Statistical Inference and Multivariate Analysis (MA324)

Lecture SLIDES
Lecture 16

Hypothesis Testing



Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati

Jan-May 2023

Few Questions:

- How to decide a new drug is better than the existing drug?
- Is the biomarker (with lower cost) is equivalent with another one (higher cost) in efficiency?
- You have developed a novel diagnostic tool to detect a specific disease. How do you establish it's efficiency?
- How to decide the strength of an iron-rod is better than the standard iron-rod available in market?
- How to decide number of spam mails is under control in the server?
- How to decide the non-performing asset of a bank is under control?
- How to check that your class has done better in the end-sem compared to the mid-sem exam in this semester?
- When to release a tsunami signal based on the repeated wave signals?
- How does a jury determine an accused criminal is guilty?

Testing of Hypothesis:

- In point estimation, we try to find meaningful guesses for unknown parameters or parametric functions.
- In testing of hypothesis, we do not guess the value of the parametric function. We try to check if a given statement about parameters is true or not.
- Let us start with examples.

Example 1:

- Pharmaceutical companies use hypothesis testing to test if a new drug is efficient.
- To do so, a group of patients are randomly divided into two groups.
 One of the groups is administered with placebo and the other is administered with the drug. The first and the second groups are called control group and test group, respectively.
- Assume that the drug is a cough syrup. Let μ_1 denote the **expected number of expectorations per hour** after a patient has used placebo and μ_2 denote the expected number of expectorations per hour after a patient has used the syrup.
- We want to know if $\mu_2 < \mu_1$. In this case, **two expectations are compared**.

- One of the method to attack this problem is to draw RS from both the groups. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{n_1}$ denote a RS of size n_1 from the control group. Let Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n_2} denote a RS of size n_2 drawn from test group.
- We want to test if $\mu_2 = \mu_1$ or $\mu_2 < \mu_1$. If $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, then the **new drug is not efficient**. If $\mu_2 < \mu_1$, then the **new drug has some effect**.
- Note that we have taken $\mu_2 < \mu_1$ and we have not considered if $\mu_2 > \mu_1$. The reason for the same is as follows: As we are trying to **check the efficiency of the new drug**, we implicitly assume that $\mu_2 \le \mu_1$.
- Heuristically, we should compare \bar{X} and \bar{Y} .

Example 2:

- Let a coin is tossed 80 times, and head are obtained 55 times. Can we conclude that the coin is fair based on this data?
- Let, for $i=1,\,2,\,\ldots,\,80,\,X_i$ be an indicator RV, which takes value 1 if ith toss is a head and takes value zero if the ith toss is a tail. Then, we have a RS $X_1,\,X_2,\,\ldots,\,X_n \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} Bernoulli(p)$, where p is the probability of getting a head in a toss.
- We want to test p=0.5 or $p \neq 0.5$. Intuitively, it makes sense to use \bar{X} to check if p=0.5 or not.
- Here, the observed value of \bar{X} is $\bar{x}=55/80=0.6875$. If p is actually equal to 0.5, then, using CLT, we have

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{n} (\bar{X} - 0.5)}{\sqrt{0.5 \times (1 - 0.5)}} \approx N(0, 1).$$

- Now, if the **number of heads** is **too small** or **too large** (*i.e.*, the value of \bar{x} is not close to 0.5), we should go for **biased coin**.
- If the number of head is **moderate** (*i.e.*, the value of \bar{x} is close to 0.5), we should choose that the **coin is fair**.
- In the first case (\bar{x} not close to 0.5), the absolute observed value of T will be **large**. The absolute observed value of T will be **close to zero** in the second case.
- This discussion suggests that we should reject the fact that the coin is fair if |T| > C for some appropriate real constant C.
- Here, the observed value of T is 3.3541, which is **too extreme** with respect to a standard normal distribution as $P\left(|Z|>3.35\right)\approx0.0008$, where $Z\sim N(0,\,1)$. Therefore, it is quite **reasonable to reject** the hypothesis p=0.5 based on the data.

Example 3: A coin is tossed 80 times, and head are obtained 35 times. Can we conclude that the coin is significantly fair?

• Here, the observed value of T is -1.1180. Data **do not suggest to reject** the fact that the coin is fair, as the observed value of T is not extreme with respect to a standard normal distribution. Note that P(|Z| > 1.11) = 0.267.

• In the last two examples, we have talked about **extreme or not extreme**. The question is: Which values are considered as extreme and which are not? More precisely, we are rejecting p=0.5 if the observation belong to the set

$$\left\{ \boldsymbol{x}:\left|T\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right|>C\right\} .$$

 What value of C should we choose so that we can make correct decision? This issues will be discussed as we proceed.