

Single-cell ATAC-seq analysis pipeline

Kai Zhang

Table of contents

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Preface | 3 |
| 1 Introduction | 4 |
| 2 Per-cell Quality Control | 5 |
| 3 Summary | 7 |
| References | 8 |

Preface

This is a Quarto book.

To learn more about Quarto books visit <https://quarto.org/docs/books>.

1 Introduction

This is a book created from markdown and executable code.

See Knuth (1984) for additional discussion of literate programming.

2 Per-cell Quality Control

Strict quality control (QC) of scATAC-seq data is essential to remove the contribution of low-quality cells. The `get_qc` function calculates and outputs various QC metrics. We typically use two QC metrics below to filter the cells:

1. The number of unique nuclear fragments (i.e. not mapping to mitochondrial DNA).
2. The signal-to-background ratio. Low signal-to-background ratio is often attributed to dead or dying cells which have de-chromatinized DNA which allows for random transposition genome-wide.

The first metric, unique nuclear fragments, is straightforward - cells with very few usable fragments will not provide enough data to make useful interpretations and should therefore be excluded.

The second metric, signal-to-background ratio, is calculated as the TSS enrichment score. Traditional bulk ATAC-seq analysis has used this TSS enrichment score as part of a standard workflow for determination of signal-to-background (for example, the ENCODE project). We and others have found the TSS enrichment to be representative across the majority of cell types tested in both bulk ATAC-seq and scATAC-seq. The idea behind the TSS enrichment score metric is that ATAC-seq data is universally enriched at gene TSS regions compared to other genomic regions, due to large protein complexes that bind to promoters. By looking at per-basepair accessibility centered at these TSS regions, we see a local enrichment relative to flanking regions (1900-2000 bp distal in both directions). The ratio between the peak of this enrichment (centered at the TSS) relative to these flanking regions represents the TSS enrichment score.

Traditionally, the per-base-pair accessibility is computed for each bulk ATAC-seq sample and then this profile is used to determine the TSS enrichment score. Performing this operation on a per-cell basis in scATAC-seq is relatively slow and computationally expensive. To accurately approximate the TSS enrichment score per single cell, we count the average accessibility within a 50-bp region centered at each single-base TSS position and divide this by the average accessibility of the TSS flanking positions (+/- 1900 - 2000 bp). This approximation was highly correlated ($R > 0.99$) with the original method and values were extremely close in magnitude.

The fragment size distribution. Due to nucleosomal periodicity, we expect to see depletion of fragments that are the length of DNA wrapped around a nucleosome (approximately 147 bp). The third metric, fragment size distribution, is generally less important but always good

to manually inspect. Because of the patterned way that DNA wraps around nucleosomes, we expect to see a nucleosomal periodicity in the distribution of fragment sizes in our data. These hills and valleys appear because fragments must span 0, 1, 2, etc. nucleosomes (Tn5 cannot cut DNA that is tightly wrapped around a nucleosome).

3 Summary

In summary, this book has no content whatsoever.

References

Knuth, Donald E. 1984. “Literate Programming.” *Comput. J.* 27 (2): 97–111. <https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/27.2.97>.