



# Model Evaluation Made Simple — Regression & Classification Metrics Explained

In Data Science, building a model is only half the job. The other half? Evaluating it correctly.

Choosing the right metric depends on the type of problem you're solving:

- Regression → Predicting continuous values (e.g., house prices, MPG)
- Classification → Predicting categories (e.g., spam vs not spam, disease detection)







# Regression Metrics

Regression models predict continuous numbers. To judge how good they are, we look at both fit and prediction accuracy.

## AIC – Akaike Information Criterion

- Balances fit vs complexity.
- Lower = better.
- Good for avoiding overfitting.

## BIC – Bayesian Information Criterion



- Similar to AIC but stronger penalty for complexity.
  - Lower = better.
  - Often preferred for smaller datasets.
- 
- 



## $R^2$ – Coefficient of Determination

- Measures how much variance in the target is explained by the model.
- Range:  $0 \rightarrow 1$  (higher = better)
- Limitation: Always increases with more variables – even if they're useless.

## Adjusted $R^2$

- $R^2$  adjusted for the number of predictors.
  - Penalizes adding irrelevant variables.
  - Best for comparing models with different numbers of predictors.
- 
- 

## MAE – Mean Absolute Error

- Average absolute difference between predictions and actual values.
- Lower = better.
- Easy to interpret in target's units.







# Classification Metrics



Classification models predict discrete categories. We need metrics that measure both correctness and error type. Average absolute difference between predictions and actual values.

## Confusion Matrix

	Predicted Positive	Predicted Negative
Actual Positive	True Positive (TP)	False Negative (FN)
Actual Negative	False Positive (FP)	True Negative (TN)

- Base for calculating other metrics.
  - Helps see how the model is wrong, not just how often.
- 
- 





## Accuracy

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{TP} + \text{TN}}{\text{TP} + \text{TN} + \text{FP} + \text{FN}}$$

- Measures overall correctness.
- Misleading if classes are imbalanced.

## Precision

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FP}}$$

- Of all predicted positives, how many are correct?
  - Good when false positives are costly (e.g., spam filter).
- 
- 



# Recall

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}}$$

- Recall measures how many of the actual positive cases the model correctly identified.
- Good when false negatives are costly

# F1-Score

$$\text{F1} = 2 * \frac{\text{Precision} * \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}$$

- Harmonic mean of Precision & Recall.
- Balances false positives & false negatives.






# Conclusion

In data science, building a model is only half the battle – the real skill lies in evaluating it correctly.

- For regression problems, metrics like Adjusted  $R^2$ , AIC, BIC, and MAE help balance accuracy with simplicity.
- For classification problems, tools like the confusion matrix, precision, recall, F1-score, and ROC-AUC reveal not just how often the model is right, but how it makes mistakes.

The key is to choose the right metric for the business problem:

- Maximize recall when missing a positive is dangerous (disease detection, fraud prevention).
  - Maximize precision when false alarms are costly (spam detection, legal alerts).
  - Balance both when you need an all-rounder model.
- 
- 