

Summarize the Article:

Artificial Intelligence should not be used to violate privacy and personal property, which can be done by sharing an individual's data with the public, manipulating information, or exploiting personal data.

Summarize the Verses:

The collection of verses starts off strong with Exodus 20:15. This straightforward commandment has strong ties to this topic, and it tells us we should not steal data or information from others. The next two verses and Hebrews 4:12-13 back up the statement that the Lord knows all things even if we should not. Next, Matthew 10:16 frames our roles as Christians in this field discussing how we will interact with people who will want to harm other people for their personal benefit. Galatians 6:2 is a recurring verse, that emphasizes sharing each other's burdens, which calls us to care about the privacy and personal property of the people around us. This set of verses ties in well with statements made by the article.

What are your general thoughts?

The article makes good points on ethical practices for data collection and privacy online. I think it was well written and covered the topic concisely and effectively. One part I found interesting was the section about consent, even informed consent does not make it right to break the ethical standards we set for ourselves based on the values and commandments in the Bible. I think that this could be a line that people cross easily because of the emphasis on consent in our culture today.

Do you agree with everything?

I agree with most things stated in the article, and it brings up topics that are vital to the field of artificial intelligence. One statement that is up for debate for me is in the first sentence of the article. No one should violate individual rights and choices even for the pursuit of the common good. I think there are some extreme circumstances to this does not hold up. The common good might have to be unheld if an individual is severely harming others with their actions, and they are using this privacy to continue hurting people. This idea is at least up for debate for me.

Do you have any additions or changes to this article?

There is nothing major I would change about the article. I would maybe change the wording around the common good to clearly articulate the author's intent, but that is a minor change.

Do you have any additional questions?

What are some of the common ethical guidelines for data collection that are standard across the industry? Are there standard ethical guidelines among people who are of different religions, cultures, backgrounds, and experiences?