The role of wetting vs. drying

on SOM destabilization

Kaizad F. Patel

Objectives

To partition biochemical and physicochemical protection/destabilization mechanisms for soil C by their sensitivities to wetting and drying.

Hypotheses

1. drying and wetting processes destabilize C via different mechanisms: desorption and cell lysis (drying), vs. increased hydrologic connectivity and improved spatial access to substrate (wetting).
   - under conditions of drying, desorption of C from clay-sorbed substrate will result in [lag and release] of desorption/respiration. vs. cell lysis means we will have a pulse of more 12C vs. the newly added 13C
2. drying will have a stronger effect on soil C destabilization, compared to wetting
   - drying will have a higher ratio of 13/12C because the respiration will be more destabilization vs. wetting/access to native soil C.

Experiment

60 g field-moist Palouse soil (~58 g oven-dry equivalent) in pint size Mason jars
labelled substrate (13C-oxalic acid, 18.66 % enriched) was added to the soil, in adsorbed or solution form.

sorbed-C

T0 (time-zero) — goethite + sorbed ^{13}C-OA mixed with soil
W (wetting)
D (drying)
DW (drying-rewetting)

solution-C

T0 (time-zero) — goethite mixed with soil
W (wetting) — ^{13}C-OA solution added

goethite
13C

adsorbed-C:

- 20 mL of labelled OA solution mixed with 5 g goethite; rinsed 3x; labelled goethite resuspended in 5 mL water; added as paste to soil units

- The soil was subjected to one of the following treatments, after which the jars were sealed:

  1. time-zero: jars sealed as soon as goethite was added
  2. wetting: 20 mL water added
  3. drying: soil allowed to air-dry until constant weight
  4. drying-rewetting: soil allowed to dry, then rewet with 20 mL water

- The jars were sealed for 48 hours.

Experiment

60 g field-moist Palouse soil (~58 g oven-dry equivalent) in pint size Mason jars
labelled substrate (13C-oxalic acid, 18.66 % enriched) was added to the soil, in adsorbed or solution form.


solution-C:

- a parallel experiment was performed as a control for priming effects of the added OA

- 5 g goethite mixed with soil; 16 mL of labelled OA solution added to the soil-goethite mixture

- The soil was subjected to one of the following treatments, after which the jars were sealed:

  1. time-zero: goethite mixed with soil
  2. wetting: 16 mL 13C-OA solution added

- The jars were sealed for 48 hours.

Analyses

1. **respiration**: headspace samples were collected after the 48-hr incubation. analyzed for CO_2 and $13C$-CO_2

   - LI-7810 (LI-COR), LGR

2. **WEOC** (water extractable organic C): organic carbon extracted and analyzed for C content and 13C

   - extracts were dried to powder and analyzed as solid samples
   - VarioIsotope Cube/Isoprime precisION IRMS (Elementar)

3. **total soil C**: soil was dried and analyzed for C and 13C content

   - VarioIsotope Cube/Isoprime precisION IRMS (Elementar)

sorbed-C

**Figure Caption**

C concentrations and d13C values (relative to Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite) for T0 (time-zero), W (wetting), D (drying), and DW (drying-rewetting) treatments.

Different letters denote significant differences across treatments.

Asterisks denote significant differences from the unlabelled control samples (dashed line).

sorbed-C

dashed line = avg of control samples

respiration

- C amendment did not increase respiration

- however, the CO2 evolved was significantly enriched throughout (except for D, which had almost no respiration)

  - thus, the added OA did contribute to the CO2 evolved, but did not increase overall CO2 evolved
  - respiration in these soils is not C limited?
  - no priming effect?

  - perhaps low microbial load, so low respiration potential

  - *even adding oxalic acid in solution form did not increase CO2 significantly, see solution-C slides*

sorbed-C

dashed line = avg of control samples

respiration

- simply mixing the labelled clay with the soil did not change the amount of CO_2 evolved (T0).
- wetting decreased the evolved CO_2 by 0.05 mg C/g.
  - negative priming as the oxalic acid was released?
 - high moisture suppressed respiration?
  - lag effect?

sorbed-C

dashed line = avg of control samples

respiration

- CO2 enrichment did not differ between W and DW samples.

    - *we expected DW > W*
    - W and DW mobilized C equally?

- but more CO2 was evolved after DW, compared to W

   - drought effects: destabilization of C, microbial necromass/osmolytes

sorbed-C

dashed line = avg of control samples

WEOC

- WEOC concentrations were lower than control for all except D

  - because the C was consumed for respiration? (*inconsistent with resp data*)

- D had the greatest WEOC concentrations

  - (a) desorption of C, (b) microbial necromass/osmolytes, and/or (c) C was not respired and therefore accumulated

sorbed-C

dashed line = avg of control samples

WEOC

- WEOC was enriched throughout

- W and D were the most enriched

  - because both wetting and drying processes destabilized C

- note: W and D had similar enrichment, but W had lower WEOC concentrations. So less 13C was destabilized by wetting?

  - also: mass balance slide shows T0 and W had similar 13C, and D had increased 13C. So drying destabilized more C than W?

sorbed-C

dashed line = avg of control samples

WEOC

- W had greater variability than D (STATS)
    - preferential wetting patterns?
   - "weaker/non-uniform destabilization" than drying?

sorbed-C

dashed line = avg of control samples

soil C

- soil C concentrations were lower than control for all

  - because the C was consumed for respiration? (*inconsistent with resp data*)

- soil C was enriched for all,

- with greatest enrichment in D

  - ???
  - accumulation of unrespired C?
  - but D also had the lowest C concentrations (non-sig), and 13C ug/g was similar to T0 (see mass balance slide)

solution-C

dashed line = avg of control samples

T0 = goethite mixed with soil

+C = 13C-OA added in solution form

- respiration, WEOC, and soil were all enriched after addition of soluble 13C-oxalic acid

- CHECK T0 ENRICHMENT STATS

- respiration: more enriched from solution-C (1648 ‰) compared to sorbed-C (586 ‰)
  - OA was more available in solution form than in adsorbed form

- but despite increased OA contribution, overall CO2 evolved did not increase.

Mass Balance: sorbed-C

493 μg (7.72 μg/g) 13C was added in sorbed form.

13C μg/g in sorbed-C soils compared to control soils:

	resp	soil	weoc
T0	+0.716	-34.1	-0.291
W	+0.205	-15.6	-0.204
D	NA †	NA †	NA †
DW	+0.490	-11.3	-0.520

† no control samples

Mass Balance: sorbed-C


isotope retention

493 µg (7.72 µg/g) 13C was added in sorbed form.

But the treated soils showed decreased 13C values. (???)

comparing added 13C with (resp + soil) difference, there is a missing piece of 13C

- methane? no, because CH4 production was very, very low, and did not change with treatment/OA addition.

Mass Balance: solution-C

417 ug (6.54 ug/g) 13C was added as solution

- very little change in WEOC-13C
- some increase in resp-13C
- decrease in soil-13C
  SO WHERE DID THE 13C GO???

13C μg/g in +C compared to T0 (time zero):

	resp	soil	weoc
+C	+1.82	-8	+0.08

extra: How did clay addition influence C?

We compare time-zero of control (soil only) with time-zero of solution-C treatment (soil + goethite)

- respiration did not change significantly, but enrichment was more variable

    - ???

- weoc decreased, but enrichment did not change

    - previously available sorbed onto goethite

- soil C decreased, as did enrichment

    - ???

Conclusions

- wetting did not destabilize much sorbed-C

- drying released more sorbed-C than wetting

MAJOR QUESTIONS

1. do we use δ13C or C13 mass (mass balance) to determine extent of destabilization?

2. missing 13C?

Session Info

Date run: 2021-01-28

Slides prepared using xaringan

```
## R version 4.0.2 (2020-06-22)
## Platform: x86_64-apple-darwin17.0 (64-bit)
## Running under: macOS Catalina 10.15.7
##
## Matrix products: default
## BLAS:    /System/Library/Frameworks/Accelerate.framework/Versions/A/Frameworks/vecLib.framework/Versions/A/libBLAS.dylib
## LAPACK: /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.0/Resources/lib/libRlapack.dylib
##
## locale:
## [1] en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8/C/en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8
##
## attached base packages:
## [1] stats     graphics  grDevices utils     datasets  methods   base
##
## other attached packages:
##  [1] agricolae_1.3-3 patchwork_1.1.1 outliers_0.14   PNWColors_0.1.0 drake_7.13.0    forcats_0.5.0
##  [7] stringr_1.4.0   dplyr_1.0.2     purrr_0.3.4     readr_1.4.0     tidyr_1.1.2     tibble_3.0.4
## [13] ggplot2_3.3.3   tidyverse_1.3.0
```