1 Stochastic Differential Equations

Consider a SDE of the form

$$dX(t) = b(t, X(t))dt + \sigma(t, X(t))dW(t), \quad X(0) = Z.$$

Question 1: Does there exist a solution? And if there is a solution then is it unique?

Question 2: How to solve such a SDE?

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space and let $W(\cdot)$ be a Brownian motion defined on it. Let \mathcal{F}_t be the filtration generated by W(t) and Z, i.e., $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{Z, W(s), s \leq t\}$.

Definition 1.1. A solution of the SDE above is a continuous stochastic process X(t), $0 \le t \le T$ with the following properties:

- 1. X(t) is adapted to the filtration \mathcal{F}_t .
- 2. $\mathbb{P}(X(0) = Z) = 1$.
- 3. $\int_0^T \mathbb{E}(|b(t,X(t))|)dt < \infty, \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(|\sigma(t,X(t))|^2)dt < \infty.$
- 4. $X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t b(s, X(s))ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X(s))dW(s)$, $0 \le t \le T$ almost surely.

Definition 1.2. The SDE above is said to have a unique solution, if X and \tilde{X} are two solutions, then $\mathbb{P}(X(t) = \tilde{X}(t), 0 \le t \le T) = 1$.

Theorem 1.3. (Existence and Uniqueness) Suppose the co-efficients b(t,x) and $\sigma(t,x)$ satisfy the global lipschitz and linear growth conditions

$$|b(t,x) - b(t,y)| + |\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y)| \le K|x - y|$$
 and $|b(t,x)| + |\sigma(t,x)| \le K(1+|x|)$

for some positive constant K. Further suppose that $\mathbb{E}(Z^2) < \infty$. Then the SDE has a unique solution. Further X(t) satisfies $\mathbb{E}\int_0^T |X(t)|^2 dt < \infty$.

Consider the deterministic differential equations

$$dX(t) = X^2(t)dt$$
 and $dX(t) = 3X^{2/3}(t)dt$.

For the first one $b(t,x)=x^2$ does not satisfy the linear growth condition. For the second one, $b(t,x)=3x^{2/3}$ does not satisfy the lipschitz condition. In the first case, the solution (unique) is $X(t)=\frac{1}{1-t}$. But this "explodes" as $t\uparrow 1$. Thus linear growth condition ensures that the solution does not "explode" in finite time.

For the second case, there are infinitely many solutions, in fact for any a > 0,

$$X(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \le a \\ (t-a)^3 & \text{for } t \ge a \end{cases},$$

is a solution. Thus lipschitz property ensures uniqueness.

Lemma 1.4. (Gronwall's Inequality) Let $f(\cdot)$ be a continuous function such that

$$f(t) \leq C + K \int_0^t f(s)ds$$
 for $t \in [0,T]$,

where C is a constant and K is a positive constant. Then $f(t) \leq Ce^{Kt}$ for $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof: Define $W(t) = C + K \int_0^t f(s) ds$. Then $W(t) \ge f(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Now bt Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,

$$\begin{split} W'(t) &= Kf(t) \leq KW(t) \\ \Rightarrow e^{-Kt}W'(t) - Ke^{-Kt}W(t) \leq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}(e^{-Kt}W(t)) \leq 0 \\ \Rightarrow e^{-Kt}W(t) - W(0) \leq 0 \\ \Rightarrow W(t) \leq Ce^{Kt} \\ \Rightarrow f(t) \leq Ce^{Kt} \,. \end{split}$$

Proof of Uniqueness: Suppose there exists two solutions $X_1(t)$ and $X_2(t)$. Thus

$$X_1(t) = Z + \int_0^t b(s, X_1(s))ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_1(s))dW(s),$$

$$X_2(t) = Z + \int_0^t b(s, X_2(s))ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_2(s))dW(s).$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{1}(t) - X_{2}(t)|^{2} = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t} [b(s, X_{1}(s)) - b(s, X_{2}(s))]ds + \int_{0}^{t} [\sigma(s, X_{1}(s)) - \sigma(s, X_{2}(s))]dW(s)\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq 2\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t} [b(s, X_{1}(s)) - b(s, X_{2}(s))]ds\right)^{2} + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{t} [\sigma(s, X_{1}(s)) - \sigma(s, X_{2}(s))]dW(s)\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$\leq 2\left[t\int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left(b(s, X_{1}(s)) - b(s, X_{2}(s))\right)^{2}ds + \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left(\sigma(s, X_{1}(s)) - \sigma(s, X_{2}(s))\right)^{2}ds\right]$$

$$\leq 2K^{2}(1+t)\int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}|X_{1}(s) - X_{2}(s)|^{2}ds.$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{E}|X_1(t) - X_2(t)|^2 \le 2K^2(1+T)\int_0^t \mathbb{E}|X_1(s) - X_2(s)|^2 ds,$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. So by Gronwall's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}|X_1(t) - X_2(t)|^2 = 0.$$

Thus $\mathbb{P}(X_1(t) = X_2(t)) = 1$ for each $t \in [0, T]$. Thus $\mathbb{P}(X_1(t) = X_2(t) \ \forall \ t \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, T]) = 1$. By continuity, $\mathbb{P}(X_1(t) = X_2(t) \ \forall \ t \in [0, T]) = 1$. Hence we have the uniqueness.

$$dS(t) = \mu(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)dW(t), \quad S(0) = S_0$$

where $\mu(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$ are deterministic functions satisfying $|\mu(t)| + |\sigma(t)| \le K$. Thus $b(t,x) = \mu(t)x$ and $\sigma(t,x) = \sigma(t)x$. Both the conditions for uniqueness and existence are satisfied. Now by Ito's formula,

$$\begin{split} d(\log(S(t)) &= \frac{1}{S(t)} dS(t) - \frac{1}{2S^2(t)} dS(t) dS(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{S(t)} dS(t) [\mu(t)S(t) dt + \sigma(t)S(t) dW(t)] - \frac{1}{2S^2(t)} [\sigma^2(t)S^2(t)] \\ &= \sigma(t) dW(t) + (\mu(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t)) dt \,. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\log \frac{S(t)}{S_0} = \int_0^t \sigma(s) dW(s) + \int_0^t (\mu(s) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(s)) ds$$

$$\Rightarrow S(t) = S_0 \exp\left\{ \int_0^t \sigma(s) dW(s) + \int_0^t (\mu(s) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(s)) ds \right\}.$$

Consider a first order ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(t)x(t) + g(t), \quad x(0) = x,$$

where f is a continuous function. Then we know the integrating factor method for solving the above equation. The integrating factor is given by $h(t) = e^{-\int_0^t f(s)ds}$. The solution is given by,

$$x(t) = (h(t))^{-1}x + (h(t))^{-1} \int_0^t h(s)g(s)ds.$$

By linear SDE, we mean a SDE of the form,

$$dX(t) = \{\phi(t)X(t) + \theta(t)\}dW(t) + \{f(t)X(t) + g(t)\}dt \quad X(0) = Z.$$

Define H(t) as follows:

$$H(t) = e^{-Y(t)}, \quad Y(t) = \int_0^t \phi(s)dW(s) + \int_0^t f(s)ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \phi^2(s)ds.$$

Now d(H(t)X(t)) = X(t)dH(t) + H(t)dX(t) + dH(t)dX(t)

$$\begin{split} dH(t) &= -e^{-Y(t)} dY(t) + \frac{1}{2} e^{-Y(t)} dY(t) dY(t) \\ &= -H(t) [f(t) dt + \phi(t) dW(t) - \frac{1}{2} \phi^2(t)] + \frac{1}{2} H(t) \phi^2(t) dt \\ &= -H(t) [f(t) dt + \phi(t) dW(t) - \phi^2(t) dt] \,. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$dX(t)dH(t) = -H(t)\phi(t)[\phi(t)X(t) + \theta(t)]dt.$$

So we get,

$$\begin{split} d(X(t)H(t)) &= H(t)[dX(t) - X(t)f(t)dt - X(t)\phi(t)dW(t) + \\ \phi^2(t)X(t)dt - \phi^2(t)X(t)dt - \theta(t)\phi(t)dt] \\ &= H(t)[\theta(t)dW(t) + g(t)dt - \theta(t)\phi(t)dt] \,. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} H(t)X(t) &= Z + \int_0^t H(s)\theta(s)dW(s) + \int_0^t H(s)\{g(s) - \theta(s)\phi(s)\}ds \,. \\ \Rightarrow X(t) &= Ze^{Y(t)} + \int_0^t e^{Y(t) - Y(s)}\theta(s)dW(s) + \int_0^t e^{Y(t) - Y(s)}\{g(s) - \theta(s)\phi(s)\}ds \,. \end{split}$$

Example:

$$dX(t) = \mu X(t)dt + \sigma dW(t), \quad X(0) = Z.$$

Thus $f(t) = \mu$, g(t) = 0, $\phi(t) = 0$, $\theta(t) = \sigma$. Thus $Y(t) = \mu t$. Thus the solution is given by

$$X(t) = Ze^{\mu t} + \int_0^t e^{\mu(t-s)} \sigma dW(s) .$$

Exercise:

i)
$$d(X)(t) = -X(t)dt + e^{-t}dW(t), X(0) = Z.$$

ii)
$$d(X)(t) = rdt + \alpha X(t)dW(t), X(0) = Z.$$

iii)
$$d(X)(t) = (m - X(t))dt + \sigma dW(t), X(0) = Z.$$

iv)
$$d(X)(t) = \frac{1}{2}X(t)dt + X(t)dW(t), X(0) = 1.$$

v)
$$d(X)(t) = \frac{b-X(t)}{1-t}dt + dW(t), X(0) = a.$$

Consider the SDE,

$$dX(t) = b(t, X(t))dt + \sigma(t, X(t))dW(t).$$

Let $h(\cdot)$ be a Borel measurable function. Define the function

$$g(t,x) = \mathbb{E}[h(X(T))|X(t) = x] = \mathbb{E}_{t,x}[h(X(T))]. \tag{1}$$

Theorem 1.5. Let $X(u), u \ge 0$, be a solution to the SDE above with some initial condition at 0. Then for any $0 \le t \le T$,

$$\mathbb{E}[h(X(T))|\mathcal{F}_t] = q(t, X(t)).$$

Corollary 1.6. Solutions to stochastic differential equations are Markov processes.

The following theorem relates SDEs and PDEs.

Theorem 1.7. (Feynman-Kac) Consider the SDE,

$$dX(t) = b(t, X(t))dt + \sigma(t, X(t))dW(t)$$
.

Let $h(\cdot)$ be a Borel measurable function. Let g(t,x) be as in (1). Then g(t,x) satisfies the PDE

$$g_t(t,x) + b(t,x)g_x(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t,x)g_{xx}(t,x) = 0$$

with terminal condition q(T,x) = h(x) for all x.

Proof: Claim: g(t, X(t)), $0 \le t \le T$ is a martingale. Now by previous theorem $g(t, X(t)) = \mathbb{E}[h(X(T))|\mathcal{F}_t]$. Thus for s < t,

$$\mathbb{E}[g(t, X(t))|\mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[h(X(T))|\mathcal{F}_t]|\mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[h(X(T))|\mathcal{F}_s] = g(s, X(s)).$$

Hence the claim. Now

$$dg(t, X(t)) = g_t(t, X(t))dt + g_x(t, X(t))dX(t) + \frac{1}{2}g_{xx}(t, X(t))dX(t)dX(t)$$

$$= (g_t(t, X(t)) + b(t, X(t))g_x(t, X(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t, X(t))g_{xx}(t, X(t)))dt$$

$$+ g_x(t, X(t))\sigma(t, X(t))dW(t).$$

Since g(t, X(t)) is a martingale, so the dt term must be equal to 0. Thus we must have

$$g_t(t,x) + b(t,x)g_x(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t,x)g_{xx}(t,x) = 0.$$

Theorem 1.8. (Discounted Feynman-Kac) Consider the SDE,

$$dX(t) = b(t, X(t))dt + \sigma(t, X(t))dW(t).$$

Let $h(\cdot)$ be a Borel measurable function. Define $f(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_{t,x}[e^{-r(T-t)}h(X(T))]$ Then f(t,x) satisfies the PDE

$$f_t(t,x) + b(t,x)f_x(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t,x)f_{xx}(t,x) = rf(t,x),$$

with terminal condition f(T, x) = h(x) for all x.

Proof: By a similar argument as in the previous theorem it can be shown that $e^{-rt}f(t,X(t))$ is a martingale. Now,

$$\begin{split} &d(e^{-rt}f(t,X(t)) = e^{-rt}df(t,X(t)) - re^{-rt}f(t,X(t)) \\ &= e^{-rt}(-rf(t,X(t)) + f_t(t,X(t)) + b(t,X(t))f_x(t,X(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t,X(t))f_{xx}(t,X(t)))dt \\ &+ e^{-rt}f_x(t,X(t))\sigma(t,X(t))dW(t) \,. \end{split}$$

So in order to have the dt term equal to 0 we must have,

$$f_t(t,x) + b(t,x)f_x(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(t,x)f_{xx}(t,x) = rf(t,x).$$

Application to BSM model: The risk neutral valuation of an European call is given by

$$V(t) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[e^{-r(T-t)}(S(T) - K)^{+}|\mathcal{F}_{t}],$$

where S(t) satisfies,

$$dS(t) = rS(t)dt + \sigma S(t)d\tilde{W}(t).$$

Thus S(t) is a Markov process. Hence V(t) = c(t, S(t)) where

$$c(t,x) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{t,x}[e^{-r(T-t)}(S(T) - K)^+].$$

So by discounted Feynman-Kac formula, c(t, x) satisfies

$$c_t(t,x) + rxc_x(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 x^2 c_{xx}(t,x) = rc(t,x),$$

with terminal condition $c(T, x) = (x - K)^+$.