEMSArrival	Frequency	Percent
	171	46.85
N	55	15.07
ND	93	25.48
PA	8	2.19
Y	38	10.41

What was patients position on EMS arrival?		
PatientsPosition	Frequency	Percent
	171	46.85
IDEMS	35	9.59
L	62	16.99
ND	53	14.52
PA	2	0.55
S	31	8.49
W	11	3.01

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Was there history of loss of consciousness (LOC)?		
HxLOCField	Frequency	Percent
	171	46.85
N	90	24.66
ND	41	11.23
S	7	1.92
U	12	3.29
Y	44	12.05

Outside Hospital Emergency Department (ED) Documentation		
EDDocumentation	Frequency	Percent
	2	0.55
ED	59	16.16
EDU	23	6.30
SITE	281	76.99

On arrival to the outside ED was the patient ambulatory?		
PtAmbulatoryPriorArrivalED	Frequency	Percent
	307	84.11
N	39	10.68
ND	7	1.92
Y	12	3.29

Was there history of loss of consciousness (LOC)?		
HxLOCED	Frequency	Percent
	307	84.11
N	30	8.22
ND	10	2.74
S	3	0.82
U	4	1.10
Y	11	3.01

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Primary Mechanism of Injury		
InjuryPrimaryMechanism	Frequency	Percent
1	97	26.58
10	8	2.19
11	19	5.21
12	3	0.82
13	2	0.55
14	39	10.68
2	7	1.92
20	7	1.92
3	17	4.66
4	11	3.01
5	3	0.82
6	38	10.41
7	24	6.58
8	43	11.78
9	47	12.88

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Primary Mechanism of Injury Text		
InjuryPrimaryMechanismtxt	Frequency	Percent
	276	75.62
ATV	4	1.10
Basketball- hit in head with ball	1	0.27
Fell from horse	1	0.27
Football	1	0.27
Football, collided helmets with another player, axial load	1	0.27
Football, tackled ended up at bottom of pile	1	0.27
Football,tackled	1	0.27
Hockey,	1	0.27
Indoor soccer, ran into a wall	1	0.27
Jumping on trampoline- landed backward on head	1	0.27
Soccer kicked in head	1	0.27
Trampoline: fell off trampoline onto head	1	0.27
Turning backflips on playground, landed on neck	1	0.27
alleged assault	1	0.27
baseball	1	0.27
baseball catcher struck in back of neck with other players outstretched arm	1	0.27
basketball	3	0.82
checked into boards in hockey	1	0.27
checked into boards playing hockey	1	0.27
cheerleading	1	0.27
cheerleading,fell backward landed on back	1	0.27
crowd surge, pt pinned against a wall, fainted and experienced neck and back pain	1	0.27
dog bite versus scratch	1	0.27
doing summersault	1	0.27
fall from horse	1	0.27
fell backward during basketball game	1	0.27
fell off horse	2	0.55
fell off horse, dragged and kicked	1	0.27
fell out of a moving vehicle. Mother mentioned that chil was seat belted - questionable as child fell out of car after sibling opened car door. Car spped ~20mph	1	0.27
fell while jumping in moon bounce	1	0.27
football	4	1.10

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Primary Mechanism of Injury Text		
InjuryPrimaryMechanismtxt	Frequency	Percent
football collision	1	0.27
football, collided helmets	1	0.27
football, fell onto knee of other player, striking neck	1	0.27
football: tackled and helmet was pushed down and he hyperextended his neck	1	0.27
football; went to tackle - helmet embedded into ground	1	0.27
found face down in crib	1	0.27
found in backseat of car with seatbelt wrapped around neck, unresponsive	1	0.27
found unresponsive face down in kiddie pool	1	0.27
gym class	1	0.27
head caught between a bull and a gate!!	1	0.27
headon collision between 2 players during football	1	0.27
hit head and or neck on car door while attempting to enter car	1	0.27
hockey	2	0.55
hit by bike while in wheelchair	1	0.27
jumping off bed, unwitnessed	1	0.27
jumping on sofa, experienced acute onset neck pain	1	0.27
motor cross bike	1	0.27
motorcycle	1	0.27
motorcycle, ejected in mid air	1	0.27
no history of trauma given by family, Ca suspected	1	0.27
on trampoline, fell backwards, hyperextending neck	1	0.27
pt struck by motorized scooter while walking	1	0.27
riding a wakeboard behind jet ski and accident with other jet ski	1	0.27
rollerblading	1	0.27
ski injury, collided with another skier	1	0.27
sledding	1	0.27
snowboarding	5	1.37
soccer	2	0.55
soccer, hit in chest with soccer ball	1	0.27
soccer; tripped and landed on right shoulder and head	1	0.27
somersault and felt neck pain and torticollis	1	0.27
stepped on by a bull; fell off bull while riding it	1	0.27

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Primary Mechanism of Injury Text		
InjuryPrimaryMechanismtxt	Frequency	Percent
swinging purse around neck	1	0.27
swingset: fall while swinging; landed on back	1	0.27
trauma note mentions question between fall from standing versus being assaulted by siblings, peds surgery note mentions fall,	1	0.27
turned head to watch TV	1	0.27
while doing backward somersault, landed funny on neck and heard snap	1	0.27
wrestling	3	0.82
wrestling with a friend	1	0.27
wrestling with brother and brother jumped on him his neck	1	0.27
wrestling with dad	1	0.27

Motor Vehicle Collision: Type of collision - Rear end collision		
MVCREC	Frequency	Percent
0	345	94.52
1	20	5.48

Motor Vehicle Collision: Type of collision - Head on collision		
MVCHOC	Frequency	Percent
0	344	94.25
1	21	5.75

Motor Vehicle Collision: Type of collision - Side Impact		
MVCSI	Frequency	Percent
0	334	91.51
1	31	8.49

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Motor Vehicle Collision: Type of collision - Rollover		
MVCRO	Frequency	Percent
0	350	95.89
1	15	4.11

Motor Vehicle Collision: Type of collision - Ejected from automobile		
MVCEFA	Frequency	Percent
0	359	98.36
1	6	1.64

Motor Vehicle Collision: Type of collision - Death in the same collision		
MVCDSC	Frequency	Percent
0	362	99.18
1	3	0.82

Motor Vehicle Collision: Speed of patients vehicle		
MVCSpeed	Frequency	Percent
.	316	86.58
1	11	3.01
2	18	4.93
3	20	5.48

Motor Vehicle Collision: Was a passenger restraint system used?		
PassRestraint	Frequency	Percent
.	274	75.07
0	27	7.40
1	64	17.53

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Other Motorized Transport Crash: Speed of patients vehicle		
OMTSpeed	Frequency	Percent
.	363	99.45
1	1	0.27
2	1	0.27

Blunt injury: Assault?		
Assault	Frequency	Percent
0	357	97.81
1	8	2.19

Blunt injury: Suspected or confirmed child abuse?		
ChildAbuse	Frequency	Percent
0	364	99.73
1	1	0.27

Blunt injury: Shaken baby syndrome?		
ShakenBabySyndrome	Frequency	Percent
0	365	100.00

Fall from elevation: Estimated height in feet		
FallFromElevation	Frequency	Percent
.	323	88.49
1	9	2.47
2	13	3.56
3	20	5.48

Kappa dataset summary

The FREQ Procedure

Fall down stairs: Estimated number of stairs		
FallDownStairs	Frequency	Percent
.	357	97.81
1	4	1.10
2	3	0.82
3	1	0.27

Was the injury a result of an object striking the neck?		
clotheslining	Frequency	Percent
	214	58.63
N	139	38.08
ND	9	2.47
Y	3	0.82

Was the child wearing protective helmet?		
Helmet	Frequency	Percent
	238	65.21
N	38	10.41
ND	65	17.81
Y	24	6.58