Ba	Set	ach:					
	Sn	algorit	m fc	, frave	rse the	. tree	formed
0		$o \circ I$.	· Ch.				
3	Sim	stabilize s: find maperty,	allfone	element	h setis	sfypig-	certain
	T	morperty,					
	0			. 1	0.		-0 -lio

Ex: · Centralizer and Normalizer in permutation groups.

- · Conjugating element
- · Set stabilizer
- · Graph isomorphism

The free of a Stabilizer Chain C

- · root empty node
 - · first layer-the representatives of the first transversal
 - · children of a node at level/depth of -
 - the orbit of transversal (C, d+1)

 thisted by the corresponding representative

to the node

G = (1,2,3,4) =: a, (2,3) =: b> then part of the stabilizer chain books as follows: B1=1; S=[a,b], T= {[1,2,3,4] Le,a,a,a] Be= 2; S= [ab at = aba = b] $T_2 = \{[2,3]\}$ Let's look oit the search free: B_A=1

(1)
(2)
(3)
(4) It is kempting to say that branches under 3° corresponds to Be for rinte. however if we choose g=a3 then $\beta=1 \rightarrow 4$, but $\beta_2=2 \rightarrow 1$ which is stabilized by Sz. ! (so there'd be only one brough under 4) Instead ne go "kottom up" >> the choice for g influences where Bz is sent, but in a lotjective manner!

ALGORITHM: Bachfrach!
INPut: . L - an (emply) list
· e - stabilizer chain for g=(s)
· g = e - an element of G.
· d=1 - depth
OUTPUT: · L - a list of all elements of G.
begin
T = knowerd(e, d)
for SeT
if length(C) = d // we're in a leaf node
push g. T[5] to L
else
Bachtrach! (L, C, g.TLS], d+1)
end end return L
return L
end
· We can add a predicate p and only
· We can add a predicate p and only push when $p(g.T(S))$ is satisfied.
· We can add a predicate p and only push when p(g.T[s]) is satisfied. · Problem: this now over all leasts
· We can add a predicate p and only push when p(g.T[s]) is satisfied. · Problem: His runs over all leasts when sometimes whole branches can be
· We can add a predicate p and only push when p(g.T[s]) is satisfied. · Problem: His runs over all leasts when sometimes whole branches can be discarded by the predicate
· We can add a predicate p and only push when p(g.T[s]) is satisfied. · Problem: His runs over all leasts when sometimes whole branches can be discarded by the predicate · Johnson: Add a problem specific oracle
· We can add a predicate p and only push when $p(g.T[S])$ is satisfied. · Problem: this runs over all leasts when sometimes whole branches can be discarded by the predicate · Johnian: Add a problem specific oracle - for SET and avoid descending into the
· We can add a predicate p and only push when p(g.T[s]) is satisfied. · Problem: His runs over all leasts when sometimes whole branches can be discarded by the predicate

General poedure:

Given a group of and P, a problem to solve

- find the optimal tasis B for the problem

- use the existing SC to complete SC(B)

(e.g. knowing the order of g helps,

there are algorithms for transforming one

tasis to another)

- use techtrack + check for P to prune the

search tree.

Ex. Searching in Sym(5) for g. such that $(1,2)(3,4,5)^{3} = (2,4)(1,5,3)$

We imediately how that (1,2) - (2,4)

$$1 \mapsto 2$$
 are the only acceptable (2)

 $1 \mapsto 4$

how

 $\beta_{2} = 2$
 4

Let G = <(1,3,5,7)(2,4,6,8), (1,3,8)(4,5,7)) $\beta_1 = 1$ $S_1 = [a,b]$ $\triangle_{i}^{=}[1,3,5,8,7,2,4,6]$ Ti [e, a, a², ab, a³, aba, a³ba] $6 \cdot \bar{a} = (2,8,7)(3,6,4)$ 132 = 2, 52 [C] $\Delta_2 = [2, 8, 7]$ $T_2 = [e, c c^2]$ find $C_g(x)$ for x = (1,2,4)(5,6,8) $C_g(x) = \{g \in G : Xg = gx\}$ 1) make sure x is in g: $\beta_1^{\times} = 1^{\times} = 2 \qquad g_1 = \times \cdot (aba)^{-1}$ $\beta_2^9 = 2^9 = 2 \times a^3 b^3 a^3 = 8 \qquad (1,2,4)(5,6,8)(1,2,5,3)(2,8,64)(1,38)(4,5,7)$ ge = g1 · c' = g1 · (ba') = x · a'b' d' kb' = x · a'b' 2) Oracle for the centralizer $= \times a'b$ condition: g must preserve cycle of miture 1-2 ->2-4 1 -> 4 => 2 -> 1 1 75 7276 1 > 6 > 2 > 8 c. aska c.aba

Stabilizer Ex 3: Sofwise $X \subset \Omega$, $(\beta_1, ..., \beta_n)$ chosen from X $\Rightarrow Stab_g(X) \geqslant g^{(n+1)}$. Finish the basis and do the balifach search for Bi & Bungaffor ish. Ex4: Conjugating element x,y - permutations; I?g s.t. x = g'xg = y? 1) Necessary condition-cycle structures of x and y must agree. 2) Pick B, in a rare, long cycle of x

L. few possibilities for mapping the cycle List suffices to consider only a single image of B, for each cycle of the same length: if g conjugates x to y => gyt does L> next choices for the basis -Subsequent jobs on the cycle (their image is determined by B, 3) - Note: Modern versions of backback = "Partition backback" nodes are partitions of Ω which must map to itself. Every base image added = one element subset + refinement of the other subsets.

Groups_defined by proporties · Centralizer, Normalizer · Set stabilized • given $a, b \in G$ are they conjugated? If so find all geg s.t. gag=6. Note: if g'ag = b lets try with g' = xgy $g'ag' = g'g'x'a\times gy = g'g'agy = g'by = b$ if $a \in e_g(a)$ if $b \in e_g(b)$ => any solution of comes with Cg(k)g Cg(a) other solutions. · Graph isomorphism: If h: A -> B is an isomorphism, so is every element of Aut (A) h Aut (B). , associated to P Finding a subgroup: P · Starf with K = <e> whenever a new elt g satisfying the prediate is found upolate K:= (K, g) ~ kurld the stabilities chain for K along! · descend down the SC and find Prog(in) before considering ells from G (i) // depth search · Ahis builds a sgs for K -> the lests if a newly found g is in K are cheap!

Lemma:
Suppose that $K = G^{(1)} \cap P$ and N
is a node prescribing the image of (B1,, B1)
gla duld a of N satisfies the predicate of P
then we set $K = \langle K, g \rangle$ and we can prime
the whole subtree under N.
Proof: any element below N that is in P
is also in Kg. (piokne proof)
Corollary: When running the backtrack search finding either $g \in P$ or $g \notin P$ is good!
finding either geP or g&P is good!
→ if geP a gdK ⇒ KK,g>1>2 Kl
> if g&P >> none of elts in KgK Is in P.
Problem: How So test that h & Kg K
(for every g found as above)?
Solution: given he find a "caronical representative" of KhK and compare it to I the known representatives.
representative of KhK and compare it to
7 the linour representatives.
this is hard.

"Canonical may mean - the least element in KgK when comparing ells by the lexicographical order on (B, B, B, ...). Lemme: Suppose that K < P

is the group found so far and

that V prescribes the first l-images

of B, (Y1,..., Y1). If g is

a descendant of V, then g is minimal in KgK (in the sense above) if It is minimal in the orbit ye stable (81, ..., 84.1) Suppose that ye is not minimal in ye stable (81, .. , 84.1) Proof: >> I he Stabk (81, -, 84.) for which 8th < 81 => Bish = Yih = Yi for i=1, ..., l-1, but Bi = fi < fi = Bi ⇒ ghekgk & gh<g in the lex order.

Lemma: Let KSP, N~ (yn, yn) be ås above. Let R = Stabe (y, ..., yen) S = Stalok (Bin, Bui) $k = |\beta_{\lambda}^{s}|$ If g is a descendant of N and the smallest element of Kg K then & is smaller than the k-1 last elts of & 2 Let $\Gamma = \{\beta_i^{hg} : h \in S\} = (\beta_i^s)^g$ (B)) 9 = M if ye is not minimal in [=> -> sige Sgckgckgk B. 5. 9 = Ye is smeller than g Claim: Γ⊆χι i.e. γι is smaller than all of if it's 1º fellows. Note: R = Stabe(x,, xui) = g".gai.g

HYET = y= plug => your = Bug = ye. eRwhen hes