

Spectral Basis of GNNs

Ng Yen Kaow

GNN history

- 1997 Sperduti and Starita [Supervised neural networks for the classification of structures](#)
- LeNet-5 1998
- 2005 Gori *et al.* [A new model for learning in graph domains](#)
- 2009 Scarselli *et al.* [The graph neural network model](#)
- Hammond *et al.* [Wavelets on graph via spectral graph theory](#)
- Micheli [Neural networks for graph: A contextual constructive approach](#)
- 2010 Gallicchio and Micheli [Graph echo state networks](#)
- AlexNet (U of T) wins ILSVRC 2012
- 2013 Shuman *et al.* [The emerging field of signal processing on graphs](#) 2013
- Bruna *et al.* [Spectral networks and locally-connected networks on graphs](#)
- ZFNet (NYU) wins ILSVRC
- GoogLeNet and VGGNet wins ILSVRC 2014
- 2015 Henaff *et al.* [Deep convolutional networks on graph-structured data](#) 2015
- ResNet wins ILSVRC
- 2016 Defferrard *et al.* [Convolutional neural networks on graphs with fast localized spectral filtering](#)
- Kipf and Welling [Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks](#)
- Atwood and Towsley [Diffusion-convolutional neural networks](#)
- Niepert *et al.* [Learning convolutional neural networks for graphs](#)
- 2017 Gilmer *et al.* [Neural message passing for quantum chemistry](#)
- 2018 Battaglia *et al.* [Relational inductive biases, deep learning, and graph networks](#)

RecGNN
Graph Fourier Transform
Spectral ConvGNN
Spatial ConvGNN

GNN history (significant eras)

1997 Sperduti and Starita [Supervised neural networks for the classification of structures](#)

LeNet-5 1998

2005 Gori *et al.* [A new model for learning in graph domains](#)

2009 Scarselli *et al.* [The graph neural network model](#)

Hammond *et al.* [Wavelets on graph via spectral graph theory](#)

Micheli [Neural networks for graph: A contextual constructive approach](#)

2010 Gallicchio and Micheli [Graph echo state networks](#)

AlexNet (U of T) wins ILSVRC 2012

2013 Shuman *et al.* [The emerging field of signal processing on graphs](#)

2013

Bruna *et al.* [Spectral networks and locally-connected networks on graphs](#)

ZFNet (MPI)

GoogLeNet and VGG

2015 Henaff *et al.* [Deep convolutional networks on graph-structured data](#)

- Spectral domain filters as NNs and their approximation techniques

2016 Defferrard *et al.* [Convolutional neural networks on graphs with fast localized spectral filtering](#)

Kipf and Welling [Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks](#)

Atwood and Towsley [Diffusion-convolutional neural networks](#)

Niepert *et al.* [Learning convolutional neural networks for graphs](#)

2017 Gilmer *et al.* [Neural message passing for quantum chemistry](#)

2018 Battaglia *et al.* [Relational inductive biases, deep learning, and graph networks](#)

RecGNN

- Adding up neighbors is all you need

GNN history (people behind these)

1997 Sperduti and Starita Supervised neural networks for the classification of structures

LeCun LeNet-5 1998

2005 Gori *et al.* A new model for learning in graph domains (*first use of the term GNN*)

2009 Scarselli *et al.* The graph neural network model

Hammond *et al.* Wavelets on graph via spectral graph theory

Micheli Neural networks for graph: A contextual constructive approach

2010 Gallicchio and Micheli Graph echo state networks

Sutskever+Hinton AlexNet (U of T) wins ILSVRC 2012

2013 Shuman *et al.* The emerging field of signal processing on graphs

2013

LeCun Bruna *et al.* Spectral networks and locally-connected networks on graphs

LeCun, sort of ZFNet (NYU) wins ILSVRC

LeCun

Google

GoogLeNet and VGGNet wins ILSVRC 2014

2015 Henaff *et al.* Deep convolutional networks on graph-structured data

2015

ResNet wins ILSVRC

Microsoft

2016 Defferrard *et al.* Convolutional neural networks on graphs with fast localized spectral filtering

Google Kipf and Welling Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks (GCN)

Atwood and Towsley Diffusion-convolutional neural networks

Niepert *et al.* Learning convolutional neural networks for graphs

2017 Gilmer *et al.* Neural message passing for quantum chemistry

Google

2018 Battaglia *et al.* Relational inductive biases, deep learning, and graph networks

Google

RecGNN
Graph Fourier Transform
Spectral ConvGNN
Spatial ConvGNN

Graph Fourier transform

- Let U be a eigenbasis of some Laplacian L
- Then $U^T x$ is a projection of distribution x on eigenbasis U

$$\blacksquare \quad U^T x = \begin{bmatrix} \leftarrow & \mu_1 & \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow & \mu_2 & \rightarrow \\ & \vdots & \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1^T x \\ \mu_2^T x \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \dot{x}$$

x and H will be used interchangeably here

where $a_i = \mu_i^T x$ is the projection onto μ_i

- The projected space is $\sum_i a_i \mu_i$

Graph Fourier transform

- Let U be a eigenbasis of some Laplacian L
- Then $U^T x$ is a projection of distribution x on eigenbasis U
- An application of U would transform \dot{x} back into x

$$U \dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow & \uparrow & & \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \dots & \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11} a_1 + \mu_{21} a_2 + \dots \\ \mu_{12} a_1 + \mu_{22} a_2 + \dots \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \mu_1 a_1 + \mu_2 a_2 + \dots = \mu_1 \mu_1^T x + \mu_2 \mu_2^T x + \dots$$

$$= \left(\sum_i \mu_i \mu_i^T \right) x = I x = x$$

Homework: prove $\sum_i \mu_i \mu_i^T = I$

Graph Fourier transform

- Let U be a eigenbasis of some Laplacian L
- Then $U^T x$ is a projection of distribution x on eigenbasis U
- An application of U would transform \dot{x} back into x ,
 $U(\dot{x}) = U(U^T x) = x$ (obvious since $UU^T = I$)
- Denote $U^T x$ as $F(x)$ and $U\dot{x}$ as $F^{-1}(\dot{x})$

Graph Fourier transform

- A convolution of x in the Fourier domain of a graph G is $x * g = F^{-1}(F(x) \odot F(g)) = U(U^\top x \odot U^\top g)$

where U is the eigenbasis of some Laplacian of G , g is some filter that works on the eigenbasis U , and \odot is the element-wise (Hadamard) product

- Suppose $U^\top g = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$. Let $g_\theta = \text{diag}(U^\top g) = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$

Then we can write $x * g = U g_\theta U^\top x$ (shown below)

- Each g_i weights the significance of the eigenvector μ_i
- g_θ is to be inferred
- This inference task results in the spectral GNNs

$$\begin{aligned} U^\top x \odot U^\top g &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 g_1 \\ a_2 g_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \\ g_\theta U^\top x &= \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 g_1 \\ a_2 g_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Spectral GNN

- The spectral GNN task of learning a function f and filter g for graph G , is to infer f and the coefficients g_1, g_2, \dots , such that for each x , $f(U g_\theta U^\top x)$ matches the desired output
 - These GNNs work in the spectral domain as opposed to the spatial domain of the graph
 - g_θ is to be independent of the eigenvectors U
 - That is, $g_\theta(L) = g_\theta(U\Lambda U^\top) = U g_\theta(\Lambda) U^\top x$ where L is some Laplacian for G
 - Of course, g_θ may turn out to be independent of Λ
 - In which case, g_θ is inferred solely from the examples
- Hence in spectral GNNs we learn which eigenvectors to use from examples in a supervised learning
 - In spectral clustering we take the eigenvectors of the slowest growth (hence more “global”) and perform unsupervised learning with those vectors

Chebyshev approximation for U

- However, computing U is $O(N^3)$ and computing $U^T x$ is $O(N^2) \Rightarrow$ expensive
- Approximate g_θ with Chebyshev polynomials

$$g_{\theta'}(\Lambda) \approx \sum_{i=0}^K \theta'_i T_i(\tilde{\Lambda})$$

where

- $\tilde{\Lambda} = \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}} \Lambda - I_N$ (λ_{\max} is the largest eigenvalue)
- $\theta' \in \mathbb{R}^K$ are Chebyshev coefficients, and
- The polynomials $T_i(x)$ are computed with a recurrence relation
 - $T_0(x) = 1, T_1(x) = x$ (base case)
 - $T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x)$

Chebyshev approximation for U

- T_n , the n^{th} order coefficient of the Chebyshev polynomials **of the first kind**, is

$$T_n(\cos \theta) = \cos n\theta$$

- The coefficients can be obtained using the recurrence relation

$$\cos(n+1)\theta + \cos(n-1)\theta = 2 \cos \theta \cos n\theta$$

$$\Rightarrow T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x)$$

0 th order	$\cos 0\theta = 1$	$\Rightarrow T_0(x) = 1$
1 st order	$\cos 1\theta = \cos \theta$	$\Rightarrow T_1(x) = x$
2 nd order	$\cos 2\theta = 2 \cos^2 \theta - 1$	$\Rightarrow T_2(x) = 2x^2 - 1$
3 rd order	$\cos 3\theta = 4 \cos^3 \theta - 3 \cos \theta$	$\Rightarrow T_3(x) = 4x^3 - 3x$

Chebyshev approximation for U

- However, computing U is $O(N^3)$ and computing $U^\top x$ is $O(N^2) \Rightarrow$ expensive
- Approximate g_θ with Chebyshev polynomials

$$g_{\theta'}(\Lambda) \approx \sum_{i=0}^K \theta'_i T_i(\tilde{\Lambda})$$

where K is the number of expansion terms. Then

$$x * g_{\theta'} = U g_\theta U^\top x \approx U \left(\sum_{i=0}^K \theta'_i T_i(\tilde{\Lambda}) \right) U^\top x$$

- Since $T(L) = UT(\Lambda)U^\top$

$$x * g_{\theta'} \approx \sum_{i=0}^K \theta'_i T_i(\tilde{L}) x$$

Chebyshev approximation for U

□ Hence we have $x * g_{\theta'} \approx \sum_{i=0}^K \theta'_i T_i(\tilde{L}) x$

□ Furthermore, from the Chebyshev recurrence

$$T_{n+1}(\tilde{L}) = 2\tilde{L}T_n(\tilde{L}) - T_{n-1}(\tilde{L})$$

□ Denote $\bar{x}_k = T_k(\tilde{L})x$, this becomes

$$\bar{x}_{n+1} = 2\tilde{L}\bar{x}_n - \bar{x}_{n-1} \text{ (or } \bar{x}_n = 2\tilde{L}\bar{x}_{n-1} - \bar{x}_{n-2}\text{)}$$

□ Then, $x * g_{\theta'} \approx \sum_{i=0}^K \theta'_i T_i(\tilde{L})x = [\theta'_0 \quad \dots \quad \theta'_K] \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_0 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{x}_K \end{bmatrix}$

■ ...and can be computed in $O(K|E|)$ time from \tilde{L}

□ Precompute the K vectors $\bar{x}_0, \dots, \bar{x}_K$, with the recurrence relation, and learn the scalars $\theta'_0, \dots, \theta'_K$

$K = 1$ approximations (GCN)

□ Hence we have $x * g_{\theta'} \approx \sum_{i=0}^K \theta'_i T_i(\tilde{L}) x$

□ Finally, GCN takes $K = 1$ to obtain

$$x * g_{\theta'} \approx \theta'_0 x + \theta'_1 \tilde{L} x = \theta'_0 x + \theta'_1 \left(\frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}} L - I_N \right) x$$

■ Furthermore let $\lambda_{\max} = 2 \Rightarrow x * g_{\theta'} \approx \theta'_0 x + \theta'_1 (L - I_N) x$

■ Let θ'_0 and θ'_1 be the parameters to be learned

□ Using the unweighted normalized Laplacian, $L = D^{-1/2}(D - A)D^{-1/2} = I_N - D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$, then

$$x * g_{\theta'} = \theta'_0 x - \theta'_1 D^{-1/2} A D^{-1/2} x$$

□ Further constraint the number of parameters by letting

$$\theta'_0 = -\theta'_1 = \theta, \quad x * g_{\theta'} = \theta (I_N + D^{-1/2} A D^{-1/2}) x$$

$K = 1$ approximations (GCN)

- However, since $L = I_N - D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$
 $\Rightarrow x * g_{\theta'} = \theta(I_N + D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2})x = \theta(2I_N - L)x$
- Then, multiple applications of $\theta(2I_N - L)$ would result in
$$\theta^k(2I_N - L)^k x = \theta^k U(2 - \Lambda)^k U^\top x$$

where Λ/U are the eigenvalues/eigenvectors for L

(GCN places non-linear functions between layers which we ignore in this derivation)

- L has eigenvalues in $[0, \lambda_{\max}]$ (where $\lambda_{\max} \leq 2$ is the largest eigenvalue of L)
 $\Rightarrow (2 - \Lambda)^k$ has range of $[(2 - \lambda_{\max})^k, 2^k]$
 \Rightarrow Exponentially large spectral coefficients at higher k
- Solution: Let $\hat{A} = A + I$ and normalize \hat{A} (renormalization)
This gives us $x * g_{\theta'} = \theta \hat{D}^{-1/2} \hat{A} \hat{D}^{-1/2} x$ where $\hat{D}_{ii} = \sum_j \hat{A}_{ij}$
 - How does this affect the spectral coefficients?

$K = 1$ approximations (GCN)

- Compare $\hat{D}^{-1/2} \hat{A} \hat{D}^{-1/2}$ to $D^{-1/2} A D^{-1/2}$ (Ng, Weiss, and Jordan 2001)
 - Eigenvalues of $D^{-1/2} A D^{-1/2}$ range in $[-1, 1]$
 - $\hat{D}^{-1/2} \hat{A} \hat{D}^{-1/2}$ differs from $D^{-1/2} A D^{-1/2}$ in its self-loops ($\hat{A} = A + I$)
 - A Laplacian with self-loops has a smaller spectrum than one without
 - **Theorem** (Wu et al. 2019). Let A (and D) be the adjacency matrix (and degree matrix) of an undirected, weighted, simple connected graph G . Let $\hat{A} = A + \gamma I$, $\gamma > 0$ and let \hat{D} be its degree matrix. Let
 - λ_1/λ_n be the min/max eigenvalues of $D^{-1/2} A D^{-1/2}$
 - $\hat{\lambda}_1/\hat{\lambda}_n$ be the min/max eigenvalues of $\hat{D}^{-1/2} \hat{A} \hat{D}^{-1/2}$Then $\lambda_1 < \hat{\lambda}_1 < \hat{\lambda}_n = \lambda_n = 1$
- ⇒ Eigenvalues of $\hat{D}^{-1/2} \hat{A} \hat{D}^{-1/2}$ range in $[\lambda, 1]$ for some $\lambda > -1$ ⇒ No exponential increase at large k

How legit are GCN approximations

- Consider the two approximations of $x * g_\theta$ in GCN

1. $S_{1\text{-order}} = \theta(I_N + D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2})$, or

2. $\hat{S}_{\text{adj}} = \theta\hat{D}^{-1/2}\hat{A}\hat{D}^{-1/2}$ ($\hat{A} = A + I$)

where θ is a scalar to be learned

- Evaluate how well they approximate $x * g_\theta$ in the case that $g_\theta = \text{diag}(\Lambda)$, that is,

$$x * g_\theta = (Ug_\theta U^\top)x = (U\Lambda U^\top)x = Lx$$

- First, letting $\theta'_0 = -\theta'_1$ (case of $S_{1\text{-order}}$) or $\theta'_0 = \theta'_1$ would result in $x * g_{\theta'}$ having the same eigenvectors as L , that is,

- $\theta'_0 = -\theta'_1 \Rightarrow x * g_{\theta'} = \theta(2I_N - L)x$
 \Rightarrow same eigenvectors but eigenvalues become $2 - \lambda$

- $\theta'_0 = \theta'_1 \Rightarrow x * g_{\theta'} = \theta Lx$
 \Rightarrow same eigenvalues/ eigenvectors

How legit are GCN approximations

- Use the Karate club graph for L
- Comparison of eigenvectors/ eigenvalues

Filter	Eigenvalues	Eigenvector (corr. to smallest eigenvalue in L)
L	1.71, 1.61, 1.58, 1.57, ..., .39, .29, .13, 0	-.32, -.24, -.25, -.2, -.14, -.16, -.16, -.16, -.18, -.11, ..., -.14, -.14, -.11, -.16, -.14, -.16, -.16, -.2, -.28, -.33
$S_{1\text{-order}}$	2., 1.87, 1.71, 1.61, 1.39, ..., .5, .43, .42, .39, .29	.32, .24, .25, .2, .14, .16, .16, .16, .18, .11, ..., .14, .14, .11, .16, .14, .16, .16, .2, .28, .33
\hat{S}_{adj}	1., .9, .77, .7, .55, ..., -.21, -.22, -.27, -.31, -.42	.3, .23, .24, .19, .15, .16, .16, .16, .18, .13, ..., .15, .15, .13, .16, .15, .16, .16, .19, .26, .31

- L and $S_{1\text{-order}}$ share the same eigenvectors
- Eigenvectors of \hat{S}_{adj} closely resembles those of L and $S_{1\text{-order}}$
- Evaluate $\text{MSE}(S_{1\text{-order}}x, Lx)$ and $\text{MSE}(\hat{S}_{\text{adj}}x, Lx)$ on randomly generated x
 - $\text{MSE}(S_{1\text{-order}}x, Lx) = 0.159$ (obtained at $\theta \sim 0.1$)
 - $\text{MSE}(\hat{S}_{\text{adj}}x, Lx) = 0.166$ (obtained at $\theta \sim 0.07$)
 - $\text{MSE}(\text{random vector}, Lx) = 0.413$
- Better than random but lack cluster performance due to differences in eigenvalues which were not remedied downstream

GCN properties

- GCN as spatial GNN (Gilmer *et al.* 2017)
 - Consider $\hat{S}_{\text{adj}} = \theta \hat{D}^{-1/2} \hat{A} \hat{D}^{-1/2}$ ($\hat{A} = A + I$)
 - Rewrite $\theta \hat{D}^{-1/2} \hat{A} \hat{D}^{-1/2} x$ as $\hat{A} H W$ and we arrive at a spatial method
- GCN as low-pass filter (Wu *et al.* 2019)
 - As mentioned, $\hat{S}_{\text{adj}} = \theta^k U(2 - \Lambda)^k U^\top$
 - At high k , values of $(2 - \Lambda)^k$ for $(2 - \Lambda) \ll 1$ diminishes
 - This often eliminates the negative $(2 - \Lambda)^k$ values (for odd k)

Filter	Eigenvalues
L^6	25.41, 17.54, 15.76, 14.95, 11.26, 9.24, 8.09, 7.31, 6.1, 4.16, 2.43, 1.82, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0.56, 0.42, 0.31, 0.21, 0.16, 0.13, 0.07, 0.05, 0, 0, 0, 0
$(S_{\text{1-order}})^6$	64, 42.45, 25.26, 17.59, 7.14, 6.08, 4.67, 4., 3.45, 2.66, 2.14, 1.71, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0.51, 0.35, 0.15, 0.07, 0.05, 0.04, 0.03, 0.02, 0.01, 0.01, 0, 0
$(\hat{S}_{\text{adj}})^6$	1, 0.52, 0.22, 0.12, 0.03, 0.02, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0

With the Karate club graph for L