Let $\rho \in \mathbb{F}$. If λ_k be the eigenvalue of A closest to ρ , then let the eigenvalue set of A be reordered if necessary such that

$$|\lambda_1 - \rho| \ge |\lambda_2 - \rho| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho| > |\lambda_n - \rho|.$$

If the QR iterations are performed on $B = A - \rho I$ to produce iterates B_j , then $b^{(j)}(n, n-1) \to 0$ linearly at the rate $|\lambda_n - \rho|/|\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$.

Let $\rho \in \mathbb{F}$. If λ_k be the eigenvalue of A closest to ρ , then let the eigenvalue set of A be reordered if necessary such that

$$|\lambda_1 - \rho| \ge |\lambda_2 - \rho| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho| > |\lambda_n - \rho|.$$

If the *QR* iterations are performed on $B = A - \rho I$ to produce iterates B_j , then $b^{(j)}(n, n-1) \to 0$ linearly at the rate $|\lambda_n - \rho|/|\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$.

If $|\lambda_n - \rho| << |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$, then the convergence is fast and $b^{(j)}(n,n) \approx \lambda_n - \rho$, i.e., $b^{(j)}(n,n) + \rho \approx \lambda_n$ for large enough j.

Let $\rho \in \mathbb{F}$. If λ_k be the eigenvalue of A closest to ρ , then let the eigenvalue set of A be reordered if necessary such that

$$|\lambda_1 - \rho| \ge |\lambda_2 - \rho| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho| > |\lambda_n - \rho|.$$

If the *QR* iterations are performed on $B = A - \rho I$ to produce iterates B_j , then $b^{(j)}(n, n-1) \to 0$ linearly at the rate $|\lambda_n - \rho|/|\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$.

If $|\lambda_n - \rho| << |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$, then the convergence is fast and $b^{(j)}(n,n) \approx \lambda_n - \rho$, i.e., $b^{(j)}(n,n) + \rho \approx \lambda_n$ for large enough j.

Shifted QR algorithm: Let $A_0 = A$ and $\rho_j \in \mathbb{F}$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$

(ii) Set
$$A_{j} = R_{j-1}Q_{j-1}I + \rho_{j-1}I = Q_{j-1}R_{j-1}$$

$$= Q_{j-1} + Q_{j-1} + Q_{j-1}I = Q_{j-1}R_{j-1}$$

$$= Q_{j-1} + Q_{j-1} + Q_{j-1}I = Q_{j-1}R_{j-1}$$

$$= Q_{j-1} + Q_{j-1} + Q_{j-1}I = Q_{j-1}R_{j-1}$$

$$= Q_{j-1} + Q_{j-1}I + Q_{j-1}I = Q_{j-1}R_{j-1}$$

Let $\rho \in \mathbb{F}$. If λ_k be the eigenvalue of A closest to ρ , then let the eigenvalue set of A be reordered if necessary such that

$$|\lambda_1 - \rho| \ge |\lambda_2 - \rho| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho| > |\lambda_n - \rho|.$$

If the *QR* iterations are performed on $B = A - \rho I$ to produce iterates B_j , then $b^{(j)}(n, n-1) \to 0$ linearly at the rate $|\lambda_n - \rho|/|\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$.

If $|\lambda_n - \rho| << |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$, then the convergence is fast and $b^{(j)}(n,n) \approx \lambda_n - \rho$, i.e., $b^{(j)}(n,n) + \rho \approx \lambda_n$ for large enough j.

Shifted QR algorithm: Let $A_0 = A$ and $\rho_j \in \mathbb{F}$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$

- (i) Find a QR decomposition $A_{j-1} \rho_{j-1}I = Q_{j-1}R_{j-1}$
- (ii) Set $A_j = R_{j-1}Q_{j-1} + \rho_{j-1}I$.

Clearly $A_j = Q_{j-1}^* A_{j-1} Q_{j-1}$ and is again upper Hessenberg!



Let $\rho \in \mathbb{F}$. If λ_k be the eigenvalue of A closest to ρ , then let the eigenvalue set of A be reordered if necessary such that

$$|\lambda_1 - \rho| \ge |\lambda_2 - \rho| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho| > |\lambda_n - \rho|.$$

If the QR iterations are performed on $B=A-\rho I$ to produce iterates B_j , then $b^{(j)}(n,n-1)\to 0$ linearly at the rate $|\lambda_n-\rho|/|\lambda_{n-1}-\rho|$. If $|\lambda_n-\rho|<<|\lambda_{n-1}-\rho|$, then the convergence is fast and $b^{(j)}(n,n)+\rho\approx\lambda_n$ for large enough j.

Shifted QR algorithm:

for j = 1, 2, ...

(i) Find reflectors
$$Q_{j-1}^{(1)}, Q_{j-1}^{(2)}, \dots Q_{j-1}^{(n-1)}$$
 such that

$$Q_{j-1}^{(n-1)}\cdots Q_{j-1}^{(2)}Q_{j-1}^{(1)}(A_{j-1}-\rho_{j-1}I)=R_{j-1}$$

(ii) Set
$$A_j = Q_{j-1}^{(n-1)} \cdots Q_{j-1}^{(2)} Q_{j-1}^{(1)} A_{j-1} Q_{j-1}^{(1)} Q_{j-1}^{(2)} \cdots Q_{j-1}^{(n-1)}$$
.

This costs $O(n^2)$ flops if A is upper Hessenberg and O(n) flops if A is tridiagonal.



Where do we get good shifts?

Raleigh quotient shifts: $\rho_j = a_{nn}^{(j)}$.

Wilkinson shifts: $\rho_j = \lambda$ where λ is the eigenvalue of

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} a_{n-1,n-1}^{(j)} & a_{n-1,n}^{(j)} \\ a_{n,n-1}^{(j)} & a_{nn}^{(j)} \end{array}\right]$$

closest to $a_{n,n}^{(j)}$. In case of a tie, the eigenvalue smallest in magnitude is chosen.

For symmetric tridiagonal matrices *QR* iterations with Wilkinson shifts always converge. With Rayleigh Quotient shifts, they almost always converge. Also, whenever convergence occurs, the rate of convergence is usually cubic.

Where do we get good shifts?

Raleigh quotient shifts: $\rho_j = a_{nn}^{(j)}$.

Wilkinson shifts: $\rho_i = \lambda$ where λ is the eigenvalue of

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} a_{n-1,n-1}^{(j)} & a_{n-1,n}^{(j)} \\ a_{n,n-1}^{(j)} & a_{nn}^{(j)} \end{array}\right]$$

closest to $a_{n,n}^{(j)}$. In case of a tie, the eigenvalue smallest in magnitude is chosen.

For symmetric tridiagonal matrices *QR* iterations with Wilkinson shifts always converge. With Rayleigh Quotient shifts, they almost always converge. Also, whenever convergence occurs, the rate of convergence is usually cubic.

However, for general matrices both shifting strategies can fail!

