Analysis 1

Contents

1. The Real Numbers	. 2
1.0.1. Definition - Set	. 2
1.1. Axioms of The Real Numbers	
1.1.1. Definition - Group	. 2
1.1.2. Definition - Ring	
1.1.3. Definition - Field	
1.1.4. Definition - Cartesian Product	. 3
1.1.5. Definition - Subset	. 3
1.1.6. Definition - Relationship	. 3
1.1.7. Definition - Ordered Field	. 4
1.1.8. Definition - Absolute Function	. 5
1.1.9. Definition - Sign Function	. 5
1.1.10. Theorem - Triangle Inequality	. 5
1.1.11. Definition - Completeness Axiom	. 6
1.1.12. Definition - Function	. 6
1.1.13. Definition - Image and Preimage (Urbild) of a Function	
1.1.14. Definition - Complement	. 7
2. Functions of One Real Variable	. 7

Literature:

- Alessio Figalli's 2023 Lecture Notes
- Analysis 1 by H. Amann & J. Escher

What I would like to take notes of:

- 1. State the theorem / definition, expand with some intuition / memory aids
- 2. Write the proof by myself if deemed useful for an exam
- 3. Name some examples only if very helpful

These notes should serve as condensed revision material - only the minimal, important facts to remember before solving problems

Note on order: Many scripts used for teaching introduce concepts as they become relevant. My goal is to build a revision reference, not a learning resource, therefore over time the order will be rearranged to group relevant definitions and theorems together.

Proofs heavily involve decomposition; to progress, smaller Lemmas need to be brought in along the way and proven (or taken as true since someone else proved them). However first of all, you need to understand and remember the axioms (rules of the game).

Mathematics - Abstracting enough to focus on the matter

Contradiction is a useful tool for linking statements about > and \ge .

1. The Real Numbers

1.0.1. Definition - Set

An **unordered** collection of **distinct** ($\{x, x\} \equiv \{x\}$) elements such that:

- 1. It is defined by the elements it contains
- 2. It is not an element of itself, this prevents Russell's Paradox: $\{x \mid x \notin x\}$
- 3. Its elements can be filtered by a series of statements which hold true, for example the set of even integers:

$$\{n\in\mathbb{Z}\ |\ \exists m\in\mathbb{Z}: n=2m\}$$

Where | and : both mean "such that".

4. The empty set \emptyset contains no elements

1.1. Axioms of The Real Numbers

An axiomatic approach to defining the set of real numbers \mathbb{R} .

1.1.1. Definition - Group

A **non-empty** set G endowed with an operation \star which satisfies the following criteria $\forall a,b,c \in G$:

- 1. Associativity $a \star (b \star c) = (a \star b) \star c$
- 2. \exists *Neutral Element* n $a \star n = n \star a = a$ Examples:
 - a + 0 = 0 + a = a
 - $a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a$
- 3. $\forall a \exists$ Inverse Element i $a \star i = i \star a = n$ Examples:
 - a + (-a) = (-a) + a = 0
 - $a \neq 0 \Rightarrow a \cdot a^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot a = 1$
- 4. If $a \star b = b \star a$ it is a **commutative group**, although this is not required.

Properties:

• The *Neutral Element* is unique. Proof:

Let
$$n, n' \in G$$
 be neutral elements

$$n \star n' = n = n'$$

• There is unique *Inverse Element* for all elements. Proof:

Let $i, i' \in G$ be inverse elements for a

$$i \star (a \star i') = (i \star a) \star i'$$
$$i \star n = n \star i'$$
$$i = i'$$

Examples:

- The non-zero rational numbers $\mathbb{Q} \coloneqq \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \mid p,q \in \mathbb{Z} : p,q \neq 0 \right\}$ with operation \cdot is a group, where $n = \frac{1}{1}$ and $i\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \frac{q}{p}$
- The natural numbers $\mathbb N$ with operation + is **not** a group, as there are no negative inverse elements

1.1.2. Definition - Ring

A non-empty set R with operations + and \cdot .

- 1. Addition is always commutative with n = 0, i = -a
- 2. Multiplication is not necessarily commutative, for example a matrix ring
- 3. If multiplication is commutative, it is a **commutative ring** and has neutral element n=1

4. It is **not necessarily** a group for multiplication as 0 may be included and has no inverse element $0 \cdot i \neq 1$

1.1.3. Definition - Field

A commutative ring K (Körper) where $\forall a \in K \mid k \neq 0$ the inverse element for multiplication exists.

- 1. Addition: n = 0, i = -a, -(-a) = a
- 2. Multiplication: $n = 1, i = a^{-1}, (a^{-1})^{-1} = a \mid a \neq 0$

Examples:

- $\mathbb Z$ is a ring but not a field as there is no multiplicative inverse element for all non-zero elements
- The complete set of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q} \coloneqq \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Z} : q \neq 0 \right\}$ is a commutative ring and a field
- $0 \cdot a = 0$. Proof:

$$0 = 0 \cdot a - 0 \cdot a = (0 - 0) \cdot a = 0 \cdot a$$

1.1.4. Definition - Cartesian Product

For the sets X,Y, the Cartesian product is the set of tuples (ordered lists): $X\times Y\coloneqq\{(x,y)\mid x\in X,y\in Y\}$

• The number of elements in the set is:

$$|X \times Y| = |X| \cdot |Y|$$

Example:

$$X := \{0, 1\}, Y := \{\alpha, \beta\}$$

$$X \times Y := \{(0, \alpha), (0, \beta), (1, \alpha), (1, \beta)\}$$

1.1.5. Definition - Subset

A set whose elements are entirely contained in a parent set with the following notation:

- $P \subseteq Q$ P is a subset of Q and they may be equal
- $P \subseteq Q$ P is a **proper** subset of Q; Q has at least 1 additional element
- $P \not\subset Q$ There is at least one element in P that is not in Q
- The same applies in reverse using sup(er)set notation ⊇
- The symbols \subset and \supset are ambiguous in meaning
- Two sets can be shown to be equal if $P \subseteq Q \land Q \subseteq P$ holds true

1.1.6. Definition - Relationship

A relationship on X is the subset $\mathfrak{R} := \{(a,b) \in X \times X \mid a \sim b\}$ where \sim is an operator for expressing conditions called a relation and may have the following properties if the corresponding condition holds true $\forall x, y, z \in X$:

- Reflexive $x \sim x$ Example: \leq
- Transitive $x \sim y \land y \sim z \Rightarrow x \sim z$ Example: <
- Symmetric $x \sim y \Rightarrow y \sim x$ Example: =
- Anti-Symmetric $x \sim y \land y \sim x \Rightarrow x = y$ Example: \leq Although such relations are often reflexive too, this is not a requirement, consider <, which is anti-symmetric (no such x,y exist) but not reflexive.
- A relation is called **equivalence relation** if it is reflexive, transitive and symmetric. For example = is an equivalence relation, ≤ is not (not symmetric).
- A relation is called **order relation** if it is reflexive, transitive and anti-symmetric. For example \leq

1.1.7. Definition - Ordered Field

This extends the definition of a field K with an order relation (such as \leq), which is denoted as (K, \leq) , under which all elements $x, y, z \in K$ satisfy the following:

1. Linearity of the order:

$$x \leq y \vee y \leq x$$

2. Compatibility of order and addition:

$$x \le y \Rightarrow x + z \le y + z$$

3. Compatibility of order and multiplication:

$$0 \le x \land 0 \le y \Rightarrow 0 \le x \cdot y$$

This can be combined with the Inverse Element of addition (which exists in all fields) to make statements about multiplication of negative numbers.

These conditions allow us to define conventions such as:

- Positive := x > 0
- Non-negative $:= x \ge 0$
- $(x \le y = z) \equiv (x \le y \land y = z)$
- An example of an ordered field is the set of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} .
- An example of a non-ordered field is the set of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , upon which an order relation cannot be defined in a way that satisfies the ordered field axioms. Proof:

Let
$$0 \le i$$
, condition 3. implies $0 \le i \cdot i = -1$ which is false $: i \le 0$
Applying condition 2. $i + -i \le 0 + -i \Rightarrow 0 \le -i$

Applying condition 3. $0 \le -i \cdot -i = i^2 = -1$ which is also false and contradicts $i \le 0$

The conditions of an ordered field lead to many properties we take as given. Here are some interesting proofs:

• $(x < y \land y \le z) \Rightarrow x < z$ - Proof:

$$x < y \Rightarrow x \le y$$
. \le is a transitive relation, hence $x \le z$

We must now show that x < z.

Assume by contradiction that $\neg(x < z) \equiv x \ge z$ holds true

Due to
$$x \leq z \land x \geq z, x = z$$

Recalling x < y this implies z < y which contradicts $y \le z$

$$\div \ x < z \blacksquare$$

• If $x \neq 0$, $x^2 > 0$ holds true. Proof:

As $x \neq 0$ there are 2 cases:

- x > 0
- x < 0</p>

The ring is only guaranteed to be valid for the relation \leq , so we will prove $x^2 \geq 0$ first.

If x > 0, $x \ge 0$ also holds true and also $x^2 \ge 0$ per condition 3.

If $x<0, x\leq 0$ also holds true. Applying condition 2, $(x-x\leq 0-x)\equiv (0\leq -x)$. Applying condition 3, $-x\cdot -x=x^2\geq 0$.

Lastly, we must show that $x^2 \ge 0 \Rightarrow x^2 > 0$. Assume by contradiction that $\exists x \ne 0 : x^2 \le 0 \Rightarrow x^2 < 0$. This contradicts $x^2 \ge 0$, which we have proven for all $x \ne 0$ in the field. Hence $x^2 > 0$ must also be true \blacksquare

• 0 < 1. Proof:

Lemma: $0 \neq 1$ (Neutral Elements of addition and multiplication are not the same)

Lemma: If $x \neq 0$, $x^2 > 0$ holds true. Therefore $1^2 = 1 > 0$

Based on the fact that 0 < 1 and the compatibility + inverse element of addition, it is clear that the integers $\mathbb{Z} := ..., < -1 < 0 < 1 < ...$ are a subset of any ordered field. Furthermore, the rational numbers are defined from the set of integers, which are also a subset of all ordered fields K:

$$\mathbb{Z} \subsetneq \mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$$

1.1.8. Definition - Absolute Function

A function $|x|:K\to K_+$ defined on every **ordered field** such that:

$$|x| \coloneqq \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \ge 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

•
$$(|x| \le y) \equiv (-y \le x \le y)$$

•
$$|xy| \equiv |x||y|$$

1.1.9. Definition - Sign Function

A function $|x|:K \to \{-1,0,1\}$ defined on every **ordered field** such that:

$$\operatorname{sgn}(x) \coloneqq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

• Every $x \in K$ can be expressed as $x = \operatorname{sgn}(x) \cdot |x|$

1.1.10. Theorem - Triangle Inequality

It holds $\forall x, y \in K$ elements of an ordered field that:

$$|x+y| \le |x| + |y|$$

The name stems from considering a triangle spanned by two vectors. It is clear that the length of their vector sum is \leq the sum of both side lengths. Proof (on an ordered field):

Lemma:
$$|x| \Rightarrow -|x| \le x \le |x|$$

Therefore we can state the following:

$$-|x| \le x \le |x|$$
$$-|y| \le y \le |y|$$

Lemma: $x \le y \Rightarrow x + z \le y + z$:

$$-|x| + -|y| \le x + -|y|$$
$$-|y| + x \le y + x$$

Lemma: $x \le y \land y \le z \Rightarrow x \le z$

$$\therefore -(|x| + |y|) \le x + y$$

Applying the same procedure to $x \leq |x|$ and $y \leq |y|$ we get:

$$-(|x| + |y|) \le x + y \le |x| + |y|$$

Lemma:
$$(|x| \le y) \equiv (-y \le x \le y)$$

$$|x + y| \le |x| + |y| \blacksquare$$

1.1.11. Definition - Completeness Axiom

The definition of an **ordered field** so far is unsuitable as we need to "fill in the gaps". The completeness axiom is an alternative but equivalent approach to Dedekind cuts (which define the cuts first and then operations in terms of cuts) which defines a **complete ordered field** if the completeness axiom holds true:

- 1. Let $X, Y \subseteq K \mid X, Y \neq \emptyset : \forall x \in X \forall y \in Y$ the inequality $x \leq y$ holds true. If there exists $c \in K \mid x \leq c \leq y$ for all such subsets X and Y, the ordered field is complete.
- The field of real numbers $\mathbb R$ is a completely ordered field
- The reason subsets are checked instead of individual elements x,y is because subsets can be defined in terms of inequalities. For example, consider checking the existence of $\sqrt{2}$ in \mathbb{Q} . The set of rational numbers is **dense**, therefore no matter which lower bound x we choose, there is **always** a rational number closer to $\sqrt{2}$ and therefore the check $x \le c \le y$ holds true (although $\sqrt{2}$ is not a member of \mathbb{Q}). On the other hand if we choose the subset $X = \left\{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x \le \sqrt{2}\right\}$, this contains the true infimum of $\sqrt{2}$ and checks **completeness** rather than **density**. Of course, both approaches would involve checking infinitely many elements but luckily we can arrive at such an inequality from the axioms of an ordered set.

1.1.12. Definition - Function

A function $f: X \to Y$ is a mapping from the domain X to range / codomain Y. It **may** have the following properties:

- 1. Injective $\forall x, x' \in X : x \neq x' \Rightarrow f(x) \neq f(x')$ Assigns each element of X a **unique** element in Y
- 2. Surjective $\forall y \in Y \exists x \in X : f(x) = y$ Every element in the range is a possible output of the function
- 3. Bijective It is both injective and surjective, and therefore an inverse function can be defined
- 4. Two functions are $\mathbf{equal} \Leftrightarrow X_1 = X_2 \wedge Y_1 = Y_2 \wedge \forall x \in X, f_1(x) = f_2(x)$

1.1.13. Definition - Image and Preimage (Urbild) of a Function

Consider a function $f: X \to Y$.

• The Image f(A) of $A \subseteq X$ under f is defined as:

$$f(A) \coloneqq \{y \in Y \mid \exists x \in A : f(x) = y\}$$

$$f(A) \subseteq Y$$

• The Preimage (Urbild) $f^{-1}(B)$ of $B \subseteq Y$ under f is defined as:

$$f^{-1}(B) \coloneqq \{x \in X \mid \exists y \in B : f(x) = y\} = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}$$
$$f^{-1}(B) \subseteq X$$

- A function $f: X \to Y$ is surjective \Leftrightarrow The set f(X) = Y, because the image can only contain domain elements which map to Y by definition.
- For example consider $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \coloneqq x \to 0$:
 - $f(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$ It is not surjective
 - $f^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) = f^{-1}(\{0\}) = \mathbb{R}$
 - $f^{-1}(\{1\}) = \emptyset$

There is an interesting property of finite sets; consider $f: X \to Y$, where X and Y are **finite** sets with the same number of elements n:

Proof:

If f is injective, the image f(X) has at least n distinct elements so every distinct $x \in X$ has its own $y \in f(X)$.

Lemma: A function $f: X \to Y$ is surjective \Leftrightarrow The set f(X) = Y

We are given that Y has n elements, and since $f(X) \subseteq Y \Rightarrow f(X) = Y$ showing that it must also be surjective.

We must now show that surjectivity \Rightarrow injectivity. If f is surjective, f(X) = Y (Lemma), therefore f(X) has n elements.

Consider two elements $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Since X is a set, they are distinct $x_1 \neq x_2$.

If $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ for any two elements, they would "validate" the same member of f(X), leaving out at least one element of Y (deterministic, another input cannot have 2 outputs to make up for it) meaning f(X) would have n-1 elements, which contradicts the lemma about surjectivity, therefore f must also be injective \blacksquare

This is **not** necessarily true for infinite sets, for example $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, f(x) := x + 1 is injective but not surjective.

TODO: As part of set operations

1.1.14. Definition - Complement

 $A \subseteq X, A^{\mathbb{C}} = X \setminus A$ The elements of a set excluding those that appear in a set.

2. Functions of One Real Variable