Case Study # 5: Two-Species Diffusion-Diurnal Kinetics

Background: Chang *et al.* [1, 2] have proposed approximate models to describe the chemical kinetics and transport phenomena associated with the dissociation of oxygen (O_2) into ozone (O_3) and monatomic oxygen (O) in the upper atmosphere. We are considering a one-dimensional version of such a model. The ambient oxygen concentration, c_3 , is constant $(c_3 = 3.7 \times 10^{16})$. The concentrations of the two minor species, O and O_3 , are $c_1(z,t)$ and $c_2(z,t)$, where z is the elevation above the earth's surface in km (here 30 < z < 50) and t is time in seconds. Their transport is modeled using a reaction-diffusion equation,

$$\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[K(z) \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial z} \right] + R_i(\vec{c}, t)$$

The diffusive term is meant to represent the turbulent vertical transport with

$$K(z) = 10^{-8} \cdot \exp(z/5)$$
 [km²/s]

The chemistry is described using the Chapman mechanism [2],

$$O + O_2 \xrightarrow{M} O_3, \qquad k_1$$

$$O + O_3 \to 2O_2, \qquad k_2$$

$$O_2 \xrightarrow{h\nu} 2O, \qquad k_3$$

$$O_3 \xrightarrow{h\nu} O + O_2, \quad k_4$$

The reaction rates, $R_i(\vec{c}, t)$, are given by

$$R_1(c_1, c_2, t) = -k_1 c_1 c_3 - k_2 c_1 c_2 + 2k_3(t) c_3 + k_4(t) c_2$$

$$R_2(c_1, c_2, t) = k_1 c_1 c_3 - k_2 c_1 c_2 - k_4(t) c_2$$

with,

$$k_1 = 1.63 \times 10^{-16}$$

 $k_2 = 4.66 \times 10^{-16}$
 $k_{\ell} = \exp[-a_{\ell}/\sin \omega t]$ if $\sin \omega t > 0$ and 0 otherwise $(\ell = 3, 4)$

with $a_3 = 22.62$, $a_4 = 7.601$, and $\omega = \pi/43200$ (43200 is a half a day measured in seconds).

This system is subject to the following initial and boundary conditions.

Initial Conditions

$$c_1(z,0) = 10^6 \cdot \gamma(z)$$

 $c_2(z,0) = 10^{12} \cdot \gamma(z)$

with

$$\gamma(z) = 1 - \left(\frac{z - 40}{10}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z - 40}{10}\right)^4$$

Boundary Conditions — No flux at the top and bottom of the vertical atmospheric layer considered (z = 30 and z = 50).

Investigation:

- Implement a numerical solution of the system above discretized using the method of lines and central differencing for the diffusion term on a uniform mesh with M nodes as reported by Ref. [2].
- Use both a non-stiff solver (e.g. an explicit Runge-Kutta of order (4,5) such as dropri5 in the ode module of scipy or ode45 in Matlab) and a stiff solver (e.g. a method based on the backward differentiation formulas as implemented in the bdf method of vode in the ode module of scipy or ode15s, a variable order solver based on the numerical differentiation formulas in Matlab) and compare their performances (use a Table).
- Investigate the sensitivity of your results to mesh density.
- Compare your results to those published in [2]

NOTE: It is strongly recommended that you consult reference [2] to guide your solution.

Report: Prepare a report (3-4 pages max in ASME's two-colum article format, templates are available on-line) describing your work and including:

- 1. Short description of the problem
- 2. a description of your approach and a discussion of the results, including answers to the questions listed above.
- 3. Four Figures:
 - Plot to support your discussion of mesh sensitivity analysis.
 - Plot of c_1 and c_2 vs. time (from 0 to 10 days) at z = 40 km
 - Plot of c_1 vs. z at t=0, 2, 4, 6, 7, and 9 hours.
 - Plot of c_2 vs. z at t=0, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 18, and 24 hours.
- 4. Conclusion.

The Report (single file, PDF format only) and your source code (.py, .m, .f90, .cpp, ... uploaded as a separate file together with non-standard libraries needed for compiling if relevant, please zip these files if there is more than one) are due on **December 14, 2014 at 5pm** and must be submitted electronically using the class SmartSite.

References

- [1] J. S. Chang, Alan C. Hindmarsh, and N. K. Madsen. Simulation of chemical kinetics transport in the stratosphere. In R. A. Willoughby, editor, *Stiff Differential Systems*, p. 51. Plenum, New York, 1974.
- [2] George D. Byrne and Alan C. Hindmarsh. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 70(1):1–62, 1987.