Computational Group Theory

- · the study of algorithms for groups
- · devise algorithms to answer concrete questions about conerete groups.

given explicitly by generators " abstract"

given as symmetries of celain algebraic/geometric object "implicit".

Problems:

abstract representation · Can we artistly compark an of implicit description? "symmetry defection"

La this is actually very hard!

- · Can we actuelly perform calculations

 for obtain the objects defined in abstract algebra dextbooks? (Can we compute the commitator subgroup? abelianization?)
- · Can we compute something about this particular group? Eq. God's numer forthe Rubir Cube group?
- · Algorithmic complexity ~ if something is computable then how will the computations scale?
- · Applications of group theoretical computations to other areas ("can we simplify this problem using it's symmetries?" e.g. chemistry is crystalography)

 graph isomorphism

What is the aim of this course?
- practical computability
- fast algorithms to be run on our computers
- Pormutation groups
- Finitely presented groups But NOT Makix groups!
also specialized algorithms
Existing software: - GAP (gap-system.org)
- Magma (magma.maths. usyd. edu.au)
- Sage (sogemoth org, also: cocale.com) (run things in a browser)
We will use neither:) justead we will start to develop our own software!
start to develop our own software.
The aim: understand the problems directly;
in the contract of the contrac
Joffware that hides the algorithm
The counter-ain: Learn how he algorithmic software that hides the algorithmic issues from us.

Exercises sessions:

- · Learning how to coole: language of my choice:
 · Learning how to julia (julialang.org)
 - organize
 test
 maintain
 maintain
- · Implementing concept from leetures
- · Band together in small teams, collaborate, but don't copy each other coole!

g - a group (non-empty set with binary operation usually denoted by ., e - the identy elevent

Def: gads on a set - 2 if there exists function $\varphi: \Omega \times \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \Omega$ satisfying:

- $\varphi(x,e) = x$ for all $x \in \Omega$

• $\varphi(\varphi(x,g),h) = \varphi(x,gh)$ So alled right action.

Note most kalbooks use right action: $\psi: \dot{\mathcal{G}} \times \Omega \longrightarrow \Omega$, $\psi(h, \psi(g, x)) = \psi(hg, x)$

Fact 1

Each right action also defires left action via $\varphi(x,g) = \psi(g',x)$ (and vice verse).

 $\varphi(\varphi(x,g),h) = \varphi(\psi(g',x),h) = \psi(h',\psi(g',x)) =$

 $= \psi(h'g',x) = \psi((gh),x) = \varphi(x,gh).$

Fact 2: for each $g \in \mathcal{G}$ $\varphi_g : \Omega \longrightarrow \Omega$ $\times \longmapsto \varphi(x,g)$ 1s a bijection. (The inverse is just of) Defn/look. q defines a group homomorphism $g \longrightarrow Sym(\Omega)$ known as the action honomorphism Notation: we will be writing x^g instead of $\varphi(x,g)$. Then the associationty law is just $(x^3)^h = x^{gh}$ Definition: · Orbit of xe 12 is x = {x9: geg}c 12 · Stabilizer of x e-12 is Stabg(x)={geg: x3=x}C.D.

Exercise: $y^3 \in x^8$ for every $y \in x^8$ and every $g \in \mathcal{G}$,

Lemma: · Stabg(x) < G (is a subgroup). · there is a bijection of x5 and stabour 9 the set of right cosets Proof: • $x^{gh} = (x^g)^h = x^h = x$ = $gh \in Shbg(x)$ • \times^{5} = $(\times^{9})^{5}$ = \times^{95} = \times \Rightarrow g'estabe(x). (partition of 12 into orbits) H = Stabe (x) xh=x the H xhs=xs Yhest every distinct point xo on the orbit comes with g'H as its stabilizer so $\times^3 \rightarrow \vec{g}\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_g$ is a bijection ×9 (Stabell) Corollary: $|x^g| = [g: flab_g(x)]$ when we have of Skelgers in G.

Computing an orbit: Usually we don't have access to all elements of Our group at once, we only know its generators. ALGORITHM: (PLAIN ORBIT) INPUT: · S - a finite generating set for group & · x - a point in D. OUTPUT: · XG - the orbit of x under DDG $\Delta := [x]$ for S in A for seS 8 := 8 s if x ¢ A $\Delta := \Delta \cup \{\chi\}$ end end end return D Note: · A is modified (potentially) and "for ϵ in Δ " runs over the elements added to Δ • This is correct when g is finite (otherwise we need to assume that the generating set is closed under taking inverses.

Performance note:
If $ S = m$ and $ x^{6} = n$ then
· 53 will be computed n.m times
• checking that $y \in \Delta$ is a search problem $*$ if Δ is sorted if will take $O(\log n)$
<i>//</i> ·
a lead mash mach
* if \$\Delta is masked (so when the so called then this might become so called "" " is a fised O(1)"
have fised U(1)

The complexity of finding x^{g} is proportional to m, i.e. is O(n).