# FORM 1 (RULE 3-1 (1))

		No	
		Vancouver Registry	
	In the Supreme Court of British Columbia		
Between	kal mohamed badela	Plaintiff	
and	james donald, icbc and beata bsiwinksi	Defendant	

# NOTICE OF CIVIL CLAIM

[Rule 22-3 of the Supreme Court Civil Rules applies to all forms.]

## This action has been started by the plaintiff(s) for the relief set out in Part 2 below.

If you intend to respond to this action, you or your lawyer must

- (a) file a response to civil claim in Form 2 in the above-named registry of this court within the time for response to civil claim described below, and
- (b) serve a copy of the filed response to civil claim on the plaintiff.

If you intend to make a counterclaim, you or your lawyer must

- (a) file a response to civil claim in Form 2 and a counterclaim in Form 3 in the above-named registry of this court within the time for response to civil claim described below, and
- (b) serve a copy of the filed response to civil claim and counterclaim on the plaintiff and on any new parties named in the counterclaim.

JUDGMENT MAY BE PRONOUNCED AGAINST YOU IF YOU FAIL to file the response to civil claim within the time for response to civil claim described below.

#### Time for response to civil claim

A response to civil claim must be filed and served on the plaintiff(s),

- (a) if you were served with the notice of civil claim anywhere in Canada, within 21 days after that service,
- (b) if you were served with the notice of civil claim anywhere in the United States of America, within 35 days after that service,
- (c) if you were served with the notice of civil claim anywhere else, within 49 days after that service, or
- (d) if the time for response to civil claim has been set by order of the court, within that time.

# **CLAIM OF THE PLAINTIFF(S)**

#### **Part 1: STATEMENT OF FACTS**

1. 7) The Defendants, ICBC, Beata Siwinski, Edward Leung, and Ryan Ruggles, and each of them, agreed with Harper Grey LLP and Morris that Morris would advance false representations in pleadings submitted on their behalf to deceive the Court and have them removed from the claim. They knew this would cause the Plaintiff loss and damage and intended that result.

#### **Part 2: RELIEF SOUGHT**

1. 7) The Defendants, ICBC, Beata Siwinski, Edward Leung, and Ryan Ruggles, and each of them, agreed with Harper Grey LLP and Morris that Morris would advance false representations in pleadings submitted on their behalf to deceive the Court and have them removed from the claim. They knew this would cause the Plaintiff loss and damage and intended that result.

2asdfasdf

#### Part 3: LEGAL BASIS

1. 7) The Defendants, ICBC, Beata Siwinski, Edward Leung, and Ryan Ruggles, and each of them, agreed with Harper Grey LLP and Morris that Morris would advance false representations in pleadings submitted on their behalf to deceive the Court and have them removed from the claim. They knew this would cause the Plaintiff loss and damage and intended that result.

Plaintiff loss and damage and intended that result.

Plaintiff's address for service: asdfasdfasdf , asdfasdfasf, British Columbia , Canada V3L3C5

Fax number address for service (if any):

E-mail address for service (if any): asdfasfd@asdfas.com

Place of trial: Vancouver Registry

The address of the registry is: 800 Smithe Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6Z2E1

Date:

Rule 7-1 (1) of the Supreme Court Civil Rules states:

(1) Unless all parties of record consent or the court otherwise orders, each party of record to an action must, within 35 days after the end of the pleading period,

Plaintiff

Lawyer for plaintiff(s)

- (a) prepare a list of documents in Form 22 that lists
  - (i) all documents that are or have been in the party's possession or control and that could, if available, be used by any party at trial to prove or disprove a material fact, and
  - (ii) all other documents to which the party intends to refer at trial, and
- (b) serve the list on all parties of record.

## **APPENDIX**

# Part 1: CONCISE SUMMARY OF NATURE OF CLAIM:

7) The Defendants, ICBC, Beata Siwinski, Edward Leung, and Ryan Ruggles, and each of them, agreed with Harper Grey LLP and Morris that Morris would advance false representations in pleadings submitted on their behalf to deceive the Court and have them removed from the claim. They knew this would cause the Plaintiff loss and damage and intended that result.

Part 2: THIS CLAIM ARISES FROM THE FOLL	LOWING:
A personal injury arising out of:	
a motor vehicle accident	
medical malpractice	
another cause	
A dispute concerning:	
contaminated sites	an employment relationship
construction defects	a will or other issues concerning the probate of an
real property (real estate)	estate ☐ a matter not listed here
personal property	
investment losses	<ul><li>the provision of goods or services or other general commercial matters</li></ul>
the lending of money	
Part 3: THIS CLAIM INVOLVES:	
a class action	conflict of laws
maritime law	igtimes none of the above
aboriginal law	do not know
constitutional law	
Part 4: Enactments	
Builders Lien Act	☐ Motor Vehicle Act
Court Order Interest	Occupiers Liability Act
☐ Insurance (Motor Vehicle) Act	Supreme Court Act
☐ Insurance (Vehicle) Act	☐ Wills Variation Act
	☐ Other