

Summarize the Article:

Artificial Intelligence is a tool that can be created with moral use in view to process data and make determinations, but it will not be morally accountable or responsible before God.

Summarize the Verses:

Every person has a choice between evil and righteous actions, which we will be responsible for before God when we are judged. We are all sinful, and therefore can't be righteous without Jesus taking our sins for us. Even as followers of Jesus, we should make every effort to act with goodness to keep from being ineffective and unproductive. The call to righteous actions is unique to humans, and artificial intelligence does not have the moral agency required to take on this responsibility.

What are general thoughts?

The article makes solid arguments for the different role humanity and artificial intelligence have in our world. If used well, AI can improve our decision making and analysis in many areas including on moral issues. Technology can't take responsibility for moral decision making, which is different than aiding in moral decision making using preset criteria. The verses provide support the idea that moral and ethical responsibility lies solely on humanity. They discuss the evil and righteous paths for people and warn us to be wise with what we do. By itself, AI cannot take the wide or the narrow path since it is not capable of performing actions outside of the parameters provided.

Do you agree with everything?

I agree with everything stated in the article. Artificial intelligence is a great tool for processing data and making determinations, which should be used by humans to improve how we care for the earth and each other. Similar to other tools we use such as a hammer, rope, or a pencil, AI is unable to make moral decisions because it lacks it is not a moral agent. Only humanity lives with that responsibility and the consequences that follow. There is nothing in this article that stands out to me as questionable or incorrect.

Do you have any additions or changes to this article?

There is nothing already in the article that I would exclude, but I would have been interested to hear another sentence about how humanity can use AI. In order for an AI to aid a human in moral decision-making, it must first be provided with the criteria for what is moral and what is immoral. AI can be used by humans to stick to what was previous determined as true and good instead of what feels good at the time. There are interesting benefits that come from AI aiding and informing humans when they are making logical decisions.

Do you have any additional questions?

I am curious about when the article that talks about being judged by the tools we create. Would we be judged on the intent behind the tool, how we use the tool, the tool itself, or all three.