

# 3-sum

- Find all triples of numbers  $(x_i, x_j, x_k)$  from the indexed set  $X$  where  $x_i + x_j + x_k = 0$
- How do we do this? Brute force implementation is going to involve three nested *for* loops, i.e. it will be  $O(N^3)$
- Is there an easy way to improve it?
  - Reduction
  - Memoization
  - (Dynamic Programming)
- Example set: -3, -1, 1, 2, 4, 5

# Reduction

1. Transform domain of problem A into domain of problem B.
2. Solve problem B.
3. Transform domain of problem B back into domain of problem A.

# Improving 3-sum (our problem A)

- Problem B is the following:
  - Given a table of pairs of numbers, indexed by their sum, find, for a value  $v$ , every pair  $p_j$  such that  $v = -p_j$

Sum	Pairs
-4	-3,-1
-2	-3,1
-1	-3,2
0	-1,1
1	-3,4    -1,2
2	-3,5
3	-1,4    1,2
4	-1,5
5	1,4
6	1,5 2,4
7	2,5
9	4,5

# Our reduction of $A \rightarrow B$

- Build the *sums* table (i.e. memoization)
  - This will take time proportional to  $N^2$
- For every element  $x_i$  in the set, get the set of pairs  $P_i$  from the table, thus forming a set of tuples:  $x_i \rightarrow P_i$  where  $P_i = (x_j, x_k)$ 
  - This will take time proportional to  $N \lg s$  where  $s$  is the number of sums
- Transform the set  $P$  into the set  $R$  where  $R_i = (x_i, x_j, x_k)$ 
  - This will take time proportional to  $N$  (at worst)

# Total time for reduction?

- $N^2$  instead of  $N^3$
- What did it cost us?
  - Memory space: proportional to  $N^2 + s$
  - We also need an algorithm to find the relevant index (and pairs) from the table without searching one-by-one (but even that isn't essential)

# Merge sort

- This is the example of reduction that I discussed on the blackboard (hopefully):
  - Step 1: transform problem A (sorting an array of length  $N$ ) into problem B (sorting two arrays each of length  $N/2$ );
  - Step 2: solve (recursively) each of the parts of problem B (when  $N$  gets below a threshold  $k$ , we use insertion sort: takes a total of  $N^2/2$  time);
  - Step 3: transform the solution to problem B (i.e. two sorted sub-arrays) into the domain of problem A (by merging the two sorted sub-arrays into a sorted version of the original array [this operation takes linear time]).
- We can show (later) that the entire operation takes time proportional to  $N \log_2 N$ .

# In general...

- We will use this type of technique (reduction) all the time throughout this course.
- Think of an algorithm that takes time  $t$  for  $N$  elements where:
  - $t = c N^k$
- We may be able to use reduction to a problem whose solution takes time  $t' = c' N^{k'}$  where  $c' < c$  or where  $k' < k$  or...
- Perhaps we can reduce it to two (or more) problems where each problem involves a subset of  $N$ : this is the famous “divide and conquer” technique.

# What else?

- Quantum Computing