

Suppose that an asset price process  $S = (S_{t_k})_{k \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}}$  (with  $t_k = k \Delta t$  and  $\Delta t = \frac{T}{N}$ , for a fixed  $N$ ) are given by the stochastic dynamics

$$S_{t_k} = S_{t_{k-1}} e^{r \Delta t + \sigma \sqrt{\Delta t} \epsilon_k},$$

where  $\epsilon_k$  are iid rv with  $\epsilon_k \in \{+1, -1\}$  and

$$\mathbb{P}(\epsilon_k = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \frac{(\mu - r) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2}{\sigma} \sqrt{\Delta t} \right).$$

Here,  $r \geq 0$  and  $\sigma > 0$  are constants. This is a variation of the Cox, Ross, Rubenstein (CRR) model.

Moreover, let  $B = (B_{t_k})_{k \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}}$  denote the bank account with  $B_t = e^{rt}$ .

1. Derive the branching probabilities  $\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon_k = \pm 1)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}^S(\epsilon_k = \pm 1)$ , as well as the  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}^S$ .

*[Here,  $\mathbb{Q}$  refers to the martingale measure induced by using the bank account  $B$  as a numeraire, and  $\mathbb{Q}^S$  refers to the martingale measure induced by using the asset  $S$  as a numeraire.]*

*For those that are keen, derive the distribution of  $\log \frac{S_T}{S_0}$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . You cannot use the central limit theorem, as the probabilities vary as  $N$  increases, rather you have to compute the mgf and prove that it converges to something that then allows you to determine the distribution.*

2. In this part, you will evaluate an American put option. Assume that  $T = 1$ ,  $S_0 = 10$ ,  $\mu = 5\%$ ,  $\sigma = 20\%$ , and the risk-free rate  $r = 2\%$ . Use  $N = 5000$  and a strike  $K = 10$ .

- (a) Implement the valuation and exercise boundary of the American put option using two methods: (i) with  $B$  as the numeraire, and (ii) with  $S$  as the numerarie.

- i. Plot the exercise boundary as a function of  $t$  for both approaches.
- ii. Generate two sample paths where in sample path 1) the option is exercised early (say around  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ ), 2) the option is not exercised
- iii. Along the two sample paths above, plot the hedging strategy that a trader would use to hedge the option as a function of time.
- iv. Illustrate how the results in i,ii, and iii vary as volatility and risk-free rate change (pari-wise).  
*[For example,  $\sigma = 10\%, 20\%, 30\%$  and  $r = 0\%, 2\%, 4\%$ ]*

- (b) Assume **you have purchased** the American option using the parameters  $T = 1$ ,  $S_0 = 10$ ,  $\mu = 5\%$ ,  $\sigma = 20\%$ , and the risk-free rate  $r = 2\%$ . Use  $N = 5000$  and a strike  $K = 10$ .

- i. **Simulate 10,000 sample paths** of the asset and generate distributions for the P&L and distribution and the stopping time for a trader who purchased the option. Conditioned on those paths that are exercised (otherwise the distribution will have point masses), but **record the probability of exercise to account for the point mass.**
- ii. Repeat the above for various values of  $r$  and  $\sigma$ .
- iii. Suppose that the realized volatility is  $\sigma = 10\%, 15\%, 20\%, 25\%, 30\%$ , **but you were able to purchase the option with a volatility of  $\sigma = 20\%$  and you use the  $\sigma = 20\%$  exercise boundary in your trading strategy.** Explore how the distributions of profit and loss and exercise time vary in this case.