

COURSE NAME

SOFTWARE  
ENGINEERING  
CSC 3114  
(UNDERGRADUATE)

---

## CHAPTER I

# SOFTWARE & SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

---

## WHY SYSTEM FAILS?

- ❑ The system fails to meet the **business requirements** for which it was developed. The system is either **abandoned** or **expensive adaptive maintenance** is undertaken.
- ❑ There are **performance shortcomings** in the system, which make it inadequate for the users' needs. Again, it is either abandoned or amended incurring extra costs.
- ❑ **Errors** appear in the developed system causing unexpected problems. **Patches** have to be applied at extra cost.
- ❑ **Users reject** the implemented system, lack of involvement in its development or lack of commitment to it.
- ❑ Systems are initially accepted but over time **become un-maintainable** and so pass into disuse.

## SCOPE OF SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

- ❑ The aim of Software Engineering is to solve Software Crisis:
  - Late
  - Over budget
  - Low quality with lots of faults
- ❑ Software crisis is still present over 35 years later!

## SOFTWARE CHARACTERISTICS

- ❑ A **logical** (intangible) rather than a **physical** system element
- ❑ Being **developed or engineered**, but not being **manufactured**
- ❑ Software cost concentrating in **engineering**, not in **materials**
- ❑ Software **does not “wearing out”** but **“deteriorating”** (not destroyed after lifetime like hardware, but backdated by **aging** that needs to update)
- ❑ Software is a **‘differentiator’** (different sub-systems, e.g. **cashier’s workstation in a supermarket**)
- ❑ Without **“spare parts”** in software maintenance (no extra useless features in software)
- ❑ Most software continuing to be custom built (based on the requirements)

## GOAL: COMPUTER SCIENCE VS. SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

- **CS:** to investigate a variety of ways to produce S/W, some good and some bad
- **SE:** to be interested in only those techniques that make sound economic sense

# SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LIFE CYCLE (SDLC)

- ❑ A structured set of activities required to develop a software system
- ❑ The way we produce software, including:
  1. Requirements Analysis
  2. Designing/Modeling
  3. Coding /Development
  4. Testing
  5. Implementation/Integration phase
  6. Operation/Maintenance
  7. Documentation

## GOOD & BAD SOFTWARE


- ❑ Good software is maintained—bad software is discarded
- ❑ Different types of maintenance
  - Corrective maintenance [about 20%]
    - Modification to fix a problem
  - Enhancement [about 80%]
    - Perfective maintenance (modification to improve usability,...) [about 60%]
    - Adaptive maintenance (modification to keep up-to-date) [about 20%]
    - Preventive maintenance (modification to avoid any future error) [about 20%]

## FAULTS IN SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT PHASES


- ❑ 60 to 70 percent of faults are specification and design faults
- ❑ Data of Kelly, Sherif, and Hops [1992]
  - 1.9 faults per page of specification
  - 0.9 faults per page of design
  - 0.3 faults per page of code
- ❑ Data of Bhandari et al. [1994]
  - Faults at end of the design phase of the new version of the product
  - 13% of faults from previous version of product
  - 16% of faults in new specifications
  - 71% of faults in new design




## COST OF DETECTION & CORRECTION OF A FAULT




## COST OF DETECTION & CORRECTION OF A FAULT




## COST OF CHANGE




## PRODUCT BATHTUB CURVE MODEL



## SOFTWARE IDEALIZED CURVE



## SOFTWARE ACTUAL FAILURE CURVE



## WHAT IS SOFTWARE ENGINEERING?

- ❑ Technologies that make it easier, faster, and less expensive to build high-quality computer programs
- ❑ A discipline aiming to the production of fault-free software, delivered on time and within budget, that satisfies the users' needs
- ❑ **An engineering:** set of activities in software production
- ❑ The philosophy and paradigm of established engineering disciplines to solve what are termed software crisis

## SOFTWARE APPLICATION

- **System software** (control computer H/W such as OS)
- **Business software** (commercial application for business users, SAP, ERP)
- **Engineering and scientific software** (e.g. statistical analysis-SPSS, matlab)
- **Embedded software** (e.g. auto pilot, biometric device)
- **Personal computer software** (e.g. Microsoft Office)
- **Web-based software** (use over internet with browser, e.g. Gmail)
- **Artificial intelligence software** (interact with computer, HCI, game)



## SOFTWARE MYTHS (MANAGEMENT)

- **Myth1:** We already have a book that's **full of standards and procedures** for building s/w, won't that provide my people with everything they need to know?
- **Myth2:** My people have **state-of-the-art software development tools**, after all, we buy them the newest computers.
- **Myth3:** If we get behind schedule, we can add **more programmers** and catch up.
- **Myth4:** If I decide to outsource the software **project to a third party**, I can just relax and let that firm build it.

## SOFTWARE MYTHS (CUSTOMER)

- **Myth1:** A general statement of objectives is sufficient to begin writing programs – we can fill in the details later.
- **Myth2:** Project requirements continually change, but change can be easily accommodated because software is flexible.

## SOFTWARE MYTHS (PRACTITIONER)

- **Myth1:** Once we write the program and get it to work, our job is done.

*Fact: the sooner you begin writing code, the longer it will take you to get done.*

- **Myth2:** Until I get the program “running,” I have no way of assessing its quality.
- **Myth3:** The only deliverable work product for a successful project is the working program.
- **Myth4:** Software engineering will make us create voluminous and unnecessary documentation and will invariable slow us down.

## REFERENCES

- R.S. Pressman & Associates, Inc. (2010). *Software Engineering: A Practitioner's Approach*.
- Kelly, J. C., Sherif, J. S., & Hops, J. (1992). An analysis of defect densities found during software inspections. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 17(2), 111-117.
- Bhandari, I., Halliday, M. J., Chaur, J., Chillarege, R., Jones, K., Atkinson, J. S., & Yonezawa, M. (1994). In-process improvement through defect data interpretation. *IBM Systems Journal*, 33(1), 182-214.