- Consider the following nonlinear programming problem (P) of the form,
- Minimize f(x)

- Consider the following nonlinear programming problem (P) of the form,
- Minimize f(x)
- subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{0}, i = 1, \dots, m, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- Consider the following nonlinear programming problem (P) of the form,
- Minimize f(x)
- subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{0}, i = 1, \dots, m, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- $f,g_i:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$.

- Consider the following nonlinear programming problem (P) of the form,
- Minimize f(x)
- subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{0}, i = 1, \dots, m, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- $f,g_i:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$.
- f, gi may not be linear functions.

- Consider the following nonlinear programming problem (P) of the form,
- Minimize f(x)
- subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{0}, i = 1, \dots, m, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- $f,g_i:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$.
- f, g_i may not be linear functions.
- $S = Fea(P) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, \text{ for } i = 1, ..., m \}.$

• The set **D** of **feasible directions** at $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ is, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists \ c > 0 \text{ such that } g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) \leq 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, ..., m, \text{ for all } 0 \leq t \leq c \}.$

- The set **D** of **feasible directions** at $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ is, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists \ c > 0 \text{ such that } g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) \leq 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, ..., m, \text{ for all } 0 < t < c \}.$
- Let $I = \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} : g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0\}.$

- The set **D** of **feasible directions** at $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ is, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists \ c > 0 \text{ such that } g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) \leq 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, ..., m, \text{ for all } 0 \leq t \leq c \}.$
- Let $I = \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} : g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0\}.$
- Let $I^* = \{1, \ldots, m\} \setminus I = \{i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} : g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0\}.$

- The set **D** of **feasible directions** at $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ is, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists \ c > 0 \text{ such that } g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) \leq 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, ..., m, \text{ for all } 0 \leq t \leq c\}.$
- Let $I = \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} : g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0\}.$
- Let $I^* = \{1, \ldots, m\} \setminus I = \{i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} : g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0\}.$
- For all $i \in I^*$, let g_i be **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* .

- The set **D** of **feasible directions** at $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ is, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists \ c > 0 \text{ such that } g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) \leq 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, ..., m, \text{ for all } 0 \leq t \leq c \}.$
- Let $I = \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} : g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0\}.$
- Let $I^* = \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus I = \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} : g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0\}.$
- For all $i \in I^*$, let g_i be **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* .
- For each $i \in I^*$ there exists $c_i > 0$ such that

- The set **D** of **feasible directions** at $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ is, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists \ c > 0 \text{ such that } g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) \leq 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, ..., m, \text{ for all } 0 \leq t \leq c \}.$
- Let $I = \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} : g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0\}.$
- Let $I^* = \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus I = \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} : g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0\}.$
- For all $i \in I^*$, let g_i be **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* .
- For each $i \in I^*$ there exists $c_i > 0$ such that $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le c_i$,

- The set **D** of **feasible directions** at $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ is, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists \ c > 0 \text{ such that } g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) \leq 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, ..., m, \text{ for all } 0 \leq t \leq c \}.$
- Let $I = \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} : g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0\}.$
- Let $I^* = \{1, \ldots, m\} \setminus I = \{i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} : g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0\}.$
- For all $i \in I^*$, let g_i be **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* .
- For each $i \in I^*$ there exists $c_i > 0$ such that $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le c_i$,
- If $c = min_{i \in I^*}\{c_i\}$, then $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le c$, for all $i \in I^*$.

• For $i \in I$, let g_i be continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* .

- For $i \in I$, let g_i be continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* .
- If **d** is such that, $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0$, for all $i \in I$,

- For $i \in I$, let g_i be continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* .
- If **d** is such that, $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0$, for all $i \in I$, then for each $i \in I$ there exists an $a_i > 0$ such that $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le a_i$.

- For $i \in I$, let g_i be continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* .
- If **d** is such that, $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0$, for all $i \in I$, then for each $i \in I$ there exists an $a_i > 0$ such that $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le a_i$.
- If $a = \min_{i \in I} \{a_i\} > 0$, $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le a$, for all $i \in I$.

- For $i \in I$, let g_i be continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* .
- If **d** is such that, $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0$, for all $i \in I$, then for each $i \in I$ there exists an $a_i > 0$ such that $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le a_i$.
- If $a = min_{i \in I}\{a_i\} > 0$, $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le a$, for all $i \in I$.
- If for all $i \in I^*$, g_i is **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^*

- For $i \in I$, let g_i be continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* .
- If **d** is such that, $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0$, for all $i \in I$, then for each $i \in I$ there exists an $a_i > 0$ such that $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le a_i$.
- If $a = \min_{i \in I} \{a_i\} > 0$, $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le a$, for all $i \in I$.
- If for all $i \in I^*$, g_i is **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* and for all $i \in I$, g_i is **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* then

- For $i \in I$, let g_i be continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* .
- If **d** is such that, $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0$, for all $i \in I$, then for each $i \in I$ there exists an $a_i > 0$ such that $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le a_i$.
- If $a = \min_{i \in I} \{a_i\} > 0$, $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le a$, for all $i \in I$.
- If for all i ∈ I*, g_i is continuous at x* and for all i ∈ I, g_i is continuously differentiable at x* then
 G₀ ⊂ D_{x*},

- For $i \in I$, let g_i be continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* .
- If **d** is such that, $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0$, for all $i \in I$, then for each $i \in I$ there exists an $a_i > 0$ such that $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le a_i$.
- If $a = \min_{i \in I} \{a_i\} > 0$, $g_i(\mathbf{x}^* + t\mathbf{d}) < 0$, for all $0 \le t \le a$, for all $i \in I$.
- If for all i ∈ I*, g_i is continuous at x* and for all i ∈ I, g_i is continuously differentiable at x* then

$$\mathbf{G}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*},$$
 where $\mathbf{G}_0 = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$

• If \mathbf{x}^* is a local **minimum**, then $\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$,

• If \mathbf{x}^* is a local **minimum**, then $\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ is the set of feasible directions at \mathbf{x}^*

• If \mathbf{x}^* is a local **minimum**, then $\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ is the set of feasible directions at \mathbf{x}^* and $\mathbf{F}_0 = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0\}$.

- If \mathbf{x}^* is a local **minimum**, then $\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ is the set of feasible directions at \mathbf{x}^* and $\mathbf{F}_0 = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0\}$.
- Since $G_0 \subseteq D_{x^*}$, x^* is a **local minimum** implies $F_0 \cap G_0 = \phi$.

- If \mathbf{x}^* is a local **minimum**, then $\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ is the set of feasible directions at \mathbf{x}^* and $\mathbf{F}_0 = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0\}$.
- Since $G_0 \subseteq D_{x^*}$, x^* is a **local minimum** implies $F_0 \cap G_0 = \phi$.
- Theorem 7: (FJ necessary conditions) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function.

- If \mathbf{x}^* is a local **minimum**, then $\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ is the set of feasible directions at \mathbf{x}^* and $\mathbf{F}_0 = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0\}$.
- Since $G_0 \subseteq D_{x^*}$, x^* is a **local minimum** implies $F_0 \cap G_0 = \phi$.
- Theorem 7: (FJ necessary conditions) Let f: Rⁿ → R be a continuously differentiable function.
 Consider the problem P of minimizing f subject to g_i(x) ≤ 0, i = 1, ..., m, g_i: Rⁿ → R for all i.

- If \mathbf{x}^* is a local **minimum**, then $\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ is the set of feasible directions at \mathbf{x}^* and $\mathbf{F}_0 = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0\}$.
- Since $G_0 \subseteq D_{x^*}$, x^* is a **local minimum** implies $F_0 \cap G_0 = \phi$.
- Theorem 7: (FJ necessary conditions) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function. Consider the problem **P** of minimizing f subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, i = 1, ..., m, g_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i. Let $S = Fea(P) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, i = 1, ..., m\}$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$.

- If \mathbf{x}^* is a local **minimum**, then $\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ is the set of feasible directions at \mathbf{x}^* and $\mathbf{F}_0 = \{ \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) \mathbf{d} < 0 \}.$
- Since $G_0 \subset D_{x^*}$, x^* is a **local minimum** implies $\mathbf{F}_{\mathsf{n}} \cap \mathbf{G}_{\mathsf{n}} = \phi.$
- Theorem 7: (FJ necessary conditions) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a **continuously differentiable** function. Consider the problem **P** of minimizing f subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) < 0, i = 1, ..., m, g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i. Let $S = Fea(P) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, i = 1, ..., m \}$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$

For all $i \in I^*$, g_i is **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^*

- If \mathbf{x}^* is a local **minimum**, then $\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ is the set of feasible directions at \mathbf{x}^* and $\mathbf{F}_0 = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0\}$.
- Since $G_0 \subseteq D_{x^*}$, x^* is a **local minimum** implies $F_0 \cap G_0 = \phi$.
- Theorem 7: (FJ necessary conditions) Let f: Rⁿ → R be a continuously differentiable function. Consider the problem P of minimizing f subject to g_i(x) ≤ 0, i = 1, ..., m, g_i: Rⁿ → R for all i. Let S = Fea(P) = {x ∈ Rⁿ : g_i(x) ≤ 0, i = 1, ..., m} and x* ∈ S. For all i ∈ I*, g_i is continuous at x* and for all i ∈ I, g_i is continuously differentiable at x*.

- If \mathbf{x}^* is a local **minimum**, then $\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ is the set of feasible directions at \mathbf{x}^* and $\mathbf{F}_0 = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0\}$.
- Since $G_0 \subseteq D_{x^*}$, x^* is a **local minimum** implies $F_0 \cap G_0 = \phi$.
- Theorem 7: (FJ necessary conditions) Let f: Rⁿ → R be a continuously differentiable function. Consider the problem P of minimizing f subject to g_i(x) ≤ 0, i = 1, ..., m, g_i: Rⁿ → R for all i. Let S = Fea(P) = {x ∈ Rⁿ: g_i(x) ≤ 0, i = 1, ..., m} and x* ∈ S. For all i ∈ I*, g_i is continuous at x* and for all i ∈ I, g_i is continuously differentiable at x*. If x* is a local minimum of f over S

- If \mathbf{x}^* is a local **minimum**, then $\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ is the set of feasible directions at \mathbf{x}^* and $\mathbf{F}_0 = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0\}$.
- Since $G_0 \subseteq D_{x^*}$, x^* is a **local minimum** implies $F_0 \cap G_0 = \phi$.
- Theorem 7: (FJ necessary conditions) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a **continuously differentiable** function. Consider the problem **P** of minimizing f subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) < 0, i = 1, ..., m, g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i. Let $S = Fea(P) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_i(\mathbf{x}) < 0, i = 1, ..., m \}$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$ For all $i \in I^*$, g_i is **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* and for all $i \in I$, g_i is **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* . If **x*** is a **local minimum** of f over S then there exists non negative constants, $u_0, u_i, i \in I$, not all zeros such that

- If \mathbf{x}^* is a local **minimum**, then $\mathbf{F}_0 \cap \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ is the set of feasible directions at \mathbf{x}^* and $\mathbf{F}_0 = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} < 0\}$.
- Since $G_0 \subseteq D_{x^*}$, x^* is a **local minimum** implies $F_0 \cap G_0 = \phi$.
- Theorem 7: (FJ necessary conditions) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a **continuously differentiable** function. Consider the problem **P** of minimizing f subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) < 0, i = 1, ..., m, g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i. Let $S = Fea(P) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_i(\mathbf{x}) < 0, i = 1, ..., m \}$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$ For all $i \in I^*$, g_i is **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* and for all $i \in I$, g_i is **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* . If **x*** is a **local minimum** of f over S then there exists non negative constants, $u_0, u_i, i \in I$, not

$$u_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$$
 (*)

• Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to

• Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$.

• Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. • Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 < 0$ • Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.

$$-x_1 \le 0$$

 $-x_2 \le 0$.

- Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 \le 0$ $-x_2 < 0$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .

- Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 \le 0$
 - $-x_2 \leq 0$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4, 2],$

- Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 \le 0$
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4, 2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, 2],$

 $-x_2 < 0$.

- Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.
 - $-x_1 \leq 0$ $-x_2 < 0$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4,2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2,-2].$

- Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.
 - $-x_1 \le 0$ $-x_2 < 0$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4, 2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, 2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2, -2].$
- Take $u_0 = 1$, $u_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then

- Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$.
 - $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 < 0$
 - $-x_1 \leq 0$ $-x_2 \leq 0$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4,2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2,-2].$
- Take $u_0 = 1$, $u_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$

- **Example 1:** $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.
 - $-x_1 \le 0$ $-x_2 \le 0$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4,2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2,-2].$
- Take $u_0 = 1$, $u_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$
- [2, 1]^T satisfies the FJ condition.

- **Example 1:** $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.
 - $-x_1 \leq 0$ $-x_2 < 0$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4,2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2,-2].$
- Take $u_0 = 1$, $u_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$
- $[2, 1]^T$ satisfies the FJ condition. At $\mathbf{x}^* = [0, 2]^T$ the binding constraint are g_2 and g_3

- **Example 1:** $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.
 - $-x_1 \leq 0$ $-x_2 < 0$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4,2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2,-2].$
- Take $u_0 = 1$, $u_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$
- $[2, 1]^T$ satisfies the FJ condition. At $\mathbf{x}^* = [0, 2]^T$ the binding constraint are g_2 and g_3 $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, 2],$

- Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.
 - $-x_1 \leq 0$ $-x_2 < 0$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4,2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2,-2].$
- Take $u_0 = 1$, $u_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$
- $[2, 1]^T$ satisfies the FJ condition. At $\mathbf{x}^* = [0, 2]^T$ the binding constraint are g_2 and g_3 $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, 2], \nabla g_3(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-1, 0],$

- **Example 1:** $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.
 - $-x_1 \leq 0$ $-x_2 < 0$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4, 2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, 2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2, -2].$
- Take $u_0 = 1$, $u_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$
- $[2, 1]^T$ satisfies the FJ condition. At $\mathbf{x}^* = [0, 2]^T$ the binding constraint are g_2 and g_3 $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, 2], \nabla g_3(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-1, 0], \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-6, 0].$

- Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.
 - $-x_1 \leq 0$ $-x_2 < 0$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4, 2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, 2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2, -2].$
- Take $u_0 = 1$, $u_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then
- $\bullet \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$
- $[2,1]^T$ satisfies the FJ condition. At $\mathbf{x}^* = [0,2]^T$ the binding constraint are g_2 and g_3 $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \nabla g_3(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-1,0], \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-6,0].$ $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*)$ and $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ are LI.

- **Example 1:** $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 \le 0$ $-x_2 < 0$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4, 2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, 2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2, -2].$
- Take $u_0 = 1$, $u_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$
- $[2,1]^T$ satisfies the FJ condition. At $\mathbf{x}^* = [0,2]^T$ the binding constraint are g_2 and g_3 $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \nabla g_3(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-1,0], \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-6,0].$ $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*)$ and $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ are LI.
- $\bullet \ u_0\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + u_2\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) + u_3\nabla g_3(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$

- Example 1: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 < 0$
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4, 2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, 2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2, -2].$
- Take $u_0 = 1, u_1 = \frac{1}{3}, u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then
- $\bullet \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$

 $-x_2 < 0$.

- $[2,1]^T$ satisfies the FJ condition. At $\mathbf{x}^* = [0,2]^T$ the binding constraint are g_2 and g_3 $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \nabla g_3(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-1,0], \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-6,0].$ $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*)$ and $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ are LI.
- $u_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + u_2 \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) + u_3 \nabla g_3(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. has only the trivial solution.

- **Example 1:** $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$.
 - $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4.$ $-x_1 \le 0$
 - $-x_2 \leq 0$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4, 2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, 2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2, -2].$
- Take $u_0 = 1$, $u_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$
- $[2,1]^T$ satisfies the FJ condition. At $\mathbf{x}^* = [0,2]^T$ the binding constraint are g_2 and g_3 $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \nabla g_3(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-1,0], \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-6,0].$ $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*)$ and $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ are LI.
- $u_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + u_2 \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) + u_3 \nabla g_3(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. has only the trivial solution. Hence $[0, 2]^T$ **does not** satisfy the FJ condition.



• If g_i is **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* for all i = 1, ..., m, then

- If g_i is **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* for all i = 1, ..., m, then
- $u_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$

- If g_i is **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* for all i = 1, ..., m, then
- $u_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ and $u_i g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$, for all i = 1, ..., m. (**

- If g_i is **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* for all i = 1, ..., m, then
- $u_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ and $u_i g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$, for all i = 1, ..., m. (**)
- $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$ is called the **primal feasibility condition**,

- If g_i is **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* for all i = 1, ..., m, then
- $u_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ and $u_i g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$, for all i = 1, ..., m. (**)
- $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$ is called the **primal feasibility condition**,
- (*) together with nonnegativity of the u_i 's, is called the **dual** feasibility condition.

- If g_i is **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* for all i = 1, ..., m, then
- $u_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ and $u_i g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$, for all i = 1, ..., m. (**)
- $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$ is called the **primal feasibility condition**,
- (*) together with nonnegativity of the u_i 's, is called the **dual** feasibility condition.
- $u_i g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$, for all i = 1, ..., m, is called the complementary slackness condition.

- If g_i is **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* for all i = 1, ..., m, then
- $u_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ and $u_i g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$, for all i = 1, ..., m. (**)
- $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$ is called the **primal feasibility condition**,
- (*) together with nonnegativity of the u_i 's, is called the **dual** feasibility condition.
- $u_i g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$, for all i = 1, ..., m, is called the complementary slackness condition.
- The above conditions are called the FJ (Fritz John) conditions and the point (x*, u) (or x*) is called a Fritz John, or an FJ, point.

$$u \neq 0, u \geq 0, u^T A = 0$$
 (1)

$$u \neq 0, u \geq 0, u^T A = 0$$
 (1)
 $Ay > 0$ (2)

$$u \neq 0, u \geq 0, u^T A = 0$$
 (1)
 $Ay > 0$ (2)

• \mathbf{x}^* is said to satisfy **KKT** condition if there exists non negative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that

$$u \neq 0, u \geq 0, u^{T}A = 0$$
 (1)
 $Ay > 0$ (2)

• \mathbf{x}^* is said to satisfy **KKT** condition if there exists non negative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (***)

$$u \neq 0, u \geq 0, u^{T}A = 0$$
 (1)
 $Ay > 0$ (2)

- \mathbf{x}^* is said to satisfy **KKT** condition if there exists non negative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (***)
- The above conditions given by (***) are called KKT (Karush, Kuhn, Tucker) conditions.

$$u \neq 0, u \geq 0, u^{T}A = 0$$
 (1)
 $Ay > 0$ (2)

• \mathbf{x}^* is said to satisfy **KKT** condition if there exists non negative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (***)

The above conditions given by (***) are called KKT (Karush, Kuhn, Tucker) conditions.
 Any (x*, u) (or x*) which satisfies the Karush Kuhn Tucker (KKT) conditions is called a KKT point.

$$u \neq 0, u \geq 0, u^{T}A = 0$$
 (1)
 $Ay > 0$ (2)

- \mathbf{x}^* is said to satisfy **KKT** condition if there exists non negative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (***)
- The above conditions given by (***) are called KKT (Karush, Kuhn, Tucker) conditions.
 Any (x*, u) (or x*) which satisfies the Karush Kuhn Tucker (KKT) conditions is called a KKT point.
- Theorem 8: (KKT conditions) If in addition to the conditions assumed for f and g_i's, as in the previous theorem, if

$$u \neq 0, u \geq 0, u^{T}A = 0$$
 (1)
 $Ay > 0$ (2)

- \mathbf{x}^* is said to satisfy **KKT** condition if there exists non negative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (***)
- The above conditions given by (***) are called KKT (Karush, Kuhn, Tucker) conditions.
 Any (x*, u) (or x*) which satisfies the Karush Kuhn Tucker (KKT) conditions is called a KKT point.
- Theorem 8: (KKT conditions) If in addition to the conditions assumed for f and g_i 's, as in the previous theorem, if $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s for $i \in I$ are LI

$$u \neq 0, u \geq 0, u^{T}A = 0$$
 (1)
 $Ay > 0$ (2)

- \mathbf{x}^* is said to satisfy **KKT** condition if there exists non negative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (***)
- The above conditions given by (***) are called KKT (Karush, Kuhn, Tucker) conditions.
 Any (x*, u) (or x*) which satisfies the Karush Kuhn Tucker (KKT) conditions is called a KKT point.
- Theorem 8: (KKT conditions) If in addition to the conditions assumed for f and g_i 's, as in the previous theorem, if $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s for $i \in I$ are LI and \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of f then

$$u \neq 0, u \geq 0, u^{T}A = 0$$
 (1)
 $Ay > 0$ (2)

- \mathbf{x}^* is said to satisfy **KKT** condition if there exists non negative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (***)
- The above conditions given by (***) are called KKT (Karush, Kuhn, Tucker) conditions.
 Any (x*, u) (or x*) which satisfies the Karush Kuhn Tucker (KKT) conditions is called a KKT point.
- Theorem 8: (KKT conditions) If in addition to the conditions assumed for f and g_i 's, as in the previous theorem, if $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s for $i \in I$ are LI and \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of f then there exists nonnegative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that

$$u \neq 0, u \geq 0, u^{T}A = 0$$
 (1)
 $Ay > 0$ (2)

- \mathbf{x}^* is said to satisfy **KKT** condition if there exists non negative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (***)
- The above conditions given by (***) are called KKT (Karush, Kuhn, Tucker) conditions.
 Any (x*, u) (or x*) which satisfies the Karush Kuhn Tucker (KKT) conditions is called a KKT point.
- Theorem 8: (KKT conditions) If in addition to the conditions assumed for f and g_i 's, as in the previous theorem, if $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s for $i \in I$ are \mathbf{LI} and \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of f then there exists nonnegative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (****)

• Remark: Hence from Theorem 8 it is clear that if $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u})$ (or \mathbf{x}^*) is an FJ point and if $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s for $i \in I$ are LI then $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u})$ (or \mathbf{x}^*) is a KKT point.

- Remark: Hence from Theorem 8 it is clear that if $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u})$ (or \mathbf{x}^*) is an FJ point and if $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s for $i \in I$ are LI then $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u})$ (or \mathbf{x}^*) is a KKT point.
- Remark: If x* is a Karush Kuhn Tucker (KKT) point then it is also an FJ point.

- Remark: Hence from Theorem 8 it is clear that if $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u})$ (or \mathbf{x}^*) is an FJ point and if $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s for $i \in I$ are LI then $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u})$ (or \mathbf{x}^*) is a KKT point.
- Remark: If x* is a Karush Kuhn Tucker (KKT) point then it is also an FJ point.
- Remark: KKT conditions says that under the assumptions
 of the above theorem, if x* is a local minimum,

- Remark: Hence from Theorem 8 it is clear that if $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u})$ (or \mathbf{x}^*) is an FJ point and if $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s for $i \in I$ are LI then $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u})$ (or \mathbf{x}^*) is a KKT point.
- Remark: If x* is a Karush Kuhn Tucker (KKT) point then it is also an FJ point.
- Remark: KKT conditions says that under the assumptions of the above theorem, if \mathbf{x}^* is a **local minimum**, then $-\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ lies in the **cone generated** by the $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s, $i \in I$.

- Remark: Hence from Theorem 8 it is clear that if $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u})$ (or \mathbf{x}^*) is an FJ point and if $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s for $i \in I$ are LI then $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{u})$ (or \mathbf{x}^*) is a KKT point.
- Remark: If x* is a Karush Kuhn Tucker (KKT) point then it is also an FJ point.
- Remark: KKT conditions says that under the assumptions of the above theorem, if \mathbf{x}^* is a **local minimum**, then $-\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ lies in the **cone generated** by the $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s, $i \in I$.

• Example 1: revisited $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$.

• Example 1: revisited $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.

• Example 1: revisited $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 < 0$

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$$
.

$$x_1+2x_2\leq 4.$$

$$-x_1 \leq 0$$

$$-x_2 \leq 0$$
.

• Example 1: revisited $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 \le 0$ $-x_2 < 0$.

• f takes its minimum value at $[2, 1]^T$.

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5.$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4.$$

$$-x_1 \leq 0$$

 $-x_2 < 0$.

- f takes its minimum value at [2, 1]^T.
- $g_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 5$,

• Example 1: revisited $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$.

$$x_1 + x_2 \le 0$$
. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.

$$-x_1 \leq 0$$

 $-x_2 < 0$.

- f takes its minimum value at [2, 1]^T.
- $g_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 5$, $g_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 + 2x_2 - 4$,

• Example 1: revisited $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$.

$$x_1 + x_2 \le 3$$
. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.

$$-x_1 \leq 0$$

 $-x_2 < 0$.

• f takes its minimum value at $[2, 1]^T$.

•
$$g_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 5$$
,
 $g_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 + 2x_2 - 4$, $g_3(\mathbf{x}) = -x_1$ and $g_4(\mathbf{x}) = -x_2$.

• Example 1: revisited $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 < 5$.

$$x_1 + x_2 \le 5$$
.
 $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.
 $-x_1 < 0$

$$-x_1 \leq 0$$

 $-x_2 < 0$.

- f takes its minimum value at [2, 1]^T.
- $g_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 5$, $g_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 + 2x_2 - 4$, $g_3(\mathbf{x}) = -x_1$ and $g_4(\mathbf{x}) = -x_2$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5.$$

 $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4.$

$$-x_1 \leq 0$$

 $-x_2 < 0$.

• f takes its minimum value at $[2, 1]^T$.

•
$$g_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 5$$
,
 $g_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 + 2x_2 - 4$, $g_3(\mathbf{x}) = -x_1$ and $g_4(\mathbf{x}) = -x_2$.

- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4, 2],$

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5.$$

 $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4.$
 $-x_1 < 0$

$$-x_2 \leq 0$$
.

- f takes its minimum value at [2, 1]^T.
- $g_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 5$, $g_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 + 2x_2 - 4$, $g_3(\mathbf{x}) = -x_1$ and $g_4(\mathbf{x}) = -x_2$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5.$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4.$$

$$-x_1 < 0$$

- $-x_1 \leq 0$ $-x_2 \leq 0.$
- f takes its minimum value at [2, 1]^T.
- $g_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 5$, $g_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 + 2x_2 - 4$, $g_3(\mathbf{x}) = -x_1$ and $g_4(\mathbf{x}) = -x_2$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4,2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2,-2].$

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5.$$

 $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4.$
 $-x_1 < 0$

f takes its minimum value at [2, 1]^T.

 $-x_2 < 0$.

- $g_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 5$, $g_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 + 2x_2 - 4$, $g_3(\mathbf{x}) = -x_1$ and $g_4(\mathbf{x}) = -x_2$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4,2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2,-2].$ $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) \ \text{and} \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) \ \text{are LI}.$

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5.$$

 $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4.$
 $-x_1 < 0$

$$-x_1 \leq 0$$

 $-x_2 < 0$.

- f takes its minimum value at [2, 1]^T.
- $g_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 5$, $g_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 + 2x_2 - 4$, $g_3(\mathbf{x}) = -x_1$ and $g_4(\mathbf{x}) = -x_2$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4,2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2,-2].$ $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) \text{ and } \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) \text{ are LI.}$
- Take $u_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5.$$

 $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4.$
 $-x_1 < 0$

$$-x_2 \leq 0$$
.

- f takes its minimum value at [2,1]^T.
- $g_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 5$, $g_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 + 2x_2 - 4$, $g_3(\mathbf{x}) = -x_1$ and $g_4(\mathbf{x}) = -x_2$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4,2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2,-2].$ $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) \text{ and } \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) \text{ are LI.}$
- Take $u_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$

• Example 1: revisited $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 < 5$.

$$x_1 + x_2 \le 5.$$

 $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4.$
 $-x_1 < 0$

$$-x_1 \leq 0$$

 $-x_2 < 0$.

- f takes its minimum value at [2, 1]^T.
- $g_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 5$, $g_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 + 2x_2 - 4$, $g_3(\mathbf{x}) = -x_1$ and $g_4(\mathbf{x}) = -x_2$.
- At $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ the binding constraints are g_1 and g_2 .
- $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [4,2], \ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,2], \ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-2,-2].$ $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) \text{ and } \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) \text{ are LI.}$
- Take $u_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $u_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, then
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. Hence $[2, 1]^T$ satisfies the KKT condition.

• Example 2: $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1$.

• Example 2: $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1$. subject to $x_2 - (1 - x_1)^3 \le 0$ • Example 2: $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1$. subject to $x_2 - (1 - x_1)^3 \le 0$ $-x_2 \le 0$

- Example 2: $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1$. subject to $x_2 - (1 - x_1)^3 \le 0$ $-x_2 \le 0$
- $\mathbf{x}^* = [1, 0]^T$ is a local minimum.

- Example 2: $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1$. subject to $x_2 - (1 - x_1)^3 \le 0$ $-x_2 < 0$
- $\mathbf{x}^* = [1, 0]^T$ is a local minimum.
- At $[1,0]^T$ both the constraints are binding.

- Example 2: $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1$. subject to $x_2 - (1 - x_1)^3 \le 0$ $-x_2 < 0$
- $\mathbf{x}^* = [1, 0]^T$ is a local minimum.
- At [1,0]^T both the constraints are binding.
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-1, 0], \ \nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [0, 1]$ and $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [0, -1].$

- Example 2: $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1$. subject to $x_2 - (1 - x_1)^3 \le 0$ $-x_2 < 0$
- $\mathbf{x}^* = [1, 0]^T$ is a local minimum.
- At [1,0]^T both the constraints are binding.
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-1, 0], \ \nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [0, 1]$ and $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [0, -1].$
- Take $u_0 = 0, u_1 = 1, u_2 = 1$, then

- Example 2: $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1$. subject to $x_2 - (1 - x_1)^3 \le 0$ $-x_2 < 0$
- $\mathbf{x}^* = [1, 0]^T$ is a local minimum.
- At [1,0]^T both the constraints are binding.
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-1, 0], \ \nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [0, 1]$ and $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [0, -1].$
- Take $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$, $u_2 = 1$, then $u_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$.

- Example 2: $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1$. subject to $x_2 - (1 - x_1)^3 \le 0$ $-x_2 < 0$
- $\mathbf{x}^* = [1, 0]^T$ is a local minimum.
- At [1,0]^T both the constraints are binding.
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-1, 0], \ \nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [0, 1]$ and $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [0, -1].$
- Take $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$, $u_2 = 1$, then $u_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$.
- Since -∇f(x*) does not lie in the cone generated by the ∇g_i(x*)'s, i = 1, 2, [1, 0]^T is an FJ point but not a KKT point.

- Example 2: $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1$. subject to $x_2 - (1 - x_1)^3 \le 0$ $-x_2 < 0$
- $\mathbf{x}^* = [1, 0]^T$ is a local minimum.
- At [1,0]^T both the constraints are binding.
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = [-1, 0], \ \nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [0, 1]$ and $\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}^*) = [0, -1].$
- Take $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$, $u_2 = 1$, then $u_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^2 u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$.
- Since $-\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ does not lie in the cone generated by the $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s, i = 1, 2, $[1, 0]^T$ is an **FJ point** but **not** a **KKT point**.
- So KKT condition is not a necessary condition for a local minimum, although FJ conditions are necessary conditions for a local minimum.

• Theorem 8: (KKT conditions) If in addition to the conditions assumed for f and g_i 's, as in the previous theorem, if $G_0 \neq \phi$

• Theorem 8: (KKT conditions) If in addition to the conditions assumed for f and g_i 's, as in the previous theorem, if $G_0 \neq \phi$ and \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of f then

• Theorem 8: (KKT conditions) If in addition to the conditions assumed for f and g_i 's, as in the previous theorem, if $G_0 \neq \phi$ and \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of f then there exists nonnegative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that

• Theorem 8: (KKT conditions) If in addition to the conditions assumed for f and g_i 's, as in the previous theorem, if $G_0 \neq \phi$ and \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of f then there exists nonnegative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (**)

- Theorem 8: (KKT conditions) If in addition to the conditions assumed for f and g_i 's, as in the previous theorem, if $G_0 \neq \phi$ and \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of f then there exists nonnegative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (**)
- Example 2: Minimize $-x_1$.

- Theorem 8: (KKT conditions) If in addition to the conditions assumed for f and g_i 's, as in the previous theorem, if $G_0 \neq \phi$ and \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of f then there exists nonnegative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (**)
- Example 2: Minimize $-x_1$. subject to $-x_2 - x_1 < 0$

- Theorem 8: (KKT conditions) If in addition to the conditions assumed for f and g_i 's, as in the previous theorem, if $G_0 \neq \phi$ and \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of f then there exists nonnegative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (**)
- **Example 2:** Minimize $-x_1$. subject to

$$-x_2-x_1\leq 0$$

$$-x_2 \leq 0$$

$$-x_1 \leq 0$$

- Theorem 8: (KKT conditions) If in addition to the conditions assumed for f and g_i 's, as in the previous theorem, if $G_0 \neq \phi$ and \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of f then there exists nonnegative constants u_i , $i \in I$, such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (**)
- **Example 2:** Minimize $-x_1$. subject to

$$-x_2-x_1\leq 0$$

$$-x_{2} \leq 0$$

$$-x_1 \leq 0$$

- $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s, $i \in I$ are **LD** at $\mathbf{x}^* = [0,0]^T$ but $G_0 \neq \phi$.
- Remark: If $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s, $i \in I$ are LI then $G_0 \neq \phi$ but the converse is **not** true.

• Theorem 9:(KKT sufficient conditions) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and continuously differentiable.

• Theorem 9:(KKT sufficient conditions) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and continuously differentiable. Consider the problem of minimizing f subject to the conditions $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, i = 1, \dots, m$,

• Theorem 9:(KKT sufficient conditions) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and continuously differentiable. Consider the problem of minimizing f subject to the conditions $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$, where $g_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i.

• Theorem 9:(KKT sufficient conditions) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and continuously differentiable. Consider the problem of minimizing f subject to the conditions $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$, where $g_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i. Let $S = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$.

• Theorem 9:(KKT sufficient conditions) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and continuously differentiable. Consider the problem of minimizing f subject to the conditions $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$, where $g_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i. Let $S = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, assume that g_i is continuous at \mathbf{x}^* and for all $i \in I$, g_i 's are assumed to be continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* .

• Theorem 9:(KKT sufficient conditions) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be **convex** and **continuously differentiable**. Consider the problem of **minimizing** *f* subject to the conditions $g_i(\mathbf{x}) < 0, i = 1, \dots, m$ where $g_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i. Let $S = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, i = 1, ..., m \}$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, assume that g_i is **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* and for all $i \in I$, g_i 's are assumed to be **continuously** differentiable at x*. Let all the g_i 's be **convex functions**, so that

 $S = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, i = 1, 2, ..., m \}$ is convex.

• Theorem 9:(KKT sufficient conditions) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and continuously differentiable. Consider the problem of **minimizing** *f* subject to the conditions $g_i(\mathbf{x}) < 0, i = 1, \dots, m$ where $g_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i. Let $S = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, i = 1, ..., m \}$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, assume that g_i is **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* and for all $i \in I$, g_i 's are assumed to be **continuously** differentiable at x*. Let all the g_i 's be **convex functions**, so that $S = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, i = 1, 2, ..., m \}$ is **convex**. Then \mathbf{x}^* is a **global minimum** of f over S if

• Theorem 9:(KKT sufficient conditions) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex and continuously differentiable. Consider the problem of **minimizing** *f* subject to the conditions $g_i(\mathbf{x}) < 0, i = 1, \dots, m$ where $g_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i. Let $S = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, i = 1, ..., m \}$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, assume that g_i is **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* and for all $i \in I$, g_i 's are assumed to be **continuously** differentiable at x*. Let all the g_i 's be **convex functions**, so that $S = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, i = 1, 2, ..., m \}$ is **convex**. Then \mathbf{x}^* is a **global minimum** of f over S if there exists non

negative constants,
$$u_i$$
, $i \in I$ such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$.

• Example 1 revisited: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to

• Example 1 revisited: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$.

• Example 1 revisited: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$.

• Example 1 revisited: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 < 0$

• Example 1 revisited: $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 \le 0$ $-x_2 < 0$.

- **Example 1 revisited:** $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 \le 0$ $-x_2 \le 0$.
- Check that f, g_i for all i are convex functions.

- **Example 1 revisited:** $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 \le 0$ $-x_2 \le 0$.
- Check that f, g_i for all i are convex functions.
- $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ is a KKT point.

- **Example 1 revisited:** $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 3)^2 + (x_2 2)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 \le 0$ $-x_2 \le 0$.
- Check that f, g_i for all i are convex functions.
- $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ is a KKT point.
- $\mathbf{x}^* = [2, 1]^T$ is the global minimum of f.

• **Example 3:** $f(x) = -x^2$ for $x \le 0$

• **Example 3:** $f(x) = -x^2$ for $x \le 0$ = x^2 for $x \ge 0$.

- Example 3: $f(x) = -x^2$ for $x \le 0$ = x^2 for $x \ge 0$.
- For $x^* = 0$, $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, hence $x^* = 0$ satisfies the **KKT** conditions but x^* not be a local minimum point.

- Example 3: $f(x) = -x^2$ for $x \le 0$ = x^2 for $x \ge 0$.
- For $x^* = 0$, $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, hence $x^* = 0$ satisfies the **KKT** conditions but x^* not be a local minimum point.
- f is clearly **not** convex.

- Example 3: $f(x) = -x^2$ for $x \le 0$ = x^2 for $x \ge 0$.
- For $x^* = 0$, $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, hence $x^* = 0$ satisfies the **KKT** conditions but x^* not be a local minimum point.
- f is clearly **not** convex.
- Any x^* for which $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ is a KKT point but x^* may not be a point of local minimum.

- Example 3: $f(x) = -x^2$ for $x \le 0$ = x^2 for $x \ge 0$.
- For $x^* = 0$, $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, hence $x^* = 0$ satisfies the **KKT** conditions but x^* not be a local minimum point.
- f is clearly not convex.
- Any x^* for which $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ is a KKT point but x^* may not be a point of local minimum.
- $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1$

- Example 3: $f(x) = -x^2$ for $x \le 0$ = x^2 for $x \ge 0$.
- For $x^* = 0$, $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, hence $x^* = 0$ satisfies the **KKT** conditions but x^* not be a local minimum point.
- f is clearly not convex.
- Any x^* for which $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ is a KKT point but x^* may not be a point of local minimum.
- $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ subject to $(x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 \le 1$

- Example 3: $f(x) = -x^2$ for $x \le 0$ = x^2 for $x \ge 0$.
- For $x^* = 0$, $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, hence $x^* = 0$ satisfies the **KKT** conditions but x^* not be a local minimum point.
- f is clearly **not** convex.
- Any x^* for which $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ is a KKT point but x^* may not be a point of local minimum.
- $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ subject to $(x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 \le 1$ $(x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 + 1)^2 \le 1$

- Example 3: $f(x) = -x^2$ for $x \le 0$ = x^2 for $x \ge 0$.
- For $x^* = 0$, $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, hence $x^* = 0$ satisfies the **KKT** conditions but x^* not be a local minimum point.
- f is clearly **not** convex.
- Any x^* for which $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ is a KKT point but x^* may not be a point of local minimum.
- $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ subject to $(x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 \le 1$ $(x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 + 1)^2 \le 1$ $x^* = [1, 0]^T$ is the **global minimum** of f but not a KKT point.

• Exercise: The KKT conditions for the linear programming problem (LPP):

 Exercise: The KKT conditions for the linear programming problem (LPP):
 Min c^Tx Exercise: The KKT conditions for the linear programming problem (LPP):
 Min c^Tx

subject to, $A_{m \times n} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$ are given by:

Exercise: The KKT conditions for the linear programming problem (LPP):
 Min c^Tx
 subject to, A_{m×n}x = b, x ≥ 0 are given by:

$$\bullet$$
 $\mathbf{c}^T - \mathbf{y}^T A - \mathbf{v}^T = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mathbf{c}^T - \mathbf{y}^T A = \mathbf{v}^T$

- Exercise: The KKT conditions for the linear programming problem (LPP):
 Min c^Tx
 subject to, A_{m×n}x = b, x ≥ 0 are given by:
- $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{v}^T = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y}^T A = \mathbf{v}^T$ and $v_i x_i = 0$ for all i = 1,, n.

Exercise: The KKT conditions for the linear programming problem (LPP):
 Min c^Tx
 subject to, A_{m×n}x = b, x > 0 are given by:

• $\mathbf{c}^T - \mathbf{y}^T A - \mathbf{v}^T = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mathbf{c}^T - \mathbf{y}^T A = \mathbf{v}^T$ and $v_i x_i = 0$ for all i = 1,, n. where $\mathbf{v} = [v_1, ..., v_n]^T$ is a non negative vector,

- Exercise: The KKT conditions for the linear programming problem (LPP):
 Min c^Tx
 subject to, A_{m×n}x = b, x > 0 are given by:
- $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{v}^T = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y}^T A = \mathbf{v}^T$ and $v_i x_i = 0$ for all i = 1,, n. where $\mathbf{v} = [v_1, ..., v_n]^T$ is a non negative vector, and $\mathbf{y} = [y_1, ..., y_m]^T$ is unrestricted in sign.

- Exercise: The KKT conditions for the linear programming problem (LPP):
 Min c^Tx
 subject to, A_{m×n}x = b, x ≥ 0 are given by:
- $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{v}^T = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y}^T A = \mathbf{v}^T$ and $v_i x_i = 0$ for all i = 1,, n. where $\mathbf{v} = [v_1, ..., v_n]^T$ is a non negative vector, and $\mathbf{y} = [y_1, ..., y_m]^T$ is unrestricted in sign.
- The above conditions are equivalent to the dual feasibility and the complementary slackness conditions for the above LPP.

- Exercise: The KKT conditions for the linear programming problem (LPP):
 Min c^Tx
 subject to, A_{m×n}x = b, x ≥ 0 are given by:
- $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{v}^T = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y}^T A = \mathbf{v}^T$ and $v_i x_i = 0$ for all i = 1,, n. where $\mathbf{v} = [v_1, ..., v_n]^T$ is a non negative vector, and $\mathbf{y} = [y_1, ..., y_m]^T$ is unrestricted in sign.
- The above conditions are equivalent to the dual feasibility and the complementary slackness conditions for the above LPP.
- Conclusion: x* ∈ Fea(P) is optimal for (P) if and only if x* is a KKT point of (P).
- Exercise: What are the FJ points of the above problem?

- Exercise: The KKT conditions for the linear programming problem (LPP):
 Min c^Tx
 subject to, A_{m×n}x = b, x ≥ 0 are given by:
- $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{v}^T = \mathbf{0}$, or $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{y}^T A = \mathbf{v}^T$ and $v_i x_i = 0$ for all i = 1,, n. where $\mathbf{v} = [v_1, ..., v_n]^T$ is a non negative vector, and $\mathbf{y} = [y_1, ..., y_m]^T$ is unrestricted in sign.
- The above conditions are equivalent to the dual feasibility and the complementary slackness conditions for the above LPP.
- Conclusion: x* ∈ Fea(P) is optimal for (P) if and only if x* is a KKT point of (P).
- Exercise: What are the FJ points of the above problem?
- Exercise: What are the KKT conditions for the following linear programming problem
 Min c^Tx
 subject to, Ax > b, x > 0.



• Theorem 10: (FJ necessary conditions) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a **continuously differentiable** function.

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$$
, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i , $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., l$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j .

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$$
, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i , $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., l$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j . Let $S = Fea(P)$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$.

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$$
, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i , $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., I$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j . Let $S = Fea(P)$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, g_i 's are **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* ,

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$$
, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i , $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., l$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j . Let $S = Fea(P)$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, g_i 's are **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* , for all $i \in I$, g_i 's are **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* ,

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$$
, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i , $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., I$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j . Let $S = Fea(P)$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, g_i 's are **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* , for all $i \in I$, g_i 's are **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* , and for all j , h_i 's are **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* .

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$$
, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i , $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., l$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j . Let $S = Fea(P)$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in l^*$, g_i 's are continuous at \mathbf{x}^* , for all $i \in l$, g_i 's are continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* , and for all j , h_j 's are continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* . Then if \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of f over S

conditions $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i, $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., l$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j. Let S = Fea(P) and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, g_i 's are **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* , for all $i \in I$, g_i 's are **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* , and for all j, h_j 's are **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* . Then if \mathbf{x}^* is a **local minimum** of f over S there exists $u_0, u_i, i \in I$, **non negative** constants, and v_j constants (**unrestricted in sign**), not all zeros, such that

conditions
$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$$
, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i , $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., I$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j . Let $S = Fea(P)$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, g_i 's are continuous at \mathbf{x}^* , for all $i \in I$, g_i 's are continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* , and for all j , h_j 's are continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* . Then if \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of f over S there exists $u_0, u_i, i \in I$, non negative constants, and v_j constants (unrestricted in sign), not all zeros, such that $u_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in I} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{j=1}^{I} v_j \nabla h_j(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (*)

• Example 5: Minimize $x_1 + x_2$

• Example 5: Minimize $x_1 + x_2$ subject to $x_2 - x_1 = 0$.

- Example 5: Minimize $x_1 + x_2$ subject to $x_2 x_1 = 0$.
- No point of the above problem satisfies the **FJ** conditions (as in Theorem 10).

- Example 5: Minimize $x_1 + x_2$ subject to $x_2 x_1 = 0$.
- No point of the above problem satisfies the FJ conditions (as in Theorem 10).
- **Example 6:** Minimize $(x_1 1)^2 + x_2$

- Example 5: Minimize $x_1 + x_2$ subject to $x_2 x_1 = 0$.
- No point of the above problem satisfies the FJ conditions (as in Theorem 10).
- Example 6: Minimize $(x_1 1)^2 + x_2$ subject to $x_2 - x_1 = 1$

- Example 5: Minimize $x_1 + x_2$ subject to $x_2 x_1 = 0$.
- No point of the above problem satisfies the FJ conditions (as in Theorem 10).
- Example 6: Minimize $(x_1 1)^2 + x_2$ subject to $x_2 - x_1 = 1$ $x_1 + x_2 < 2$.

- Example 5: Minimize $x_1 + x_2$ subject to $x_2 x_1 = 0$.
- No point of the above problem satisfies the FJ conditions (as in Theorem 10).
- Example 6: Minimize $(x_1 1)^2 + x_2$ subject to $x_2 - x_1 = 1$ $x_1 + x_2 < 2$.
- $\mathbf{x}^* = \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right]^T$ is an **FJ** point with $u_0 = 1, v_1 = -1$ (as in Theorem 10).

• Theorem 11: (KKT necessary conditions) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function.

 $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i,

 $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i, $h_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., I$, where $h_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j.

 $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i, $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., l$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j. Let S = Fea(P) and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$.

 $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i, $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., l$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j. Let S = Fea(P) and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, g_i 's are **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* ,

 $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i, $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., l$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j. Let S = Fea(P) and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, g_i 's are **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* , for all $i \in I$, g_i 's are **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* ,

 $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i, $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., I$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j. Let S = Fea(P) and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, g_i 's are **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* , for all $i \in I$, g_i 's are **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* , and for all j, h_i 's are **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* .

 $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i, $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., l$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j. Let S = Fea(P) and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, g_i 's are **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* , for all $i \in I$, g_i 's are **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* , and for all j, h_j 's are **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* . Let $\{\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*), \nabla h_j(\mathbf{x}^*) \text{ for } i \in I, j \in \{1, ..., I\}\}$ be **LI**. Then if \mathbf{x}^* is a **local minimum** of f over S

 $g_i(\mathbf{x}) < 0, i = 1, ..., m$, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i, $h_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., I$, where $h_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j. Let S = Fea(P) and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, g_i 's are **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* , for all $i \in I$, g_i 's are continuously differentiable at \mathbf{x}^* , and for all j, h_i 's are **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* . Let $\{\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*), \nabla h_i(\mathbf{x}^*) \text{ for } i \in I, j \in \{1, \dots, I\}\}$ be **LI**. Then if \mathbf{x}^* is a **local minimum** of f over S there exists $u_i, i \in I$, non negative constants, and v_i constants (unrestricted in sign) such that

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, i = 1, ..., m$$
, where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all i , $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, j = 1, ..., l$, where $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for all j . Let $S = Fea(P)$ and $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$. For all $i \in I^*$, g_i 's are **continuous** at \mathbf{x}^* , for all $i \in l$, g_i 's are **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* , and for all j , h_j 's are **continuously differentiable** at \mathbf{x}^* . Let $\{\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*), \nabla h_j(\mathbf{x}^*) \text{ for } i \in l, j \in \{1, ..., l\}\}$ be **LI**. Then if \mathbf{x}^* is a **local minimum** of f over S there exists $u_i, i \in l$, **non negative** constants, and v_j constants (**unrestricted in sign**) such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i \in l} u_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i = 1}^l v_i \nabla h_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$. (*)

• **Example 7:** Minimize $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 - x_1 + x_2$,

• **Example 7:** Minimize $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 - x_1 + x_2$, subject to

• Example 7: Minimize $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 - x_1 + x_2$, subject to $(x_1 - 1)^2 + 2x_2 \le 1$,

• **Example 7:** Minimize $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 - x_1 + x_2$, subject to $(x_1 - 1)^2 + 2x_2 \le 1$, $x_1 - x_2 = 0$.

- Example 7: Minimize $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 x_1 + x_2$, subject to $(x_1 1)^2 + 2x_2 \le 1$, $x_1 x_2 = 0$.
- Check that at $\mathbf{x}^* = [0, 0]^T$, the first constraint is binding.

- Example 7: Minimize $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 x_1 + x_2$, subject to $(x_1 1)^2 + 2x_2 \le 1$, $x_1 x_2 = 0$.
- Check that at $\mathbf{x}^* = [0,0]^T$, the first constraint is binding.
- But $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = 2[-1, 1]^T$, and $\nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, -1]^T$.

- Example 7: Minimize $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 x_1 + x_2$, subject to $(x_1 1)^2 + 2x_2 \le 1$, $x_1 x_2 = 0$.
- Check that at $\mathbf{x}^* = [0, 0]^T$, the first constraint is binding.
- But $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = 2[-1, 1]^T$, and $\nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, -1]^T$.
- Since $\{\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*)\}$, $\nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*)$ is **LD**,

- Example 7: Minimize $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 x_1 + x_2$, subject to $(x_1 1)^2 + 2x_2 \le 1$, $x_1 x_2 = 0$.
- Check that at $\mathbf{x}^* = [0, 0]^T$, the first constraint is binding.
- But $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = 2[-1,1]^T$, and $\nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1,-1]^T$.
- Since $\{\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*)\}$, $\nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*)$ is **LD**, Theorem 11 is not applicable.

- Example 7: Minimize $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 x_1 + x_2$, subject to $(x_1 1)^2 + 2x_2 \le 1$, $x_1 x_2 = 0$.
- Check that at $\mathbf{x}^* = [0,0]^T$, the first constraint is binding.
- But $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = 2[-1, 1]^T$, and $\nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, -1]^T$.
- Since $\{\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*)\}$, $\nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*)$ is **LD**, Theorem 11 is not applicable.
- Check that [0,0]^T satisfies the KKT conditions as given in (3), immediately after Theorem 7.
- Since f, g_1 , g_2 , g_3 is convex, where $g_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$ and $g_3(x_1, x_2) = -x_1 + x_2$,

- Example 7: Minimize $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 x_1 + x_2$, subject to $(x_1 1)^2 + 2x_2 \le 1$, $x_1 x_2 = 0$.
- Check that at $\mathbf{x}^* = [0, 0]^T$, the first constraint is binding.
- But $\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = 2[-1, 1]^T$, and $\nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*) = [1, -1]^T$.
- Since $\{\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}^*)\}$, $\nabla h_1(\mathbf{x}^*)$ is **LD**, Theorem 11 is not applicable.
- Check that [0,0]^T satisfies the KKT conditions as given in (3), immediately after Theorem 7.
- Since f, g_1 , g_2 , g_3 is convex, where $g_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$ and $g_3(x_1, x_2) = -x_1 + x_2$,
- [0,0]^T is the global minimum of f in the given feasible region.

• Consider the problem (P) of minimizing $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1x_2 + x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 - 2x_1 + e^{x_1 + x_2}$ over R^2 .

- Consider the problem (P) of minimizing $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1x_2 + x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 2x_1 + e^{x_1 + x_2}$ over R^2 .
 - Write the first order necessary optimality condition for this problem. Is this condition also sufficient for optimality for (P)?

- Consider the problem (P) of minimizing $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1x_2 + x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 2x_1 + e^{x_1 + x_2}$ over R^2 .
 - Write the first order necessary optimality condition for this problem. Is this condition also sufficient for optimality for (P)?
 - ls $[0,0]^T$ a local minimum point for this problem? If not find $\mathbf{d} \in D_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ along which the function f will decrease.

- Consider the problem (P) of minimizing $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1x_2 + x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 2x_1 + e^{x_1 + x_2}$ over R^2 .
 - Write the first order necessary optimality condition for this problem. Is this condition also sufficient for optimality for (P)?
 - ls $[0,0]^T$ a local minimum point for this problem? If not find $\mathbf{d} \in D_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ along which the function f will decrease.
- Minimize $(x_1 2)^2 + (x_2 3)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $2x_1 + x_2 \le 4$. $-x_1 < 0$

- Consider the problem (P) of minimizing $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1x_2 + x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 2x_1 + e^{x_1 + x_2}$ over R^2 .
 - Write the first order necessary optimality condition for this problem. Is this condition also sufficient for optimality for (P)?
 - 2 Is $[0,0]^T$ a local minimum point for this problem? If not find $\mathbf{d} \in D_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ along which the function f will decrease.
- Minimize $(x_1 2)^2 + (x_2 3)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $2x_1 + x_2 \le 4$.
 - $-x_1 \le 0$
 - $-x_2 \leq 0$.
 - **1** $\mathbf{x}^* = [1, 2]^T$ is a KKT point.

- Consider the problem (P) of minimizing $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1x_2 + x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 2x_1 + e^{x_1 + x_2}$ over R^2 .
 - Write the first order necessary optimality condition for this problem. Is this condition also sufficient for optimality for (P)?
 - ls $[0,0]^T$ a local minimum point for this problem? If not find $\mathbf{d} \in D_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ along which the function f will decrease.
- Minimize $(x_1 2)^2 + (x_2 3)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $2x_1 + x_2 \le 4$.
 - $-x_1 + x_2 \le 4$ $-x_1 < 0$
 - $-x_{2} < 0$.
 - **1** $\mathbf{x}^* = [1, 2]^T$ is a KKT point.
 - $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{x}^*$ a global minimum of f over this feasible region.

- Consider the problem (P) of minimizing $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1x_2 + x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 2x_1 + e^{x_1 + x_2}$ over R^2 .
 - Write the first order necessary optimality condition for this problem. Is this condition also sufficient for optimality for (P)?
 - 2 Is $[0,0]^T$ a local minimum point for this problem? If not find $\mathbf{d} \in D_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ along which the function f will decrease.
- Minimize $(x_1 2)^2 + (x_2 3)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$.

$$2x_1 + x_2 \leq 4$$
.

$$-x_1 \leq 0$$

$$-x_2 \leq 0.$$

- **1** $\mathbf{x}^* = [1, 2]^T$ is a KKT point.
- $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{x}^*$ a global minimum of f over this feasible region.
- 3 Does there exist an $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ such that $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = D_{\mathbf{x}^*}$?

- Consider the problem (P) of minimizing $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1x_2 + x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 2x_1 + e^{x_1 + x_2}$ over R^2 .
 - Write the first order necessary optimality condition for this problem. Is this condition also sufficient for optimality for (P)?
 - Is $[0,0]^T$ a local minimum point for this problem? If not find $\mathbf{d} \in D_{\mathbf{x}^*}$ along which the function f will decrease.
- Minimize $(x_1 2)^2 + (x_2 3)^2$ subject to $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5$. $2x_1 + x_2 \le 4$.
 - $-x_1 \leq 0$
 - $-x_{2}\leq 0.$
 - **1** $\mathbf{x}^* = [1, 2]^T$ is a KKT point.
 - $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{x}^*$ a global minimum of f over this feasible region.
 - 3 Does there exist an $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ such that $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = D_{\mathbf{x}^*}$?
 - Open there exist an $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ such that $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} \neq D_{\mathbf{x}^*}$?
 - Open there exist an FJ point which is not a KKT point?

• Consider the following problem: Minimize $4x_1^2 - x_2^2 + 8x_1x_2$ subject to $2x_1 + x_1^2 - x_2 \ge 0$ $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$.

- Consider the following problem: Minimize $4x_1^2 - x_2^2 + 8x_1x_2$ subject to $2x_1 + x_1^2 - x_2 \ge 0$ $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$.
 - **1** Does $[0,0]^T$ satisfy the first and the second order necessary conditions for a local minimum?

Minimize
$$4x_1^2 - x_2^2 + 8x_1x_2$$
 subject to

$$2x_1 + x_1^2 - x_2 \ge 0$$

 $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0.$

- Does [0,0]^T satisfy the first and the second order necessary conditions for a local minimum?
- ② Find all points \mathbf{x}^* in the feasible region at which $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$.

• Consider the following problem: Minimize $4x_1^2 - x_2^2 + 8x_1x_2$ subject to $2x_1 + x_1^2 - x_2 \ge 0$

$$2x_1 + x_1 - x_2 \ge x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0.$$

- **1** Does $[0,0]^T$ satisfy the first and the second order necessary conditions for a local minimum?
- ② Find all points \mathbf{x}^* in the feasible region at which $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$.
- If $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$ is such that for all $\mathbf{d} \in D_{\mathbf{x}^*}(\neq \phi)$, $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\mathbf{d} > 0$ then does it imply that \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimizer of f?

Minimize
$$-x_1^2 - 4x_1x_2 - x_2^2$$

subject to $x_2^2 + x_1^2 = 1$.

If possible find an FJ point which is not a KKT point.

Minimize
$$-x_1^2 - 4x_1x_2 - x_2^2$$

subject to $x_2^2 + x_1^2 = 1$.

- If possible find an FJ point which is not a KKT point.
- 2 If possible find a KKT point which is not an optimal point.

Minimize
$$-x_1^2 - 4x_1x_2 - x_2^2$$

subject to $x_2^2 + x_1^2 = 1$.

- If possible find an FJ point which is not a KKT point.
- If possible find a KKT point which is not an optimal point.
- Are the first and the second order necessary conditions for a local minimum satisfied at the KKT point/s?

Minimize
$$-x_1^2 - 4x_1x_2 - x_2^2$$

subject to $x_2^2 + x_1^2 = 1$.

- If possible find an FJ point which is not a KKT point.
- 2 If possible find a KKT point which is not an optimal point.
- Are the first and the second order necessary conditions for a local minimum satisfied at the KKT point/s?
- 4 If the objective function is changed to $2x_1^2 x_1x_2 + x_2^2 x_2$ and if $S = Fea(P) = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_2^2 + x_1^2 \le 1\}$ then find all optimum solutions to this problem.

Minimize
$$f(\mathbf{x})$$
 subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$, for $i = 1, ..., m$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

where all the g_i 's and f are continuously differentiable throughout \mathbb{R}^n , check the correctness of the following statements with proper justification.

• If $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ is a KKT point of the above problem then $-\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ lies in the cone generated by $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*), i \in I$, where I gives the indices of the binding constraints (given by g_i 's) at \mathbf{x}^* .

Minimize
$$f(\mathbf{x})$$
 subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$, for $i = 1, ..., m$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

where all the g_i 's and f are continuously differentiable throughout \mathbb{R}^n , check the correctness of the following statements with proper justification.

- If $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ is a KKT point of the above problem then $-\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ lies in the cone generated by $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*), i \in I$, where I gives the indices of the binding constraints (given by g_i 's) at \mathbf{x}^* .
- 2 If $g_2 = -g_3$ then all points $\mathbf{x} \in Fea(P)$ are FJ (Fritz John) points.

Minimize
$$f(\mathbf{x})$$

subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$, for $i = 1, ..., m$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

where all the g_i 's and f are continuously differentiable throughout \mathbb{R}^n , check the correctness of the following statements with proper justification.

- If $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ is a KKT point of the above problem then $-\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ lies in the cone generated by $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*), i \in I$, where I gives the indices of the binding constraints (given by g_i 's) at \mathbf{x}^* .
- ② If $g_2 = -g_3$ then all points $\mathbf{x} \in Fea(P)$ are FJ (Fritz John) points.
- if $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$ for some $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ then \mathbf{x}^* is a KKT point.

Minimize
$$f(\mathbf{x})$$
 subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$, for $i = 1, ..., m$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

where all the g_i 's and f are continuously differentiable throughout \mathbb{R}^n , check the correctness of the following statements with proper justification.

- If $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ is a KKT point of the above problem then $-\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ lies in the cone generated by $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*), i \in I$, where I gives the indices of the binding constraints (given by g_i 's) at \mathbf{x}^* .
- ② If $g_2 = -g_3$ then all points $\mathbf{x} \in Fea(P)$ are FJ (Fritz John) points.
- If $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$ for some $\mathbf{x}^* \in Fea(P)$ then \mathbf{x}^* is a KKT point.
- If \mathbf{x}^* is an FJ point and there is a solution to the FJ conditions at \mathbf{x}^* with $u_0 = 0$ (u_0 is the coefficient of $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ in the FJ conditions), then \mathbf{x}^* is not a KKT point.

• If \mathbf{x}^* is a KKT point then it is also an FJ point.

- If x* is a KKT point then it is also an FJ point.
- If \mathbf{x}^* is an FJ point and $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LD, then $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ (or in other words there exists a solution to the FJ conditions with $u_0 = 0$).

- If x* is a KKT point then it is also an FJ point.
- If \mathbf{x}^* is an FJ point and $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LD, then $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ (or in other words there exists a solution to the FJ conditions with $u_0 = 0$).
- If $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LI, then $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} \neq \phi$.

- If x* is a KKT point then it is also an FJ point.
- If \mathbf{x}^* is an FJ point and $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LD, then $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ (or in other words there exists a solution to the FJ conditions with $u_0 = 0$).
- If $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LI, then $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} \neq \phi$.
- If $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ then $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LD.

- If x* is a KKT point then it is also an FJ point.
- If \mathbf{x}^* is an FJ point and $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LD, then $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ (or in other words there exists a solution to the FJ conditions with $u_0 = 0$).
- If $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LI, then $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} \neq \phi$.
- If $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ then $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LD.
- If x* is not an interior point of the feasible region S of (P) and F_{0.x*} = φ then x* is a local minimizer.

- If x* is a KKT point then it is also an FJ point.
- If \mathbf{x}^* is an FJ point and $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LD, then $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ (or in other words there exists a solution to the FJ conditions with $u_0 = 0$).
- If $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LI, then $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} \neq \phi$.
- If $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ then $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LD.
- If x* is not an interior point of the feasible region S of (P) and F_{0.x*} = φ then x* is a local minimizer.
- If all the g_i 's and f are convex functions and \mathbf{x}^* is such that $F_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} \cap G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$, then \mathbf{x}^* is a global minimum of f in S.

- If x* is a KKT point then it is also an FJ point.
- If \mathbf{x}^* is an FJ point and $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LD, then $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ (or in other words there exists a solution to the FJ conditions with $u_0 = 0$).
- If $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LI, then $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} \neq \phi$.
- If $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ then $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$'s are LD.
- If x* is not an interior point of the feasible region S of (P) and F_{0 x*} = φ then x* is a local minimizer.
- If all the g_i 's and f are convex functions and \mathbf{x}^* is such that $F_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} \cap G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$, then \mathbf{x}^* is a global minimum of f in S.
- If \mathbf{x}^* is a local minima of (P) with $F_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} \neq \phi$ but $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ then \mathbf{x}^* is not a KKT point.

• There exists a (P) such that for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathcal{S}$, $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = D_{\mathbf{x}^*} \neq \phi$.

- There exists a (P) such that for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathcal{S}$, $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = D_{\mathbf{x}^*} \neq \phi$.
- There exists a (P) such that $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} \neq D_{\mathbf{x}^*}$, for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$.

- There exists a (P) such that for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathcal{S}$, $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = D_{\mathbf{x}^*} \neq \phi$.
- There exists a (P) such that $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} \neq D_{\mathbf{x}^*}$, for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$.
- There exists a (P) with $Fea(P) \neq \phi$ such that $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$.

- There exists a (P) such that for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathcal{S}$, $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = D_{\mathbf{x}^*} \neq \phi$.
- There exists a (P) such that $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} \neq D_{\mathbf{x}^*}$, for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$.
- There exists a (P) with $Fea(P) \neq \phi$ such that $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$.
- There exists a (P) with $Fea(P) \neq \phi$ such that $D_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$.

- There exists a (P) such that for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathcal{S}$, $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = D_{\mathbf{x}^*} \neq \phi$.
- There exists a (P) such that $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} \neq D_{\mathbf{x}^*}$, for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$.
- There exists a (P) with $Fea(P) \neq \phi$ such that $G_{0,\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$.
- There exists a (P) with $Fea(P) \neq \phi$ such that $D_{\mathbf{x}^*} = \phi$ for all $\mathbf{x}^* \in S$.
- Give examples of nonconstant functions on \mathbb{R}^n which are both convex and concave and those which are neither convex nor concave.

• For a linear programming problem (P) of the form, Minimize $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ subject to $A_{m \times n} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$, find the KKT conditions.

- For a linear programming problem (P) of the form, Minimize $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ subject to $A_{m \times n} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$, find the KKT conditions.
- Consider the linear programming problem. Minimize $2x_1 3x_2$ subject to $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 3$ $2x_1 + 3x_2 \le 5$ $x_1 \ge 0, x_2 \ge 0$.

- For a linear programming problem (P) of the form, Minimize $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ subject to $A_{m \times n} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$, find the KKT conditions.
- Consider the linear programming problem. Minimize $2x_1-3x_2$ subject to $x_1+2x_2\leq 3$ $2x_1+3x_2\leq 5$ $x_1\geq 0, x_2\geq 0$. Find the KKT conditions for this problem at

a local minimum point of this problem.

Solve the KKT conditions for u_i 's. Hence find an optimal solution of the dual.

- For a linear programming problem (P) of the form, Minimize $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ subject to $A_{m \times n} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$, find the KKT conditions.
- Consider the linear programming problem. Minimize $2x_1 3x_2$ subject to $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 3$ $2x_1 + 3x_2 \le 5$ $x_1 \ge 0$, $x_2 \ge 0$. Find the KKT conditions for this problem at a local minimum point of this problem. Solve the KKT conditions for u_i 's. Hence find an optimal solution of the dual.
- Minimize $-x_1$ subject to $-(1-x_1)^3 + x_2 = 0$ $-(1-x_1)^3 - x_2 = 0$.

- For a linear programming problem (P) of the form, Minimize $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ subject to $A_{m \times n} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$, find the KKT conditions.
- Consider the linear programming problem. Minimize $2x_1 3x_2$ subject to $x_1 + 2x_2 \le 3$ $2x_1 + 3x_2 \le 5$ $x_1 \ge 0, x_2 \ge 0$. Find the KKT conditions for this problem at a local minimum point of this problem. Solve the KKT conditions for u_i 's. Hence find an optimal solution of the dual.
- Minimize $-x_1$ subject to $-(1-x_1)^3 + x_2 = 0$ $-(1-x_1)^3 - x_2 = 0$.
- Check whether [1,0]^T is a KKT point of the above problem. How many feasible points does this problem have? What is your conclusion?

