Self-interacting dark matter model without dark energy in cosmology

Yixuan Zhu

Department of Astronomy, Beijing Normal University. Beijing 100875. PR China

ABSTRACT

1. Introduction

2. The basic equations in the IDM model

We assume that the total density of the cosmic fluid obeys the collisional Boltzmann equation

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\rho + \kappa\rho^2 - 2\Psi = 0,\tag{1}$$

where ρ is the total energy-density of the cosmic fluid, containing dark matter, baryons, and any type of exotic energy, Ψ is the rate of creation of DM particle pairs, and the annihilation parameter $\kappa(\geq 0)$ is given by:

$$\kappa = \frac{\langle \sigma u \rangle}{M_x},\tag{2}$$

where σ is the cross-section for annihilation, u is the mean particle velocity, and M_x is the mass of the DM particle. Compared to the usual fluid equation, the effective pressure term is

$$P = \frac{\kappa \rho^2 - \Psi}{3H}.\tag{3}$$

When $\kappa \rho^2 - \Psi < 0$, what means that the IDM particle creation term is larger than the annihilation item, IDM may serve as a negative pressure source in the global dynamics of the Universe, like the role of Dark Energy in the general cosmological models.

Basilakos & Plionis (2009) identified two functional forms for which the previous Boltzmann equation can be solved analytically. Refering to Appendix B in Basilakos & Plionis (2009), only one of these two is of interest because it provides a " $\propto a^{-3}$ " dependence of the scale factor, which is

$$\Psi(a) = aH(a)R(a) = C_1(n+3)a^nH(a) + \kappa C_1^2a^{2m}.$$
 (4)

And the total energy density is

$$\rho(a) = C_1 a^n + \frac{a^{-3} F(a)}{C_2 - \int_1^a x^{-3} f(x) F(x) dx},$$
(5)

where $f(a) = -\kappa/[aH(a)]$, and the kernal function F(a) has the form

$$F(a) = \exp\left[-2\kappa C_1 \int_1^a \frac{x^{n-1}}{H(x)} dx\right]. \tag{6}$$

The first term of Eq.(5) is the density corresponding to the residual matter creation that results from a possible disequilibrium between the particle creation and annihilation processes, while the second term can be viewed as the energy density of the self-IDM particles that are dominated by the annihilation process.

2.1. Model 1: relation to the ΛCDM model

If n = 0, the global density evolution can be transformed as

$$\rho(a) = C_1 + a^{-3} \frac{e^{-2\kappa C_1(t - t_0)}}{C_2 - \kappa Z(t)},\tag{7}$$

where $Z(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} a^{-3} e^{-2\kappa C_1(t'-t_0)} dt'$ (Basilakos & Plionis (2009)). Using the usual unit-less Ω-like parameterization, we obtain that

$$\left(\frac{H}{H_0}\right)^2 = \Omega_{1,0} + \frac{\Omega_{1,0}\Omega_{2,0}a^{-3}e^{-2\kappa C_1(t-t_0)}}{\Omega_{1,0} + \kappa C_1\Omega_{2,0}Z(t)},$$
(8)

where $\Omega_{1,0} = 8\pi G C_1/3H_0^2$ and $\Omega_{2,0} = 8\pi G/3H_0^2C_2$, which related to Ω_{Λ} and Ω_m in the Λ CDM model, respectively. From Eq.(2), we can also give the mass of the DM particle related to the range of κC_1 (in the unit of Gyr⁻¹)

$$M_x = \frac{3.325 \times 10^{-12}}{\kappa C_1} \frac{\langle \sigma u \rangle}{10^{-23}} h^2 (1 - \Omega_{2,0}) \,\text{GeV},\tag{9}$$

where $h \equiv H_0/[100 \text{km/s/Mpc}]$.

2.2. Model 2: relation to the wCDM model

If $\kappa = 0$, the global density evolution can be written as

$$\rho(a) = \mathcal{D}a^{-3} + C_1 a^n, \tag{10}$$

where $\mathcal{D} = C_2 - C - 1$. The conditions in which the current model acts as a quintessence cosmology are given by $\mathcal{D} > 0$, $C_1 > 0$, and $w_{\text{IDM}} = -1 - n/3$. This solution is mathematically equivalent to that of the gravitational matter creation model of(). The Hubble flow is now given by

$$\left(\frac{H}{H_0}\right)^2 = \Omega_{2,0}a^{-3} + \Omega_{1,0}a^n,\tag{11}$$

where $\Omega_{2,0}=8\pi G\mathcal{D}/3H_0^2$ and $\Omega_{1,0}=8\pi GC_1/3H_0^2$, respectively.(Basilakos & Plionis (2009))

3. Dataset

To constrain the relevant IDM models (Basilakos & Plionis (2009)), we use the newly revised observational H(z) data (OHD)(Zhang et al. (2014);Simon et al. (2005); Stern et al. (2010);Moresco et al. (2012);Moresco et al. (2016); Ratsimbazafy et al. (2017);Moresco (2015);Borghi et al. (2022); Jiao et al. (2023)),the Pantheon+ set of 1701 SNe Ia (Scolnic et al. (2022)), the BAO data from SDSS and DESI 2024.

3.1. The observational H(z) data

It is widely known that the Hubble parameter H(z) depends on the differential age as a function of redshift z in the form

$$H(z) = -\frac{1}{1+z} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}t},\tag{12}$$

which provides a direct measurement on H(z) based on dz/dt. OHD measurements have recently been acquired mainly employing cosmic chronometers (CC). The CC method is used to provide 33 observational data points, which are taken in the redshift range [0.07, 1.965]. The Table 1 lists the OHD dataset used in this analysis. In this case, χ^2 can be defined as

$$\chi_{\rm OHD}^2 = \sum_{i}^{33} \frac{(H_{\rm th} - H_{\rm data})^2}{\sigma_i^2}.$$
 (13)

Table 1. The OHD dataset

| Z | H(z) | Reference |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| 0.07 | 69±19.6 | Zhang et al. (2014) |
| 0.09 | 69 ± 12 | Simon et al. (2005) |
| 0.12 | 68.6±26.2 | Zhang et al. (2014) |
| 0.17 | 83±8 | Simon et al. (2005) |
| 0.179 | 75±4 | Moresco et al. (2012) |
| 0.199 | 75±5 | Moresco et al. (2012) |
| 0.2 | 72.9 ± 29.6 | Zhang et al. (2014) |
| 0.27 | 77 ± 14 | Simon et al. (2005) |
| 0.28 | 88.8±36.6 | Zhang et al. (2014) |
| 0.352 | 83 ± 14 | Moresco et al. (2012) |
| 0.3802 | 83 ± 13.5 | Moresco et al. (2016) |
| 0.4 | 95 ± 17 | Simon et al. (2005) |
| 0.4004 | 77 ± 10.2 | Moresco et al. (2016) |
| 0.4247 | 87.1±11.2 | Moresco et al. (2016) |
| 0.4497 | 92.8±12.9 | Moresco et al. (2016) |
| 0.47 | 89±34 | Ratsimbazafy et al. (2017) |
| 0.4783 | 80.9 ± 9 | Moresco et al. (2016) |
| 0.48 | 97 ± 62 | Stern et al. (2010) |
| 0.593 | 104 ± 13 | Moresco et al. (2012) |
| 0.68 | 92±8 | Moresco et al. (2012) |
| 0.75 | 98.8±33.6 | Borghi et al. (2022) |
| 0.781 | 105 ± 12 | Moresco et al. (2012) |
| 0.8 | 113.1±15.1 | Jiao et al. (2023) |
| 0.875 | 125 ± 17 | Moresco et al. (2012) |
| 0.88 | 90 ± 40 | Stern et al. (2010) |
| 0.9 | 117±23 | Simon et al. (2005) |
| 1.037 | 154 ± 20 | Moresco et al. (2012) |
| 1.3 | 168±17 | Simon et al. (2005) |
| 1.363 | 160±33.6 | Moresco (2015) |
| 1.43 | 177±18 | Simon et al. (2005) |
| 1.53 | 140 ± 14 | Simon et al. (2005) |
| 1.75 | 202 ± 40 | Simon et al. (2005) |
| 1.965 | 186.5±50.4 | Moresco (2015) |

3.2. Type la supernovae

SNe Ia have long been used as "standard candles" to give a direct measurement of their luminosity distance, and provides strong constraints on cosmological parameters. We use the latest Pantheon+ data set of 1701 SNe Ia samples(Scolnic et al. (2022)), which covers the redshift range [0, 2.26].

We use the fiducial SN Ia magnitude (M_b) determined from SH0ES 2021 Cepheid host distances(Riess et al. (2022)), which gives the μ_{data} and constrains H_0 in advance. To eliminate the influence of M_b , we give the χ^2 as

$$\chi_{\rm SNe}^2 = A - \frac{B^2}{C} + \ln\left(\frac{C}{2\pi}\right),\tag{14}$$

where $A = \sum_{i=1}^{1701} (\mu_{th} - \mu_{data})^2 / \sigma_i^2$, $B = \sum_{i=1}^{1701} (\mu_{th} - \mu_{data}) / \sigma_i^2$, $C = \sum_{i=1}^{1701} 1/\sigma_i^2$, the distance modulus is $\mu = 5 \log_{10}(d_L/\text{Mpc}) + 25$, and the luminosity distance d_L can be given as a function of redshift z

$$d_L = (1+z) \int_0^z \frac{c dz'}{H(z')}.$$
 (15)

However, the Eq.(9) just need the H_0 to caculate the M_x and we would still use the simple likelihood function as

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\rm SNe}^2 = \Delta^{\rm T} C^{-1} \Delta,\tag{16}$$

where $\Delta = (\mu_{th} - \mu_{data})$ and C^{-1} is the inverse of the covariance matrix of the SNe Ia data.

$$B = \Delta^{\mathrm{T}} C^{-1}$$
 and $C = \mathrm{sum}(C^{-1})$.

3.3. Quasar

The quasar gives a higher redshift

3.4. Baryon acoustic oscillation

The Baryon acoustic oscillation method (BAO) provides a key cosmological probe sensitive to the cosmic expansion history with well-controlled systematics. We use two BAO data sets from the SDSS(Alam et al. (2021)) and DESI 2024(Collaboration et al. (2024)), which are given at Table 2 and Table 3, respectively. The redshift is up to 2.33 both in the SDSS and the DESI 2024 dataset.

The χ^2 function for the BAO data is defined as

$$\chi_{\rm BAO}^2 = \sum_{i} \frac{(D_{\rm th}/r_{\rm d} - D_{\rm data}/r_{\rm d})^2}{\sigma_i^2},$$
(17)

where D refers to $D_{\rm M}$, $D_{\rm H}$, or $D_{\rm V}$, which are given as

$$D_{\rm M}(z) = c \int_0^z \frac{\mathrm{d}z'}{H(z')},\tag{18}$$

$$D_{\rm H}(z) = \frac{c}{H(z)},\tag{19}$$

$$D_{V}(z) = \left[zD_{M}^{2}(z)D_{H}(z)\right]^{1/3}, \qquad (20)$$

and r_d is the sound horizon at the drag epoch, which is given as

$$r_{\rm d} = \int_{z_{\rm drag}}^{\infty} \frac{c_s dz'}{H(z')}.$$
 (22)

However, the Eq.(8) just have a stiff point when $z \to \infty$, so the IDM model can not give a constraint to the r_d and we just try to use the cross parameter r_dh to give the constraints.

Table 2. The BAO-only dataset from SDSS

| Zeff | $D_{ m M}/r_{ m d}$ | $D_{ m H}/r_{ m d}$ | $D_{ m V}/r_{ m d}$ |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 0.15 | | | 4.47±0.17 |
| 0.38 | 10.23 ± 0.17 | 25 ± 0.76 | |
| 0.51 | 13.36 ± 0.21 | 22.33 ± 0.58 | |
| 0.7 | 17.86 ± 0.33 | 19.33±0.53 | |
| 0.85 | | | $18.33^{+0.57}_{-0.62}$ |
| 1.48 | 30.69 ± 0.8 | 13.26 ± 0.55 | -0.02 |
| 2.33 | 37.6±1.9 | 8.93 ± 0.28 | |
| 2.33 | 37.3 ± 1.7 | 9.08 ± 0.34 | |

Table 3. The BAO dataset from DESI 2024

| $z_{\rm eff}$ | $D_{ m M}/r_{ m d}$ | $D_{ m H}/r_{ m d}$ | $D_{ m V}/r_{ m d}$ |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 0.295 | | | 7.93 ± 0.15 |
| 0.51 | 13.62 ± 0.25 | 20.98 ± 0.61 | |
| 0.706 | 16.85 ± 0.32 | 20.08 ± 0.6 | |
| 0.93 | 21.71 ± 0.28 | 17.88 ± 0.35 | |
| 1.317 | 27.79 ± 0.69 | 13.82 ± 0.42 | |
| 1.491 | | | 26.07 ± 0.67 |
| 2.33 | 39.71 ± 0.94 | 8.52 ± 0.17 | |

4. Constraint results

5. Conclusions

References

Alam, S., Aubert, M., Avila, S., et al. 2021, Phys. Rev. D, 103, 083533

Basilakos, S. & Plionis, M. 2009, A&A, 507, 47

Borghi, N., Moresco, M., & Cimatti, A. 2022, The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 928, L4 $\,$

Collaboration, D., Adame, A. G., Aguilar, J., et al. 2024, DESI 2024 VI: Cosmological Constraints from the Measurements of Baryon Acoustic Oscillations

Jiao, K., Borghi, N., Moresco, M., & Zhang, T.-J. 2023, The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, 265, 48

Moresco, M. 2015, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 450, L16

Moresco, M., Cimatti, A., Jimenez, R., et al. 2012, Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2012, 006

Moresco, M., Pozzetti, L., Cimatti, A., et al. 2016, Journal of Cosmology and

Astroparticle Physics, 2016, 014
Ratsimbazafy, A. L., Loubser, S. I., Crawford, S. M., et al. 2017, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 467, 3239

Riess, A. G., Yuan, W., Macri, L. M., et al. 2022, The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 934, L7

Scolnic, D., Brout, D., Carr, A., et al. 2022, The Astrophysical Journal, 938, 113 Simon, J., Verde, L., & Jimenez, R. 2005, Phys. Rev. D, 71, 123001

Stern, D., Jimenez, R., Verde, L., Kamionkowski, M., & Stanford, S. A. 2010, Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2010, 008

Zhang, C., Zhang, H., Yuan, S., et al. 2014, Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 14, 1221