

Homework 4
Computer Architecture
CSCI 361, Fall 2014
Due: November 3, 2014 in class

Problem 1

[20 pts]

Using a table similar to that shown in Figure 3.6, calculate the product of the hexadecimal unsigned 8-bit integers 62 and 12 using the hardware described in Figure 3.5. You should show the contents of each register on each step.

62 in hex : 0x3e → binary: 0011 1110
12 in hex : 0x0c → binary: 1100

operator will be 12 bits to represent all possible values of Mplier and Mcand.

Step	Mplier	Mcand	Result
Initial	1100	0000 0011 1110	0000 0000 0000
1: zero, no op	1100	0000 0011 1110	0000 0000 0000
2: Shift left Mcand	1100	0000 0111 1100	0000 0000 0000
3: Shift right Mplier	0110	0000 0111 1100	0000 0000 0000
1: zero, no op	0110	0000 0111 1100	0000 0000 0000
2: Shift left Mcand	0110	0000 1111 1000	0000 0000 0000
3: Shift right Mplier	0011	0000 1111 1000	0000 0000 0000
1: 1=>prod=prod+Mcand	0011	0000 1111 1000	0000 1111 1000
2: Shift left Mcand	0011	0001 1111 0000	0000 1111 1000
3: Shift right Mplier	0001	0001 1111 0000	0000 1111 1000
1: 1=>prod=prod+Mcand	0001	0001 1111 0000	0010 1110 1000
2: Shift left Mcand	0001	0011 1110 0000	0010 1110 1000
3: Shift right Mplier	0000	0011 1110 0000	0010 1110 1000
Done!			

Final Result = 0010 1110 1000 = 512 + 128 + 64 + 32 + 8 = 744 = 62 * 12 = 744!

Problem 2

[10 pts]

As discussed in the text, one possible performance enhancement is to do a shift and add instead of an actual multiplication. Since 9×6 , for example, can be written $(2 \times 2 \times 2 + 1) \times 6$, we can calculate 9×6 by shifting 6 to the left 3 times and then adding 6 to that result.

Show the best way to calculate $0x33 \times 0x55$ using shifts and adds/subtracts. Assume both inputs are 8-bit unsigned integers.

$$0x33 = (3 \times 16) + 3 = 51 = 0011\ 0011 = 2^5 + 2^4 + 2^1 + 1$$

$$0x55 = (5 \times 16) + 5 = 85 = 0101\ 0101$$

	1 1111 111 (Ones on this line are carry bits)
1: shift 55 left 5 places (because of 2^5)	= 1010 1010 0000
2: shift 55 left 4 places (2^4)	= 0101 0101 0000
3: shift 55 left 1 places (2^1)	= 0000 1010 1010
4: shift 55 left 0 places	= 0000 0101 0101

add (1) + (2) + (3) + (4)	1 0000 1110 1111

1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1
4096	2048	1024	512	256	128	64	32	16	8	4	2	1

$$\text{result } 4096 + 128 + 64 + 32 + 8 + 4 + 2 + 1 = 4335$$

$$51 \times 85 = 4335 \text{ IT WORKED!}$$

Problem 3

[20 pts]

Using a table similar to that shown in Figure 3.10, calculate 74 divided by 21 using the hardware described in Figure 3.8. You should show the contents of each register on each step. Assume both inputs are unsigned 6-bit integers.

74 = 0100 1010 74/21 = 3 with a remainder of 74 – 63 = 11
 21 = 0001 0101

Step	Quot	Div	Rem
Initial	0000	0001 0101	0000 1011
1: Rem = Rem - Div	0000	0001 0101	1000 1010 (-10)
2: Rem < 0, +Div, sll Q, Q0=0	0000	0001 0101	0000 1011
3: Shift Div right	0000	0000 1010	0000 1011
1: Rem = Rem - Div	0000	0000 1010	0000 0001
2: Rem >= 0, sll Q, Q0 = 1	0001	0000 1010	0000 0001
3: Shift Div right	0001	0000 0101	0000 0001
1: Rem = Rem - Div	0001	0000 0101	1000 0100 (-4)
2: Rem >= 0, sll Q, Q0 = 1	0010	0000 0101	0000 0001
3: Shift Div right	0010	0000 0010	0000 0001
1: Rem = Rem - Div	0010	0000 0010	1000 0001 (-1)
2: Rem < 0, +Div, sll Q, Q0=0	0100	0000 0010	0000 0001
3: Shift Div right	0100	0000 0001	0000 0001
1: Rem = Rem - Div	0100	0000 0001	0000 0000
DONE			

Final Result = 3 with a remainder of 11

0.000...00098607613 or in binary: 0.0...(100 zeros)...01 (102 zeros total and a 1 after all that).

(c) Using the IEEE 754 floating point format single precision, find the bit pattern that would represent $1/3$. Can it be represented exactly? If not, round upwards.

Right off the bat we know this is impossible as $1/3$ exactly is:

[illegible]

Therefore the closest we can get is whatever number is $0.333333333...2?$ or something similar so as n approaches $1/3$ without going over...

target: 0.33333333...

power: (-2)

result: 0

Power	check	Result
-1 (1/2)	$0.5 > t$	No good
-2 (1/4)	$0.25 < t$	Ok
-3 (1/8)	$.125 + .25 = .375$	No good
-4 (1/16)	$.0625 + .25 = .3125$	Ok
-5 (1/32)	$.3125 + .03125 = .34375$	No good
-6 (1/64)	$.3125 + .015625 = .328125$	Ok
-7 (1/128)	$.328125 + .0078125 = .3359375$	No good
-8 (1/256)	$.328125 + .00390625 = .33203125$	Ok
-9 (1/512)	$.33203125 + .001953125 = .333984375$	No good
-10 (1/1024)	$.33203125 + .0009765625 = .3330078125$	Ok
-11 2048	Pattern emerging, skip every other, check at end.	Assume No Good
-12 4096	Result: .333251953	Ok
-14 16384	Result: .3333129883	Ok
-16 65536	Result: .3333282471	Ok
-18 262144	Result: .3333320618	Ok
-20 1048576	Result: .3333330154	Ok
-22 4194304	Result: .3333332539	Ok
-23 8388608	Check our assumption: Result: .3333333731	No good

Final result would be the binary .0101 0101 0101 0101 0101 010

```
normalize: 1.01 0101 0101 0101 0101 010 x 2^-2
```

Exponent: $-2 + 127 = 125 = 0111\ 1101$

Fraction: 0101 0101 0101 0101 0101 010

Sign	Exponent (8 bits)	Fraction (23 bits)
0	01111101	01010101010101010101010

That is the closest we can get to .3333333333...to infinity and beyond... if we were using double, we would set every even bit to 1 and every odd bit to zero in the fraction out to 52.

Problem 7

[10 pts]

IEEE 754-2008 contains a half precision that it is only 16 bits wide. The leftmost bit is still the sign bit, the exponent is 5 bits wide and has a bias of 15, and the mantissa is 10 bits long. A hidden 1 is assumed.

Write down the bit pattern to represent -1.5625×10^{-1} assuming a version of this format, which uses an excess-16 format to store the exponent.

Comment on how the range and accuracy of this 16-bit floating point format compares to the single precision IEEE 754 standard.

	Before	After
Step 1: convert to binary	-0.15625	0.00101
Step 2: Normalize	0.00101	1.01×2^{-3}
Step 3: Convert the exponent to excess-127 notation	-3	$-3 + 15 = 12$
Step 4: Convert the exponent to 5-bit binary notation	12	$0\ 1100$
Step 5: Convert the fraction to "hidden bit" format.	1.01	01

Step 6: Identify:

Sign: $= 1$
Exponent: $= 0\ 1100$
Fraction $= 01\ 0000\ 0000$

Sign	Exponent (5 bits)	Fraction (10 bits)
1	01100	0100000000

Our limitations here on decimal values would be between 2^{-1} and 2^{-14} vs the 2^{-23} precision we can achieve with single precision.

Integer values would be limited to between 0 and 2048 exactly, anything larger would be rounded to multiples of 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32.