Convergence of QR Algorithm

Consider $A : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^n$. A subspace $V \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ is said to be invariant with respect to A, if $Av \in V$ for all $v \in V$.

Consider $A : \mathbb{F}^n \mapsto \mathbb{F}^n$. A subspace $V \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ is said to be invariant with respect to A, if $Av \in V$ for all $v \in V$.

Examples:

1. For
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $A(\operatorname{span}\{e_1, e_3\}) \subseteq \operatorname{span}\{e_1, e_3\}$.

2. For
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & -5 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 \\ 0 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $A(\operatorname{span}\{e_1\}) \subseteq \operatorname{span}\{e_1\}$ and $A(\operatorname{span}\{e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1 - e_2\}) \subseteq \operatorname{span}\{e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1 - e_2\}$.

Consider $A : \mathbb{F}^n \mapsto \mathbb{F}^n$. A subspace $V \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ is said to be invariant with respect to A, if $Av \in V$ for all $v \in V$.

Examples:

1. For
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $A(\operatorname{span}\{e_1, e_3\}) \subseteq \operatorname{span}\{e_1, e_3\}$.

2. For
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & -5 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 \\ 0 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $A(\text{span}\{e_1\}) \subseteq \text{span}\{e_1\}$ and $A(\text{span}\{e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1 - e_2\}) \subseteq \text{span}\{e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1 - e_2\}$.

Facts:

- 1. The trivial subspaces \mathbb{F}^n and $\{0\}$ are always invariant with respect to every $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$.
- 2. $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ is a one dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}^n invariant with respect to $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ if and only if $V = \operatorname{span}\{v\}$ for some eigenvector v of A.
- 3. Eigenvectors of $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ span invariant subspaces.



Theorem: Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. The first k columns of an invertible $S \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ span a subspace of \mathbb{F}^n invariant with respect to A if and only if

$$S^{-1}AS = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ \hline & A_{22} \end{array}\right]$$

where $A_{11} \in \mathbb{F}^{k \times k}$, $A_{12} \in \mathbb{F}^{k \times n - k}$ and $A_{22} \in \mathbb{F}^{n - k \times n - k}$.

Theorem: Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. The first k columns of an invertible $S \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ span a subspace of \mathbb{F}^n invariant with respect to A if and only if

$$S^{-1}AS = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $A_{11} \in \mathbb{F}^{k \times k}$, $A_{12} \in \mathbb{F}^{k \times n - k}$ and $A_{22} \in \mathbb{F}^{n - k \times n - k}$.

Corollary: Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ and $S = [s_1 \cdots s_n] \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ be a invertible matrix. Then the first k columns of S span subspaces of \mathbb{F}^n that are invariant with respect to A for each $k = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, if and only if $S^{-1}AS$ is an upper triangular matrix.

Theorem: Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. The first k columns of an invertible $S \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ span a subspace of \mathbb{F}^n invariant with respect to A if and only if

$$S^{-1}AS = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $A_{11} \in \mathbb{F}^{k \times k}$, $A_{12} \in \mathbb{F}^{k \times n - k}$ and $A_{22} \in \mathbb{F}^{n - k \times n - k}$.

Corollary: Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ and $S = [s_1 \cdots s_n] \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ be a invertible matrix. Then the first k columns of S span subspaces of \mathbb{F}^n that are invariant with respect to A for each $k = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, if and only if $S^{-1}AS$ is an upper triangular matrix.

Schur's Theorem: Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$ of \mathbb{C}^n such that

$$A(\operatorname{span}\{q_1,\ldots,q_k\})\subseteq\operatorname{span}\{q_1,\ldots,q_k\}$$

for each $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$.



Given $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$, recall that the Power Method is essentially about producing a series of vectors

$$x, Ax, A^2x, \dots$$

which under suitable scaling converges to a dominant eigenvector of *A* under suitable conditions.

Given $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$, recall that the Power Method is essentially about producing a series of vectors

$$x, Ax, A^2x, \dots$$

which under suitable scaling converges to a dominant eigenvector of *A* under suitable conditions.

The scalings will replace x by other vectors in $\mathcal{S} := \operatorname{span}\{x\}$ and depending on their choices the iterations will converge to some vector in the one dimensional invariant eigenspace $\mathcal{T} := \operatorname{span}\{v\}$ where v is a dominant eigenvector of A.

Given $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$, recall that the Power Method is essentially about producing a series of vectors

$$x, Ax, A^2x, \dots$$

which under suitable scaling converges to a dominant eigenvector of *A* under suitable conditions.

The scalings will replace x by other vectors in $\mathcal{S} := \operatorname{span}\{x\}$ and depending on their choices the iterations will converge to some vector in the one dimensional invariant eigenspace $\mathcal{T} := \operatorname{span}\{v\}$ where v is a dominant eigenvector of A.

So in Power Method we are doing

$$\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}), \mathcal{A}^2(\mathcal{S}), \dots$$

which converges to ${\mathcal T}$ under the right conditions.



Given $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$, recall that the Power Method is essentially about producing a series of vectors

$$x, Ax, A^2x, \dots$$

which under suitable scaling converges to a dominant eigenvector of *A* under suitable conditions.

The scalings will replace x by other vectors in $\mathcal{S} := \operatorname{span}\{x\}$ and depending on their choices the iterations will converge to some vector in the one dimensional invariant eigenspace $\mathcal{T} := \operatorname{span}\{v\}$ where v is a dominant eigenvector of A.

So in Power Method we are doing

$$\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}), \mathcal{A}^2(\mathcal{S}), \dots$$

which converges to \mathcal{T} under the right conditions.

We could do this for higher dimensional subspaces S!



Subspace iteration, Simultaneous iteration and the QR algorithm

Let S_1, S_2 be two subspaces of \mathbb{F}^n with $\dim S_1 = I$, $\dim S_2 = m$ where $I \leq m$.

Let S_1, S_2 be two subspaces of \mathbb{F}^n with $\dim S_1 = I$, $\dim S_2 = m$ where $I \leq m$.

Let the columns of $U_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times l}$ and $U_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ form orthonormal bases of S_1 and S_2 respectively. If

$$\sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_l \geq 0$$

are the singular values of $U_2^T U_1$ then the **principal angles** between S_1 and S_2 are defined as

$$\theta_i = \arccos \sigma_i, i = 1, \dots, I.$$

Let S_1, S_2 be two subspaces of \mathbb{F}^n with $\dim S_1 = I$, $\dim S_2 = m$ where $I \leq m$.

Let the columns of $U_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times l}$ and $U_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ form orthonormal bases of S_1 and S_2 respectively. If

$$\sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_l \geq 0$$

are the singular values of $U_2^T U_1$ then the **principal angles** between S_1 and S_2 are defined as

$$\theta_i = \arccos \sigma_i, i = 1, \dots, I.$$

The **distance** $d(S_1, S_2)$ **between** S_1 **and** S_2 is defined by

$$d(\mathcal{S}_1,\mathcal{S}_2) = \sqrt{1-\sigma_I^2} = \sin\,\theta_I.$$

Let S_1, S_2 be two subspaces of \mathbb{F}^n with $\dim S_1 = I$, $\dim S_2 = m$ where $I \leq m$.

Let the columns of $U_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times l}$ and $U_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ form orthonormal bases of S_1 and S_2 respectively. If

$$\sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_l \geq 0$$

are the singular values of $U_2^T U_1$ then the **principal angles** between S_1 and S_2 are defined as

$$\theta_i = \arccos \sigma_i, i = 1, \dots, I.$$

The **distance** $d(S_1, S_2)$ **between** S_1 **and** S_2 is defined by

$$d(\mathcal{S}_1,\mathcal{S}_2) = \sqrt{1 - \sigma_I^2} = \sin \theta_I.$$

Given a subspace \mathcal{T} and sequence of subspaces $\{\mathcal{S}_m\}$ of \mathbb{F}^n ,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \mathcal{S}_m = \mathcal{T} \Leftrightarrow d(\mathcal{S}_m,\mathcal{T}) \to 0 \text{ as } m\to\infty.$$



Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ be diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ such that

$$|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_n|$$
.

Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{F}^n where $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ be diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ such that

$$|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_n|.$$

Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{F}^n where $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Suppose $|\lambda_k| > |\lambda_{k+1}|$ for some $1 \le k \le n-1$ and let

$$\mathcal{T}_k = \operatorname{span}\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}, \ \mathcal{U}_k = \operatorname{span}\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}.$$

Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ be diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ such that

$$|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_n|.$$

Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{F}^n where $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Suppose $|\lambda_k| > |\lambda_{k+1}|$ for some $1 \le k \le n-1$ and let

$$\mathcal{T}_k = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}, \; \mathcal{U}_k = \text{span}\{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}.$$

Then for *any* subspace S of \mathbb{F}^n such that $\dim S = k$, and $S \cap \mathcal{U}_k = \{0\}$,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}A^m(\mathcal{S})=\mathcal{T}_k$$

linearly at the rate $|\lambda_{k+1}|/|\lambda_k|$.

Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ be diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ such that

$$|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_n|$$
.

Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{F}^n where $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Suppose $|\lambda_k| > |\lambda_{k+1}|$ for some $1 \le k \le n-1$ and let

$$\mathcal{T}_k = \operatorname{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}, \ \mathcal{U}_k = \operatorname{span}\{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}.$$

Then for *any* subspace S of \mathbb{F}^n such that $\dim S = k$, and $S \cap \mathcal{U}_k = \{0\}$,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}A^m(\mathcal{S})=\mathcal{T}_k$$

linearly at the rate $|\lambda_{k+1}|/|\lambda_k|$.

Exercise: Since $|\lambda_k| > |\lambda_{k+1}|$, prove the following:

- (a) Null(A^m) $\subseteq \mathcal{U}_k$ for all $m = 1, 2, \ldots$
- (b) dim $A^{m}(S) = k$ for all m = 1, 2, ...



How do we find $A^m(S)$?

How do we find $A^m(S)$?

Find an orthonormal basis of S say $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$ and produce bases $\{A^mq_1, \ldots, A^mq_k\}$ of $A^m(S)$ for $m=1,2,\ldots$

How do we find $A^m(S)$?

Find an orthonormal basis of S say $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$ and produce bases $\{A^m q_1, \ldots, A^m q_k\}$ of $A^m(S)$ for $m = 1, 2, \ldots$

Not a good idea as there can be underflow and overflow. Also if $|\lambda_1|>|\lambda_2|$, then each of the sequences $\{A^mq_j\}, j=1,2,\ldots,$ could converge to some multiple of v_1 as $m\to\infty$ making $\{A^mq_1,\ldots,A^mq_k\}$ an ill conditioned basis for large m.

How do we find $A^m(S)$?

Find an orthonormal basis of S say $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$ and produce bases $\{A^mq_1, \ldots, A^mq_k\}$ of $A^m(S)$ for $m = 1, 2, \ldots$

Not a good idea as there can be underflow and overflow. Also if $|\lambda_1|>|\lambda_2|$, then each of the sequences $\{A^mq_j\}, j=1,2,\ldots,$ could converge to some multiple of v_1 as $m\to\infty$ making $\{A^mq_1,\ldots,A^mq_k\}$ an ill conditioned basis for large m.

Instead we start with an orthonormal basis $q_1^{(0)}, \ldots, q_k^{(0)}$ of S and perform the following iterations.

How do we find $A^m(S)$?

Find an orthonormal basis of S say $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$ and produce bases $\{A^m q_1, \ldots, A^m q_k\}$ of $A^m(S)$ for $m = 1, 2, \ldots$

Not a good idea as there can be underflow and overflow. Also if $|\lambda_1|>|\lambda_2|$, then each of the sequences $\{A^mq_j\}, j=1,2,\ldots,$ could converge to some multiple of v_1 as $m\to\infty$ making $\{A^mq_1,\ldots,A^mq_k\}$ an ill conditioned basis for large m.

Instead we start with an orthonormal basis $q_1^{(0)}, \ldots, q_k^{(0)}$ of S and perform the following iterations.

$$\textit{for } m=1,2,\ldots,$$

- (i) Compute $Aq_1^{(m)}, \ldots, Aq_k^{(m)}$;
- (ii) Orthonormalize $Aq_1^{(m)}, \ldots, Aq_k^{(m)}$ to form an orthonormal basis $q_1^{(m+1)}, \ldots, q_k^{(m+1)}$ of $A^{m+1}(S)$.

How do we know that the iterations have converged?

How do we know that the iterations have converged?

Let Q_m be a unitary matrix whose first k columns are $q_1^{(m)}, \ldots, q_k^{(m)}$. If the iterations have converged, then $A_m := Q_m^* A Q_m$ is of the form

$$A_m = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_{11}^{(m)} & A_{12}^{(m)} \\ \hline & A_{22}^{(m)} \end{array} \right].$$

How do we know that the iterations have converged?

Let Q_m be a unitary matrix whose first k columns are $q_1^{(m)}, \ldots, q_k^{(m)}$. If the iterations have converged, then $A_m := Q_m^* A Q_m$ is of the form

$$A_m = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_{11}^{(m)} & A_{12}^{(m)} \\ \hline & A_{22}^{(m)} \end{array} \right].$$

In fact $||A_{21}^{(m)}||$ is an alternative measure of the distance between $A^m(S)$ and \mathcal{T}_k .

How do we know that the iterations have converged?

Let Q_m be a unitary matrix whose first k columns are $q_1^{(m)}, \ldots, q_k^{(m)}$. If the iterations have converged, then $A_m := Q_m^* A Q_m$ is of the form

$$A_m = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^{(m)} & A_{12}^{(m)} \\ A_{22}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In fact $||A_{21}^{(m)}||$ is an alternative measure of the distance between $A^m(S)$ and \mathcal{T}_k .

In particular if A is upper Hessenberg and the unitary Q_m are such that A_m are also always upper Hessenberg, then $a_{k+1}^{(m)}$ is the only nonzero entry of $A_{12}^{(m)}$ and

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}|a_{k+1,k}^{(m)}|=0\Leftrightarrow\lim_{m\to\infty}A^m(\mathcal{S})=\mathcal{T}_k.$$

For either limits, the convergence is linear at the rate $|\lambda_{k+1}|/|\lambda_k|$.



Simultaneous iterations in subspace iterations For each $j=1,\ldots,m-1,\,q_1^{(m)},\ldots,q_j^{(m)}$ is an orthonormal basis of

For each $j=1,\ldots,m-1,\,q_1^{(m)},\ldots,q_j^{(m)}$ is an orthonormal basis of $A^m(\operatorname{span}\{q_1^{(0)},\ldots,q_j^{(0)}\})$

Simultaneous iterations in subspace iterations For each $j = 1, ..., m-1, q_1^{(m)}, ..., q_i^{(m)}$ is an orthonormal basis of

For each $j=1,\ldots,m-1,\,q_1^{(m)},\ldots,q_j^{(m)}$ is an orthonormal basis of $A^m(\mathrm{span}\{q_1^{(0)},\ldots,q_j^{(0)}\})$

The iterations on the lower dimensional subspaces $\operatorname{span}\{q_1^{(0)},\ldots,q_j^{(0)}\},j=1,2,\ldots,k-1$ happen automatically in the process!

Simultaneous iterations in subspace iterations For each $j = 1, ..., m-1, q_1^{(m)}, ..., q_i^{(m)}$ is an orthonormal basis of

For each $j=1,\ldots,m-1,\,q_1^{(m)},\ldots,q_j^{(m)}$ is an orthonormal basis of $A^m(\operatorname{span}\{q_1^{(0)},\ldots,q_j^{(0)}\})$

The iterations on the lower dimensional subspaces $\text{span}\{q_1^{(0)},\ldots,q_j^{(0)}\}, j=1,2,\ldots,k-1$ happen automatically in the process!

So if for each $j = 1, \ldots, k$,

$$|\lambda_j| > |\lambda_{j+1}| \text{ and } \operatorname{span}\{q_1^{(0)}, \dots, q_j^{(0)}\} \cap \operatorname{span}\{v_{j+1}, \dots, v_n\} = \{0\},$$

then for large enough m,

$$\{q_1^{(m)},\ldots,q_k^{(m)}\},\$$

is *nearly* an orthonormal basis of the invariant subspace \mathcal{T}_k with respect to A with the *additional* property that for each $j = 1, \dots, k-1$,

$$\{q_1^{(m)},\ldots,q_j^{(m)}\},\$$

are *nearly* orthonormal bases of *j*-dimensional invariant subspaces $\text{span}\{v_1,\ldots,v_j\}$ with respect to A.

The goal in the QR Algorithm is to find a Schur form of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.

The goal in the QR Algorithm is to find a Schur form of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.

Due to Schur's Theorem, this is equivalent to finding an orthonormal basis q_1, \ldots, q_n of \mathbb{C}^n such that q_1, \ldots, q_j is an orthonormal basis of an invariant subspace of \mathbb{C}^n with respect to A for each $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

The goal in the QR Algorithm is to find a Schur form of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.

Due to Schur's Theorem, this is equivalent to finding an orthonormal basis q_1, \ldots, q_n of \mathbb{C}^n such that q_1, \ldots, q_j is an orthonormal basis of an invariant subspace of \mathbb{C}^n with respect to A for each $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

To find it start with an orthonormal basis $q_1^{(0)}, \ldots, q_n^{(0)}$ of \mathbb{C}^n .

Suppose that for each $j=1,\ldots,n-1,\,|\lambda_j|>|\lambda_{j+1}|,$ and

$$S_j = \text{span}\{q_1^{(0)}, \dots, q_j^{(0)}\}, \ \mathcal{U}_j = \text{span}\{v_{j+1}, \dots, v_n\},$$

such that $S_j \cap U_j = \{0\}$. Then the required basis will be computed by the following iterations.

The goal in the QR Algorithm is to find a Schur form of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.

Due to Schur's Theorem, this is equivalent to finding an orthonormal basis q_1, \ldots, q_n of \mathbb{C}^n such that q_1, \ldots, q_j is an orthonormal basis of an invariant subspace of \mathbb{C}^n with respect to A for each $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

To find it start with an orthonormal basis $q_1^{(0)}, \ldots, q_n^{(0)}$ of \mathbb{C}^n .

Suppose that for each $j=1,\ldots,n-1,\,|\lambda_j|>|\lambda_{j+1}|,$ and

$$S_j = \text{span}\{q_1^{(0)}, \dots, q_j^{(0)}\}, \ \mathcal{U}_j = \text{span}\{v_{j+1}, \dots, v_n\},$$

such that $S_j \cap U_j = \{0\}$. Then the required basis will be computed by the following iterations.

for m = 1, 2, ...,

- (i) Compute $Aq_1^{(m)}, \ldots, Aq_n^{(m)}$;
- (ii) Orthonormalize $Aq_1^{(m)}, \ldots, Aq_n^{(m)}$ to form an orthonormal basis $q_1^{(m+1)}, \ldots, q_n^{(m+1)}$ of \mathbb{C}^n .



To check the progress of the simultaneous iterations set $Q_m = \begin{bmatrix} q_1^{(m)} & \cdots & q_n^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$ and check if $A_m := Q_m^* A Q_m$ is getting close to an upper triangular matrix.

To check the progress of the simultaneous iterations set $Q_m = \begin{bmatrix} q_1^{(m)} & \cdots & q_n^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$ and check if $A_m := Q_m^* A Q_m$ is getting close to an upper triangular matrix.

Suppose that the initial orthonormal basis is e_1, \ldots, e_n .

To check the progress of the simultaneous iterations set $Q_m = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} q_1^{(m)} & \cdots & q_n^{(m)} \end{array}\right]$ and check if $A_m := Q_m^* A Q_m$ is getting close to an upper triangular matrix.

Suppose that the initial orthonormal basis is e_1, \ldots, e_n .

In iteration 1

- (i) find Ae_1, \ldots, Ae_n , that is consider A;
- (ii) orthonormalize by finding the Q, say Q_0 , of a QR decomposition of A.

To check the progress of the simultaneous iterations set $Q_m = \begin{bmatrix} q_1^{(m)} & \cdots & q_n^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$ and check if $A_m := Q_m^* A Q_m$ is getting close to an upper triangular matrix.

Suppose that the initial orthonormal basis is e_1, \ldots, e_n .

In iteration 1

- (i) find Ae_1, \ldots, Ae_n , that is consider A;
- (ii) orthonormalize by finding the Q, say Q₀, of a QR decomposition of A.

Feeling very optimistic about convergence, we find $A_1 := Q_0^* A Q_0$.

To check the progress of the simultaneous iterations set $Q_m = \begin{bmatrix} q_1^{(m)} & \cdots & q_n^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$ and check if $A_m := Q_m^* A Q_m$ is getting close to an upper triangular matrix.

Suppose that the initial orthonormal basis is e_1, \ldots, e_n .

In iteration 1

- (i) find Ae_1, \ldots, Ae_n , that is consider A;
- (ii) orthonormalize by finding the Q, say Q₀, of a QR decomposition of A.

Feeling very optimistic about convergence, we find $A_1 := Q_0^* A Q_0$.

By now we have completed the first iteration of the QR algorithm!

To check the progress of the simultaneous iterations set $Q_m = \begin{bmatrix} q_1^{(m)} & \cdots & q_n^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$ and check if $A_m := Q_m^* A Q_m$ is getting close to an upper triangular matrix.

Suppose that the initial orthonormal basis is e_1, \ldots, e_n .

In iteration 1

- (i) find Ae_1, \ldots, Ae_n , that is consider A;
- (ii) orthonormalize by finding the Q, say Q₀, of a QR decomposition of A.

Feeling very optimistic about convergence, we find $A_1 := Q_0^* A Q_0$.

By now we have completed the first iteration of the QR algorithm!

At this point we have the choice of performing the next iteration of simultaneous iteration either with respect to the standard basis e_1, \ldots, e_n or the orthonormal basis formed by the columns say q_1, \ldots, q_n of Q_0 . If we go with e_1, \ldots, e_n , the operations are

To check the progress of the simultaneous iterations set $Q_m = \begin{bmatrix} q_1^{(m)} & \cdots & q_n^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$ and check if $A_m := Q_m^* A Q_m$ is getting close to an upper triangular matrix.

Suppose that the initial orthonormal basis is e_1, \ldots, e_n .

In iteration 1

- (i) find Ae_1, \ldots, Ae_n , that is consider A;
- (ii) orthonormalize by finding the Q, say Q₀, of a QR decomposition of A.

Feeling very optimistic about convergence, we find $A_1 := Q_0^* A Q_0$.

By now we have completed the first iteration of the QR algorithm!

At this point we have the choice of performing the next iteration of simultaneous iteration either with respect to the standard basis e_1, \ldots, e_n or the orthonormal basis formed by the columns say q_1, \ldots, q_n of Q_0 . If we go with e_1, \ldots, e_n , the operations are

- (i) find Aq_1, \ldots, Aq_n ;
- (ii) compute the Q of a QR decomposition of AQ_0 .



If we choose the basis of the columns of Q_0 , A and q_1, \ldots, q_n get replaced by their representations in the new basis.

If we choose the basis of the columns of Q_0 , A and q_1, \ldots, q_n get replaced by their representations in the new basis.

So the iteration is

- (i) find $Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_1)[=A_1e_1],\ldots,Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_n)[=A_1e_n],$ that is, consider A_1 ;
- (ii) compute the Q, say Q_1 , of a QR decomposition of A_1 .

If we choose the basis of the columns of Q_0 , A and q_1, \ldots, q_n get replaced by their representations in the new basis.

So the iteration is

- (i) find $Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_1)[=A_1e_1],\ldots,Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_n)[=A_1e_n],$ that is, consider A_1 ;
- (ii) compute the Q, say Q_1 , of a QR decomposition of A_1 .

Feeling very optimistic about the progress, we form $A_2 = Q_1^* A_1 Q_1$.

If we choose the basis of the columns of Q_0 , A and q_1, \ldots, q_n get replaced by their representations in the new basis.

So the iteration is

- (i) find $Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_1)[=A_1e_1],\ldots,Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_n)[=A_1e_n],$ that is, consider A_1 ;
- (ii) compute the Q, say Q_1 , of a QR decomposition of A_1 .

Feeling very optimistic about the progress, we form $A_2 = Q_1^* A_1 Q_1$.

This completes the second iteration of the QR algorithm!

If we choose the basis of the columns of Q_0 , A and q_1, \ldots, q_n get replaced by their representations in the new basis.

So the iteration is

- (i) find $Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_1)[=A_1e_1],\ldots,Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_n)[=A_1e_n],$ that is, consider A_1 :
- (ii) compute the Q, say Q_1 , of a QR decomposition of A_1 .

Feeling very optimistic about the progress, we form $A_2 = Q_1^* A_1 Q_1$.

This completes the second iteration of the QR algorithm!

Now we have the choice of performing the next iteration in three different bases, viz., e_1, \ldots, e_n , the columns of Q_0 or the columns of Q_1 . If we choose the last option then the third iteration is

If we choose the basis of the columns of Q_0 , A and q_1, \ldots, q_n get replaced by their representations in the new basis.

So the iteration is

- (i) find $Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_1)[=A_1e_1],\ldots,Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_n)[=A_1e_n],$ that is, consider A_1 :
- (ii) compute the Q, say Q_1 , of a QR decomposition of A_1 .

Feeling very optimistic about the progress, we form $A_2 = Q_1^* A_1 Q_1$.

This completes the second iteration of the QR algorithm!

Now we have the choice of performing the next iteration in three different bases, viz., e_1, \ldots, e_n , the columns of Q_0 or the columns of Q_1 . If we choose the last option then the third iteration is

- (i) find $Q_1^*A_1Q_1(Q_1^*Q_1e_1), \ldots, Q_1^*A_1Q_1(Q_1^*Q_1e_n),$ i.e., consider A_2 :
- (ii) compute the Q, say Q_2 , of a QR decomposition of A_2 .

If we choose the basis of the columns of Q_0 , A and q_1, \ldots, q_n get replaced by their representations in the new basis.

So the iteration is

- (i) find $Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_1)[=A_1e_1],\ldots,Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_n)[=A_1e_n],$ that is, consider A_1 :
- (ii) compute the Q, say Q_1 , of a QR decomposition of A_1 .

Feeling very optimistic about the progress, we form $A_2 = Q_1^* A_1 Q_1$.

This completes the second iteration of the QR algorithm!

Now we have the choice of performing the next iteration in three different bases, viz., e_1, \ldots, e_n , the columns of Q_0 or the columns of Q_1 . If we choose the last option then the third iteration is

- (i) find $Q_1^*A_1Q_1(Q_1^*Q_1e_1), \ldots, Q_1^*A_1Q_1(Q_1^*Q_1e_n),$ i.e., consider A_2 ;
- (ii) compute the Q, say Q_2 , of a QR decomposition of A_2 .

To check for convergence form $A_3 := Q_2^* A_2 Q_2$. This the third iteration of the QR algorithm!

If we choose the basis of the columns of Q_0 , A and q_1, \ldots, q_n get replaced by their representations in the new basis.

So the iteration is

- (i) find $Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_1)[=A_1e_1],\ldots,Q_0^*AQ_0(Q_0^*q_n)[=A_1e_n],$ that is, consider A_1 ;
- (ii) compute the Q, say Q_1 , of a QR decomposition of A_1 .

Feeling very optimistic about the progress, we form $A_2 = Q_1^* A_1 Q_1$.

This completes the second iteration of the QR algorithm!

Now we have the choice of performing the next iteration in three different bases, viz., e_1, \ldots, e_n , the columns of Q_0 or the columns of Q_1 . If we choose the last option then the third iteration is

- (i) find $Q_1^*A_1Q_1(Q_1^*Q_1e_1), \ldots, Q_1^*A_1Q_1(Q_1^*Q_1e_n),$ i.e., consider A_2 :
- (ii) compute the Q, say Q_2 , of a QR decomposition of A_2 .

To check for convergence form $A_3 := Q_2^* A_2 Q_2$. This the third iteration of the QR algorithm!

Hence QR algorithm executes Simultaneous iteration with suitable change of basis at each iteration.