

6. Supply, Demand, and Government Policies

Seoul National University

March 24, 2016

What this chapter is about

- ▶ What are price ceilings and price floors?
What are some examples of each?
- ▶ How do price ceilings and price floors affect market outcomes?
- ▶ How do taxes affect market outcomes?
How do the effects depend on whether the tax is imposed on buyers or sellers?
- ▶ What is the incidence of a tax?
What determines the incidence?

Government Policies That Alter the Private Market Outcome

- ▶ **Price controls** 가 가
 - ▶ **Price ceiling:** a legal maximum on the price of a good or service
 - ▶ eg: rent control
 - ▶ **Price floor:** a legal minimum on the price of a good or service
 - ▶ eg: minimum wage
- ▶ **Taxes**
 - ▶ The govt can make buyers or sellers pay a specific amount on each unit.
- ▶ Use the supply/demand model to see the effects of each policy

How Price Ceilings Affect Market Outcomes

가 가 가 가 .

- ▶ A price ceiling above the equilibrium price is not binding
 - ▶ Has no effect on the market outcome.
- ▶ The equilibrium price is above the ceiling and therefore illegal
 - ▶ The ceiling is a binding constraint on the price
 - ▶ Causes a shortage
 - ▶ Sellers must ration the scarce goods
 - ▶ Long lines
 - ▶ Discrimination according to sellers bias

Example 1: Lines at the gas pump

- ▶ 1973, OPEC raised the price of crude oil
 - ▶ Reduced the supply of gasoline
 - ▶ Long lines at gas stations
- ▶ What was responsible for the long gas lines?
 - ▶ OPEC
 - ▶ Shortage of gasoline
 - ▶ U.S. government regulations
 - ▶ Price ceiling on gasoline

Example 1: Lines at the gas pump

Price ceiling on gasoline

- ▶ Before OPEC raised the price of crude oil
 - ▶ Equilibrium price was below the price ceiling
 - ▶ No effect on the market
- ▶ When the price of crude oil rose
 - ▶ Decrease in the supply of gasoline
 - ▶ Equilibrium price was above the price ceiling
 - ▶ Binding price ceiling
 - ▶ Severe shortage
- ▶ Laws regulating the price of gasoline were repealed

Example 2: Rent control

가

가

가

- ▶ Adverse effects in the short run
 - ▶ Supply and demand for housing are relatively inelastic
 - ▶ Small shortage
 - ▶ Reduced rents
- ▶ Adverse effects in the long run
 - ▶ Supply and demand are more elastic
 - ▶ Large shortage of housing

Example 2: Rent control

- ▶ Adverse effects in the long run
 - ▶ Rationing mechanisms
 - ▶ Long waiting lists
 - ▶ Preference to tenants without children
 - ▶ Discriminate on the basis of race
 - ▶ Bribes to building superintendents

Example 2: Rent control

- ▶ People respond to incentives (more in LR)
 - ▶ Free markets
 - ▶ Landlords – clean and safe buildings
 - ▶ Higher prices
 - ▶ Rent control
 - ▶ Shortages and waiting lists
 - ▶ Landlords lose their incentive to respond to tenants' concerns
 - ▶ Tenants get lower rents and lower-quality housing
- ▶ Policymakers – additional regulations
 - ▶ Difficult and costly to enforce

How price floors affect market outcomes

- ▶ Not binding
 - ▶ Set below the equilibrium price
 - ▶ No effect on the market
- ▶ Binding constraint
 - ▶ Set above the equilibrium price
 - ▶ Surplus
 - ▶ Some sellers are unable to sell what they want
 - ▶ The rationing mechanisms: not desirable

Example 3: The minimum wage

- ▶ Price floor: minimum wage
 - ▶ Lowest price for labor that any employer may pay
- ▶ Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938
 - ▶ Ensure workers a minimally adequate standard of living
- ▶ 2012, federal minimum wage, \$7.25/hour
 - ▶ Some states mandate minimum wages above the federal level
- ▶ France
 - ▶ Average income is 27% lower than in the U.S.
 - ▶ Minimum wage 9.40 euros per hour (\$12)

Example 3: The minimum wage

- ▶ Market for labor
 - ▶ Workers supply labor
 - ▶ Firms demand labor
 - ▶ Price: wage

Example 3: The minimum wage

- ▶ Impact of the minimum wage on teenage labor
 - ▶ Least skilled and least experienced
 - ▶ Low equilibrium wages
 - ▶ Willing to accept a lower wage in exchange for on-the-job training
 - ▶ Minimum wage – binding
 - ▶ A 10% increase in the minimum wage depresses teenage employment between 1 and 3%

Evaluating Price Controls

- ▶ Markets are usually a good way to organize economic activity
 - ▶ Economists usually oppose price ceilings and price floors
 - ▶ Prices have the crucial job of balancing supply and demand
 - ▶ Coordinating economic activity
- ▶ Governments can sometimes improve market outcomes
 - ▶ Want to use price controls
 - ▶ Because of unfair market outcome
 - ▶ Aimed at helping the poor
 - ▶ Often hurt those they are trying to help
 - ▶ Other ways of helping those in need
 - ▶ Rent subsidies
 - ▶ Wage subsidies

?????

Taxes

- ▶ Government use taxes
 - ▶ To raise revenue for public projects
 - ▶ Roads, schools, and national defense
- ▶ Tax incidence
 - ▶ How the burden of a tax is shared among participants in a market

How taxes on sellers affect market outcomes

가

- ▶ Immediate impact on sellers: shift in supply
- ▶ Supply curve shifts left
- ▶ Higher equilibrium price
- ▶ Lower equilibrium quantity

가 가 .

How taxes on sellers affect market outcomes

- ▶ Taxes discourage market activity
 - ▶ The size of the market gets smaller
- ▶ Buyers and sellers share the burden of tax
- ▶ Buyers pay more, are worse off
- ▶ Sellers receive less, are worse off
 - ▶ Get the higher price but pay the tax
 - ▶ Overall: effective price fall

가

How taxes on buyers affect market outcomes

가 .

- ▶ Demand curve shifts left
- ▶ Lower equilibrium price
- ▶ Lower equilibrium quantity

How taxes on buyers affect market outcomes

가

가

.

- ▶ Taxes discourage market activity
 - ▶ The size of the market gets smaller
- ▶ Buyers and sellers share the burden of tax
- ▶ Sellers get a lower price, are worse off
- ▶ Buyers pay a lower market price, are worse off
 - ▶ Effective price (with tax) rises

Equivalence result

- ▶ Taxes levied on sellers and taxes levied on buyers are equivalent
- ▶ Wedge between the price that buyers pay and the price that sellers receive
 - ▶ The same, regardless of whether the tax is levied on buyers or sellers
- ▶ Shifts the relative position of the supply and demand curves
 - ▶ Buyers and sellers share the tax burden

Elasticity and tax incidence

가 .

- ▶ Very elastic supply and relatively inelastic demand
 - ▶ Sellers bear a small burden of tax
 - ▶ Buyers bear most of the burden
- ▶ Relatively inelastic supply and very elastic demand
 - ▶ Sellers bear most of the tax burden
 - ▶ Buyers bear a small burden

가 가 .

Elasticity and tax incidence

- ▶ Tax burden falls more heavily on the side of the market that is less elastic
- ▶ Small elasticity of demand 가 가 .
 - ▶ Buyers do not have good alternatives to consuming this good
- ▶ Small elasticity of supply 가 .
 - ▶ Sellers do not have good alternatives to producing this good

Example 4: Who pays the luxury tax?

가 .

- ▶ On yachts, private airplanes, furs, jewelry, expensive cars
- ▶ Goal: to raise revenue from those who could most easily afford to pay
- ▶ Luxury items
 - ▶ Demand is quite elastic
 - ▶ Supply is relatively inelastic

Example 4: Who pays the luxury tax?

- ▶ Outcome:
 - ▶ Burden of a tax falls largely on producers and their workers
 - ▶ Relatively inelastic supply
- ▶ 1993: most of the luxury tax was repealed

“If this boat were any more expensive, we’d be playing golf.”