As technology evolves and robots become closer to superseding the abilities of humans, the question of whether or not people should be replaced in dangerous jobs becomes more relevant. Movies like Robocop and I, Robot, both predicted that robots would be created with extremely superior combat abilities to that of humans. In both of these movies this sparked the idea of using technology on the battlefield. You cannot place a value on the life of a human and losing a person to combat is a devastating loss for everyone that that person has ever impacted. This could easily be fixed by allowing advanced combat machines onto the battlefield, therefore, we believe that robots should be able to be used in combat and law enforcement.

Using robots in law enforcement would prevent any unnecessary loss of police life. Also if robots such as those in RoboCop eventually existed, they would be far superior to police, in combat, crime prevention, and even detective work. They could easily subdue an armed criminal, diffuse a bomb, or deal with hostage situations in a far greater way than a human ever could. These robots would be able to make a split second analysis that would allow any civilian the greatest possible chance for survival. They could assess the situation and make the best tactical moves and they could analyze evidence at the scene without accidentally compromising it. By employing the use of robots, who have a set value in law enforcement, we could save thousands of lives year round as well as reduce crime rates substantially. The alternative risks priceless human lives and creates the risk of human error that can leave crimes unsolved and civilians hurt.

The major opposition to the use of robots in law enforcement would be the idea that robots are cold and do not use emotions, which makes them ineffective in law. However, by being emotionless, robots would be able to make the best actions that give everyone in the situation the best chance of survival. They can calculate chances of survival and take the route that leads to the most lives saved, whereas a human could never do that on the spot. Furthermore, a robot would present a non-judgemental and unbiased view towards citizens. This would have prevented recent events such as the Ferguson and Baltimore riots to never take place. An emotionless law enforcer could not have racist views towards anyone and would only make decisions based on split second calculations of the situation.

In conclusion, futuristic machines, like those portrayed in the movie RoboCop, would make far better law enforcers for the world. Robots could drop crime rates and save the most lives in tense situations. They can easily be replaced, unlike a human life, which is unique and priceless. Robots would not be biased or make irrational decisions that could end up hurting innocent lives or sparking controversy. Lastly, robot’s emotionless characteristics gives them an upperhand in stressful and tense situations, as well as allowing them to keep the most humans out of harms way.