# Think of a Title

Ekaterina Verbitskaia kajigor@gmail.com JetBrains Belgrade, Serbia Daniil Berezun

JetBrains

Amsterdam, Netherlands
example@example.com

Dmitry Boulytchev SPbSU, Huawei Saint Petersburg, Russia 

# **Abstract**

Languages in the Kanren family strive to bridge the gap between logic and general-purpose mainstream programming. Logic programming comes with an overhead such as keeping track of substitutions of logic variables and unifying terms. However, in many practical applications there is no need to bear all that overhead, and thus we should not. Ideally, we should be able to automatically rewrite a relation into a function which computes the outputs but omits most unnecessary overhead. In this paper we present a method to translate miniKanren relations into pure functions in continuation passing stule. The project is at an early stage, but it is promising: the functions run much faster than the original miniKanren code.

*Keywords:* relational programming, functional programming, cps

#### **ACM Reference Format:**

## 1 Introduction

Implementing a program is often significantly easier than its inversion. For example, integer multiplication is much simpler than factoring, while program evaluation is easier than program generation. Although inversion is undecidable, there are approaches capable of inversing a computation in some cases, notably, universal resolving algorithm cite Gluck, logic and relational programming. Inversion comes with a lot of overhead which may be reduced in some circumstances.

One source of overhead in relational programming comes from *unification* — the basic operation which is at the core of miniKanren. Unification involves traversing terms being

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference acronym 'XX, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY

© 2018 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00

https://doi.org/XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

unified along with a list of substitutions and doing occurscheck all of which may be redundant when there is a specific execution *direction* in mind. Directions fix at compile-time which arguments of a relation are always going to be known and ground at runtime. Having this information, it is possible to specialize a relation for the direction cite Verbitskaia and get rid of some of the overhead. In this case, unifications may prove to be redundant and be replaced with much simpler pattern-matching and equality checks.

In this paper we present a scheme of translation of Minikan-Ren programs into a host functional programming language as a sequence of examples. Examples start from the simplest translations and evolve to introduce different features of Minikanren which influence translation. Currently translation is not automated: everything is done manually. We believe the translation can be semi-automated, leaving some decisions up to a programmer. Although this project is at the early state, evaluation demonstrates its usefulness by significantly speeding up such programs as computing a topological sorting of a graph and generating logic formulas which evaluate to the given value.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we remind the reader some basics of MINIKANREN. Usually, MINIKANREN is implemented as an embedded language and consists of a small set of basic combinators: disjunction and conjunction of goals, unification of terms and a helper to introduce fresh variables. Relations can be defined and called in the same manner as functions of the host language. Each MINIKANREN goal maps a variable substitution into a stream of substitutions. Computation may fail, producing an empty stream, or succeed and produce a non-empty stream of substitutions. In order to assure completeness of search, MINIKANREN usually implements conjunctions as monadic bind on streams and disjunctions as mplus which interleaves streams cite Kiselyov.

We use the following syntactic conventions. We denote conjunctions as a right-associative binary relation  $\land$ . In place of disjunctions we use **conde** with a list of MINIKANREN goals which is just a syntactic sugar. Unifications between two terms are denoted by a not associative binary relation  $\equiv$ . Several fresh variables may be introduced to the scope by a construction **fresh**. We use superscript  $^o$  to differentiate MINIKANREN relations from functions written in a host language.

```
let rec add° x y z = conde [
(x \equiv 0 \land y \equiv z);
(fresh (x' z')
(x \equiv S x' \land z \equiv S z' \land add° x' y z') ) ]
```

**Listing 1.** Addition relation

Consider an addition relation  $add^o \times y \times z$  which specifies that z equals to x + y (Listing 1). This relation has three arguments: x, y and z, and is comprised of a single **conde** with two branches. The first **conde** branch is a conjunction of two unifications: x with a term 0 and y with z. The second **conde** branch introduces fresh variables x' and z' and follows with a conjunction of two unifications and a recursive relation call.

One can *run* a relation in some direction by passing it *input* arguments. For example, executing add $^o$  (S 0) 0 z finds the sum of the first two arguments and maps z to the sum S 0. We can also provide only the last argument: add $^o$  x y (S 0), which can be considered as an inversion of addition. This computes all pairs of Peano numbers (x, y) which sum up to the given value z = S 0, namely (0, S 0) and (S 0, 0). Moreover, we can pass as input arguments not only *ground terms* but terms which contain fresh variables, such as add $^o$  x (S y) z. Executing this relation finds all triples (x, y, z) such that x + (y + 1) = z. Running in some directions can fail. For example add $^o$  (S x) y 0 may never succeed, since (1 + x) + y can never be equal to 0.

There exists a multitude of different directions for each relation. In this paper we only consider directions in which input arguments are ground, i.e. do not contain any fresh variables, we will call them *principal directions*. We denote a principal direction by the name of a relation followed by specification of its arguments: in place of each argument we write either **in** when the argument is input or out if it is output. There are 8 principal directions for add<sup>o</sup> x y z:

- three directions with one input: add<sup>o</sup> in out out, add<sup>o</sup> out in out, and add<sup>o</sup> out out in;
- three directions with two inputs: add<sup>o</sup> in in out, add<sup>o</sup> in out in, add<sup>o</sup> out in in;
- one direction which does not have any input arguments: add<sup>o</sup> out out out;
- and one direction in which all arguments are input: add<sup>o</sup> in in in;

When all arguments of a relation are input arguments, it serves as a predicate, while passing no arguments corresponds to the generation of all valid values for all arguments of a relation.

```
addXY :: Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Nat addXY x y = case x of 0 \rightarrow y S x' \rightarrow S (addXY x' y)
```

Listing 2. Function for addo in in out direction

```
addXY :: Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Stream Nat
addXY x y =
case x of
0 \rightarrow return y
S x' \rightarrow S <$> addXY x' y
```

**Listing 3.** Using streams in a function for addo **in in** out direction

# 3 Conversion by Examples

In this section we gradually introduce our conversion by means of a set of examples. Each direction we consider illustrates some aspect of the conversion.

#### 3.1 Basic Conversion

Consider  $\mathsf{add}^o$  in in out. This direction can be expressed as a function presented in Listing 2. The relation  $\mathsf{add}^o \times \mathsf{y} \times \mathsf{z}$  has two branches in a  $\mathsf{conde}$ : one unifies  $\mathsf{x}$  with 0 and the other — with  $\mathsf{S} \times \mathsf{x}$ . Since we know that  $\mathsf{x}$  is always ground in this direction, we can replace unifications with a patternmatching.

When x unifies with 0, the rest of the **conde** branch is the unification  $y \equiv z$ . This unification means that the output value of the direction is equal to y. Thus we can just return y as the result when x is pattern-matched with 0.

Now consider the **conde** branch in which x unifies with S x' where x' is a fresh variable. The variable x in this direction is always ground, thus x' is also ground after unification. This means, that the recursive call  $\operatorname{add}^o x' y z'$  is done in the direction  $\operatorname{add}^o \operatorname{in} \operatorname{in}$  out and can be translated into a recursive call to the function  $\operatorname{addXY}$ . This recursive call computes the value of z', making it ground. The only thing that is left is to apply the constructor S to the result of the recursive call, since  $z \equiv S z'$ .

#### 3.2 Nondeterministic Directions

Running a relation in a given direction may succeed with one *or more* possible answers or it may fail, i.e. it may run non-deterministically. It is natural to implement nondeterminism by using streams which are at the core of MINIKANREN. Any deterministic directions can be trivially transformed to using streams as shown in Listing 3. One example in which there are multiple answers is add<sup>o</sup> out out **in**. This direction corresponds to finding all pairs of numbers which sum up to the given z and can be implemented as shown in Listing 4.

```
addZ :: Nat \rightarrow Stream (Nat, Nat)

addZ z =

return (0, z) `mplus`

case z of

0 \rightarrow Empty

S z' \rightarrow do

(x', y) \leftarrow addZ z'

return (S x', y)
```

**Listing 4.** Function for addo out out **in** direction

```
addX :: Nat \rightarrow Stream (Nat, Nat)

addX x =

case x of

0 \rightarrow do

z \leftarrow genNat

return (z, z)

S x' \rightarrow do

(y, z') \leftarrow addX x'

return (y, S z')

genNat :: Stream Nat

genNat = Mature 0 (S <$> genNat)
```

**Listing 5.** Function for addo in out out direction

In this case, the input variable z does not discriminate two branches of **conde**. Although the second branch of **conde** unifies z with a term S z', the first branch unifies z with a free variable y. In this case we need to consider the two branches independently and then combine the results into a new stream.

The first **conde** branch produces a single answer in which x is 0, and y is equal to z. This single result is then wrapped into a singleton stream.

The second **conde** branch succeeds only if z is a successor of another value, thus when z is a 0 we should fail. We express this by pattern-matching on z and returning an Empty stream when z is 0. Otherwise z unifies with S z', which means that z' is ground, and the recursive call to the relation is done in the direction  $add^o$  out out in. This recursive call returns a stream of pairs (x', y), and by applying the constuctor S to x' we get the value of x.

The two translated **conde** branches are then combined by using `mplus`: the same combinator which is used in MINIKANREN for disjunctions. We use do-notation when translating the second branch of **conde** which is just a syntactic sugar for the monadic bind operation >>=. Binds implement conjunctions in MINIKANREN and it is no surprise they fit well into the functional implementation.

```
addXYZ :: Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Stream ()
addXYZ x y z =
case x of
0 \mid y == z \rightarrow \text{return ()}
\mid \text{otherwise } \rightarrow \text{Empty}
S x' \rightarrow
case z of
0 \rightarrow \text{Empty}
S z' \rightarrow addXYZ x' y z'
```

Listing 6. Function for addo in in in direction

#### 3.3 Free Variables in Answers

In some directions, there are infinitely many answers, such as in  $\mathsf{add}^o$  **in** out out. When only the second argument is known, the answer is all pairs of numbers (y, z) which satisfy x + y = z. In Minikanren, this is expressed with help of free variables. Say x is S 0, then the stream of answers is represented as  $(\_.0, S\_.0)$ . This means that whatever the value of y is, z is just its successor. In our paper we only consider scenarios when the answers are ground, so we expect the stream of answers to be (0, S.0), (S.0, S.(S.0)), ..... To do it, we need to systematically generate a stream of ground values for y and z. Currently, we leave the generation up to the user, but generators may be automatically created from their types.

Listing 5 shows the functional implementation of the direction  $\mathsf{add}^o$  in out out. This direction is very similar to the  $\mathsf{add}^o$  in in out: we can pattern match on x, call the same function recursively in the second **conde** branch and construct the resulting value for z by applying the constructor S. But in the case when x is 0, the only thing we know about the values of y and z is that they are equal. In this case can generate a stream of all Peano numbers for z (or y) and use them in the returned result.

The generation of all numbers is done as shown in Listing 5, function genNat. The only thing one should be careful about, is to ensure lazy generation of the values, especially in case of an eager host language, such as OCAML.

#### 3.4 Predicates

When all arguments of a relation are input, the direction serves as a predicate. Consider  $\mathsf{add}^o$  in in in and its functional implementation in Listing 6. In this case there is no actual answers we should return: the only thing that matters is whether the computation succeeded or failed. Failure is expressed with an empty stream and success — as a singleton stream with a unit value.

All arguments of the relation in this direction are ground. This means, that all unification can be replaced with either pattern-matching or simple equality check. When translating the first **conde** branch we pattern match on x, and then check if y and z are equal. The second **conde** branch introduces

387

388

389

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

402

404

405

406

407

408

412

413

414

415

417

419

423

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

331

332

333

334

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

```
let rec multo x y z = conde [
   (x \equiv 0 \land z \equiv 0);
   (y \equiv 0 \land z \equiv 0);
   (x \equiv S \cup A z \equiv y);
   (y \equiv S \cup A z \equiv x);
   (fresh (x' r')
     (x \equiv S x') \land
     (add y r' z) \land
     (mult x' y r')
    ) ]
```

Listing 7. Multiplication relation

```
multXY' :: Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Stream Nat
multXY' O y = return O
multXY' \times 0 = return 0
multXY' (S 0) y = return y
multXY' x (S 0) = return x
multXY' (S x') y = do
  (r', r) \leftarrow addX y
  multXYZ x' y r'
  return r
multXYZ :: Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Stream ()
multXYZ \ 0 \ v \ 0 = return \ ()
multXYZ \times O O = return ()
multXYZ (S 0) y z | y == z = return ()
multXYZ x (S 0) z | x == z = return ()
multXYZ (S x') y z = do
  z' \leftarrow multXY' x' y
  addXYZ y z' z
multXYZ _ _ _ = Empty
```

Listing 8. Inefficient implementation of multo in in out direction

another pattern matching, this time on z, which ensures that

Functional implementations of the principal directions of the addo x y z relation which does not make into this section, may be found in Appendix.

## 3.5 Order within Conjunctions

Up until now we only seen examples with only one recursive call which is done to the same relation. Many programs in MINIKANREN use several relations in the same bodies, see for example Listing 7. The relation  $\text{mult}^o \times \text{y} \times \text{z}$  relates variables such that x \* y = z. The base cases in this relation are when x or y are 0 and S 0. When x unifies with a successor of another value, then we can use equalities (x' + 1) \* y = x' \* y + y. This is done by adding y to the intermediate result of multiplying x' by y.

```
\operatorname{multXY} :: Nat \to Nat \to Stream Nat
multXY O y = return O
multXY \times 0 = return 0
multXY (S 0) y = return y
multXY x (S 0) = return x
multXY (S x') y = do
  r' \leftarrow multXY x' y
  addXY y r'
```

Listing 9. Efficient implementation of multo in in out direciton

When translating it into a function for the given direction, we need to make sure to call functional counterparts of addo and mult<sup>o</sup> in the right order which depends on the direction. Consider the direction mult<sup>o</sup> in in out. The translation of base cases is done with the same principals as the previous examples. The last conde branch contains two call to two different relations: addo and multo. Variables x' and y in this direction are ground, which impose possible directions on the relation calls. There are two ways we can do these calls.

One of them is to first call add<sup>o</sup> in the direction add<sup>o</sup> in out out<sub>409</sub> since y is ground, while r and r' are to be computed. After this, all arguments in the call to mult<sup>o</sup> are known, and it can be used as a predicate mult<sup>o</sup> in in in. Finally, we return r if the predicate succeeds: see Listing 8. Unfortunately, this order proves to bee too slow: it takes about half of a second to multiply 4 by 4, and more than 300 seconds to multiply 5 by 5. This can be explained by the fact that add<sup>o</sup> in out out generates an infinite streams of answers, only one which succeeds in multiplication, but considering them all even to find the first (and only) answer to multXY' takes too much time.

Better and more efficient implementation of mult o in in out is shown in Listing 9. Here, we first execute the recursive call of the direction multo in in out, and then use addo in in out to compute the final result. None of these relations produce an infinite stream, and the function runs in a fraction of a second. You may note also that in this case there is no need to generate any additional functions for directions which are different from the one being translated.

In general, it is not clear how to choose the best order in which to translate calls within a conjunction. One heuristic is to favor calls which do not produce infinite streams, namely do not use generators for free variables.

# 4 Evaluation

To evaluate our proposed translation scheme, we manually rewritten severals problems in different directions and compared their execution times with their relational counterparts. Here we showcase two relational programs and their translations.

442

443

444

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470 471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

497

499

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

529

531

532

533

535

539

540

541

542

543

545

546

547

548

549

550

```
topsort graph numbering =
    let n = S (numberOfNodes graph) in
    go graph numbering n
 where
    go graph numbering n =
      case graph of
        [] \rightarrow True
        (b, e) : graph' \rightarrow
           let nb = lookup numbering b in
           let ne = lookup numbering e in
          less nb ne &&
          less ne n &&
           topsort graph' numbering
```

Listing 10. Functional interreter for topologic sort of a graph

## 4.1 Topologic sort

This program topologically sorts a directed graph. A graph is represented as a list of edges, where each edge is a pair of vertices. First vertex in a pair is the beginning of the edge, and the second vertex is the end of the edge. A vertex is a distinct Peano number in the range [0.. n-1] where n is the number of edges. The vertices are sorted as a result of executing the program. The sort is represented as a list of length n in which the order of vertex i is the i-th element of the list. We call this list numbering. For example, numbering [2, 1, 0] means that the zeroth variable is the second, the first variable is the first, and the last variable is the zeroth in the ordering.

The relational program is generated from a functional interpreter cite stuff. The functional interpreter takes a graph and a numbering and checks if the variables are indeed topologically sorted as shown in Listing 10. To do it, it checks all edges of the graph in order, finds the numbers which correspond to the vertices in the numbering, and ensures that the beginning comes before the end of the edge, and that the edge is not greater than the number of vertices in

This simple predicate along with the other functions it uses is translated into a relational program shown in Listing 11. The relation is then specialized so that it searches for a correct topologic sort by fixing its last argument to true. The result of specialization is in Listing 12. Specialization removes any **conde** branches which are failing, i.e. unify the result r with false.

The specialized version is manually translated in a direction topsorto in out. This creates a function which constructs a numbering which topologically sorts vertices in a given graph. Most of the translation follows the principles outlined in ref section, but there are several notable details about this translation.

First of all, we translated all Peano numbers into Ints and all MINIKANREN boolean values into Bools. This can be done because of the groundness of variables in this direction. Write something a little more convincing.

Second of all, the relational interpreter contains two consecutive calls to lookupo relation, both of which has the same numbering passed to them. When translating them, the first call is done in the lookup<sup>o</sup> out **in** out direction, since only the value of its second argument b is known to be ground. Calling this direction computes the numbering which is a list with only its b-th element fixed - nb. We generate values of nb with a generator, since nb is a free variable. The same goes for all other elements of the numbering. We restrict the amount of the generating lists by capping their length with maxListLength and capping maximum value of an element with maxInt, both of which correspond to the number of vertices in the input graph.

Having now numbering ground, the second call to lookup<sup>o</sup> relation is done in the direction lookup<sup>o</sup> in in out. The second direction is much simpler as it does not involve generation of any new values for free variables. Translations of the both directions are in Listing 14.

Calls to less<sup>o</sup> x y r relations are both done in direction less<sup>o</sup> in in out, and their outputs must be **true**. To express this check we use guard which fails computation (i.e. returns an Empty stream) if its argument is false.

### 4.2 Logic Formulas Generation

In this example we translate an evaluator of logic formulas in a direction which generates formulas which evaluate to a given result. Logic formulas are values of type Term presented in Listing 15. A formula is either a boolean literal, a variable indexed by an integer number, a negation of another formula, a conjunction or disjunction of two formulas.

The relational interpreter is shown in Listing 16. The relation evalo fm st r computes the value r of a formula fm with a given variable mapping st. The boolean value v of a variable Var i is the i-th element of st which can be retrieved by means of the relation elem<sup>o</sup> i st v The relation evalo uses relations addo, oro, and noto for boolean operations.

Translation of eval $^o$  relation in the direction eval $^o$  out out in 537 is presented in Listing 17. As in the previous example, here relation eval<sup>o</sup> is called twice when formula is either a conjunction or a disjunction. The direction of the second call is different from the direction of the first call, as first call generates possible variable mappings. The implementation of the direction eval<sup>o</sup> out **in** is shown in Listing 18. The implementations of the directions add in in out, or in in out, not in out and elemo in in out are in Listing ??

## 4.3 Execution Time Comparison

In order to assess the usefulness of the proposed transformation scheme we compared execution times of MINIKANREN

```
let topsort<sup>o</sup> graph numbering r =
  let rec topsorto graph numbering n r = conde [
     (graph \equiv [] \land r \equiv true);
     (fresh (b e graph')
       (graph \equiv (b, e) : graph' \land
       (fresh (q47 nb ne)
          (lookup^o numbering b nb <math>\land
           lookup^o numbering e ne \land
           less^o nb ne q47 \wedge
           conde [
            (q47 \equiv false \land r \equiv false);
             (fresh (q43)
               (q47 \equiv true \land
                less^{o} ne n q43 \wedge
                conde [
                 (q43 \equiv false \land r \equiv false);
                  (q43 \equiv true \land topsort^o graph' numbering n r)]))))))] in
    (fresh (n n') (n' \equiv s n \land numberOfNodes^o graph n \land topsort^o graph numbering n' r))
```

**Listing 11.** Relational interreter for topologic sort of a graph

**Listing 12.** Specialized relational interpreter for topologic sort of a graph

relations topsort° and eval° with their functional translations. All functional translations are done by hand, having a specific direction in mind. All implementations are written in OCAML language and can be found in this repository. Note that throughout this paper we presented all examples written in HASKELL for brevity, but we used OCAML in evaluation to make the comparison with OCANREN more fair. Technically, to implement our translations in OCAML, we had to desugar HASKELL do-notation into binds and make some calls return lazy streams.

For the evaluator of logic formulas, we run both implementations to search for 10000 formulas which evaluate to True. The functional implementation restricts the length of the variable mapping list, thus we also restricted the size of it in its relational counterpart. We averaged the execution time

over 10 runs. The result are presented in table 1. "OCanren" contains execution time of relational implementation, and "Function" column contains execution time of the functional implementation. In our experiments, functional implementation outperforms the relational interpretation by 1.3-2.5 times.

We run topsort<sup>o</sup> on directed graphs with exactly one edge between each pair of edges. For example, graph with 4 vertices has the following edges: [(0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3), which we sort lexicographically. We generated graphs for a given number of vertices and then executed both relational and functional implementations of topsort<sup>o</sup>. The correct numbering in this condition should map each vertex into itself. We also run the same functions on the same graph, but with its list of edges reversed, i.e. [(2, 3), (1, 3), (1, 2),

```
topsortGraph :: Graph → Stream [Nat]
topsortGraph graph = do
    n ← numberOfNodesG graph
    go graph (n + 1) n (n + 1)
  where
    go graph n maxInt maxListLength =
      case graph of
        ] \rightarrow return []
        ((b, e) : graph') \rightarrow do
          (nb, numbering) ← lookupKey b maxInt maxListLength
          ne ← lookupXsKey numbering e
          q47 ← lessXY nb ne
          guard q47
          q43 ← lessXY ne n
          guard q43
          topsortGraphNumbering graph' numbering n
```

Listing 13. Functional implementation for a topsortoTrue in out direction

```
lookupKey :: Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Stream (Int, [Int])
lookupKey key maxInt maxListLength =
  case key of
     0 \rightarrow \text{fromList} [(x, x:xs) \mid xs \leftarrow \text{genList (genInt maxInt) (maxListLength } - 1),
                                          x \leftarrow genInt maxInt
     \_ \mid \text{key} > 0 \rightarrow \text{do}
        (value, t1) \leftarrow lookupKey (key - 1) maxInt (maxListLength - 1)
        fromList [(value, y : tl) | y \leftarrow genInt maxInt]
     \_ \rightarrow Empty
lookupXsKey :: [Int] \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Stream Int
lookupXsKey xs key =
  case xs of
     [] \rightarrow \mathsf{Empty}
     (h : tl) \rightarrow case key of
                        0 \rightarrow \text{return h}
                        S key' \rightarrow lookupXsKey tl key'
```

Listing 14. Functional implementations for a lookupo out in out and lookupo in in out directions

```
data Term = Lit Bool
| Var Int
| Neg Term
| Conj Term Term
| Disj Term Term
```

**Listing 15.** Term data type

In this case, the correct numbering maps a vertex i into n-i, where n is the number of vertices in the graph.

Execution times averaged over 10 runs are presented in table 2. Columns "Functional" and "Functional (r)" contain execution times of functional implementations when run on a graph and reversed graph correspondingly. Columns "OCanren" and "OCanren (r)" contain execution times of

functional implementations when run on a graph and reversed graph correspondingly. Relational implementation took more than 300 seconds for a sorted graph with 7 vertices, thus we only consider graphs with up to 6 vertices. On all graphs, functional implementation much less time that the MINIKANREN program. Topologically sorting a reversed

```
eval^o st fm u =
771
          fresh (x y v w z) (conde [
772
              (fm \equiv Conj x y \land and ^o v w u \land eval ^o st x v \land eval ^o st y w);
773
              (fm \equiv Disj \times y \wedge or^{o} \vee w \cup \wedge eval^{o} st \times v \wedge eval^{o} st y w);
774
              (fm \equiv Neg x \land not^o v u \land eval^o st x v);
              (fm \equiv Var z \land elem^o z st u);
776
              (fm \equiv Lit u)])
777
778
       and o x y b = conde [
779
           (x \equiv True \land y \equiv True \land b \equiv True);
780
           (x \equiv False \land y \equiv True \land b \equiv False);
781
           (x \equiv True \land y \equiv False \land b \equiv False);
782
           (x \equiv False \land y \equiv False \land b \equiv False)
783
784
       or^{o} \times y b = conde
785
           (x \equiv True \land y \equiv True \land b \equiv True);
786
           (x \equiv False \land y \equiv True \land b \equiv True);
787
           (x \equiv True \land y \equiv False \land b \equiv True);
           (x \equiv False \land y \equiv False \land b \equiv False)]
789
       not^o \times b = [
791
           (x \equiv True \land b \equiv False);
792
           (x \equiv False \land b \equiv True)
793
       elem^o i st v =
795
          fresh (h t i') conde [
796
              (i \equiv 0 \land st \equiv (v : t));
797
              (i \equiv S i' \land st \equiv (h : t) \land elem^o i' t v)]
798
799
```

**Listing 16.** Relational evaluator of logic formulas

**Table 1.** Execution times of the OCanren and functional implementations of evalo, search for 10000 formulas which evalute to True

Var. mapping length	Function (sec.)	OCanren (sec.)
0	0.283	0.998
1	0.306	0.668
2	0.227	0.543
3	0.224	0.500
4	0.206	0.482
5	0.211	0.482
6	0.254	0.483
7	0.370	0.491
8	0.357	0.492
9	0.377	0.491

graph takes much less time. This is caused by earlier rejection of candidate solutions, since vertex numbers are higher in the beginning of the list.

As a result of our evaluation, we can conclude that the translation of MINIKANREN program with a given direction

into a function speeds up execution a lot and thus it is reasonable to continue working in this direction.

**Topological Sort** 

# 5 Related Work

- Relational interpeters for context ([1])
- Mercury for mode analysis
- Curry as translation to Haskell

Automatic translation from a general purpose programming cite Lozov, unnesting makes it possible to create relational specifications which then may be run in a direction of choice and thus do more than original program. As an example, one may implement a simple functional verifier which checks that some candidate is indeed a solution for a search problem. When translated into MINIKANREN, this verifier may be used to actually solve search problems with no deep knowledge required from a programmer. cite rel.interpreters.

#### 6 Future Work

Since this project is in active phase of development, there are many directions for future work.

 

```
evalR :: Bool \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Stream (Term, [Bool])
      evalR result maxListLength =
882
           lit result `mplus`
883
                 result `mplus`
884
                result `mplus`
           neg
           disj result `mplus`
886
           conj result
887
        where
888
           conj result = do
889
             (v, w) \leftarrow andR result
890
             (y, st) \leftarrow evalR w maxListLength
891
             x \leftarrow evalStR st v
892
             return (Conj x y, st)
893
           disj result = do
894
             (v, w) \leftarrow orR result
895
             (y, st) \leftarrow evalR w maxListLength
             x \leftarrow evalStR st v
897
             return (Disj x y, st)
           neg result = do
899
             v \leftarrow notR result
             (x, st) \leftarrow evalR \ v \ maxListLength
901
             return (Neg x, st)
           var result = do
903
             (z, st) \leftarrow elemR result maxListLength
             return (Var z, st)
905
           lit result =
906
             if result
907
             then return (Lit True, [])
908
             else return (Lit False, [])
909
910
```

**Listing 17.** Functional implementation of the direction evalo out out in

Table 2. Execution times of the OCanren and functional implementations of topsorto

Number of vertices	Function (sec.)	OCanren (sec.)	Function (r) (sec.)	OCanren (r) (sec.)
3	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001
4	0.000	0.015	0.000	0.012
5	0.001	0.346	0.000	0.107
6	0.021	14.309	0.003	0.764

First of all, we need to research how to best order calls within a conjunction. Since the order of calls greatly influences the efficiency of the converted function, this research direction is of upmost importance. Annotations of variables with **in** and out is also affected by the order of calls and thus we need to adapt mode analysis to take it into account.

Second of all, the conversion should be formalized and its correctness should be proven.

Third of all, the conversion should be implemented either as a standalone tool or integrated into some of the major MINIKANREN implementations.

Finally, after all these building blocks are done, we would like to integrate the conversion into a relational interpreters framework. This would made a fullstack solution for the program inversion problem.

## 7 Conclusion

In this paper we described a new conversion from a MINIKAN-REN relation with a fixed execution direction into a functional programming language. We manually converted several MINIKANREN relations and compared execution time of functions with their relational sources. The evaluation

```
evalStR :: [Bool] \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Stream Term
991
      evalStR st result =
992
             lit st result `mplus`
                    st result `mplus`
994
                   st result `mplus`
             disj st result `mplus`
996
             conj st result
997
        where
998
           conj st result = do
             (v, w) \leftarrow andR result
1000
             y ← evalStR st w
1001
             x \leftarrow evalStR st v
1002
             return (Conj x y)
1003
           disj st result = do
1004
             (v, w) \leftarrow \text{orR result}
1005
             y \leftarrow \text{evalStR st } w
             x \leftarrow evalStR st v
1007
             return (Disj x y)
1008
           neg st result = do
1009
                ← notR result
             x \leftarrow evalStR st v
1011
             return (Neg x)
1012
           var st result = do
1013
             z \leftarrow elemStR st result
1014
             return (Var z)
1015
           lit st result =
1016
             if result
1017
             then return (Lit True)
1018
             else return (Lit False)
1019
1020
```

**Listing 18.** Functional implementation of the direction evalo out in in

showed that the conversion is able to speed up computations significantly. We also mentioned some complicated steps within conversion and outlined directions for future research.

## Acknowledgments

Here is where acknowledgments come

#### References

- Petr Lozov, Ekaterina Verbitskaia, and Dmitry Boulytchev. 2019. Relational interpreters for search problems. In *Relational Programming Workshop*. 43.
- A Principal Directions of the Addition Relation

```
and R :: Bool \rightarrow Stream (Bool, Bool)
                                                                                                                                         1156
      andR result =
1102
                                                                                                                                         1157
         if result
1103
                                                                                                                                         1158
         then
1104
                                                                                                                                         1159
           return (True, True)
1105
                                                                                                                                         1160
         else
1106
                                                                                                                                         1161
           return (True, False) `mplus`
                                                                                                                                         1162
1107
           return (False, True) `mplus`
1108
                                                                                                                                         1163
           return (False, False)
1109
                                                                                                                                         1164
1110
                                                                                                                                         1165
      orR :: Bool → Stream (Bool, Bool)
1111
                                                                                                                                         1166
      orR result =
1112
                                                                                                                                         1167
         if result
1113
                                                                                                                                         1168
         then
1114
                                                                                                                                         1169
           return (True, False) `mplus`
1115
                                                                                                                                         1170
           return (True, True) `mplus`
                                                                                                                                         1171
           return (False, True)
1117
                                                                                                                                         1172
         else
1118
                                                                                                                                         1173
           return (False, False)
1119
                                                                                                                                         1174
                                                                                                                                         1175
      notR :: Bool → Stream Bool
1121
                                                                                                                                         1176
      notR result =
1122
                                                                                                                                         1177
         if result
1123
                                                                                                                                         1178
         then return False
                                                                                                                                         1179
         else return True
1125
                                                                                                                                         1180
1126
                                                                                                                                         1181
      elemR :: Bool \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Stream (Int, [Bool])
1127
                                                                                                                                         1182
      elemR _ maxListLength | maxListLength <= 0 = Empty</pre>
1128
                                                                                                                                         1183
      elemR result maxListLength =
1129
                                                                                                                                         1184
            zero result `mplus` succ result
1130
                                                                                                                                         1185
        where
1131
           zero result =
1132
                                                                                                                                         1187
              fromList [(0, result : tl) | tl \leftarrow genList genBool (maxListLength - 1)]
1133
                                                                                                                                         1188
           succ result = do
1134
                                                                                                                                         1189
              (n', t) \leftarrow elemR result (maxListLength - 1)
1135
                                                                                                                                         1190
              fromList [(n' + 1, h : t) | h \leftarrow genBool ]
1136
                                                                                                                                         1191
1137
                                         Listing 19. Functions used in logic formulas generation
1138
                                                                                                                                         1193
                                                                                                                                         1194
      addY :: Nat → Stream (Nat, Nat)
                                                                        add :: Stream (Nat, Nat, Nat)
1140
                                                                                                                                         1195
      addY y =
                                                                        add =
1141
                                                                                                                                         1196
         return (0, y) `mplus`
                                                                             disj1 `mplus` disj2
1142
                                                                                                                                         1197
                                                                          where
1143
                                                                                                                                         1198
           (x', z') \leftarrow addY y
                                                                             disj1 = do
1144
                                                                                                                                         1199
           return (S x', S z')
                                                                                z \leftarrow genNat
1145
                                                                                                                                         1200
                                                                                return (0, z, z)
1146
                                                                                                                                         1201
         Listing 21. Function for addo out in out direction
                                                                             disj2 = do
1147
                                                                                                                                         1202
                                                                                (x', y, z') \leftarrow add
1148
                                                                                                                                         1203
      addXZ :: Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Stream Nat
                                                                                return (S x', y, S z')
1149
                                                                                                                                         1204
      addXZ x z =
1150
                                                                                                                                         1205
                                                                          Listing 20. Function for addo out out direction
         case x of
1151
                                                                                                                                         1206
           0 \rightarrow \text{return } z
1152
                                                                                                                                         1207
           S x' \rightarrow
```

**Listing 22.** Function for addo in out in direction

case z of

 $Sz' \rightarrow$ 

 $0 \rightarrow \text{Empty}$ 

addXZ x' z'

```
\mathsf{addYZ} \; :: \; \mathsf{Nat} \; \to \; \mathsf{Nat} \; \to \; \mathsf{Stream} \; \mathsf{Nat}
1211
         addYZ y z =
1212
            if y == z
1213
            then return 0
1214
            else
1215
                case z of
1216
                   \text{S z'} \ \to \ \text{do}
1217
                      x \leftarrow addYZ y z'
1218
                       return (S x)
1219
                   0 \rightarrow Empty
1220
1221
```

Listing 23. Function for addo out in in direction