

# Statistical Inference and Multivariate Analysis (MA324)

## LECTURE SLIDES Lecture 11

Point Estimation: Minimal Sufficiency, Information



Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati

Jan-May 2023

# Minimal Sufficient Statistic

- We want to **reduce the data** by considering an appropriate summary statistic. Of course, we should take a summary which has all “**information**” that present in the original data.
- Thus, we want a **shortest summary statistic** that has all “information” regarding the parameter  $\theta$ . Now, a natural question arises: How to define a “**shortest**” **sufficient statistic**?

**Def: [Minimal Sufficiency Statistic]** A sufficient statistic  $T$  is called minimal sufficient statistic if  $T$  is a function of any other sufficient statistic.

- A minimal sufficient statistic  $T$  **cannot be reduced any further** to another sufficient statistic. In this sense, minimal sufficient statistic is the shortest and best sufficient statistic.
- The next theorem provides us a way to find minimal sufficient statistic.

# Minimal Sufficient Statistic

## Theorem

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a RS from a population with PMF/PDF  $f(\cdot, \theta)$ . Consider

$$h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \theta) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta)}{\prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i, \theta)} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \chi^n.$$

Suppose that there is a statistic  $T$  such that for any two points  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \chi^n$ , the expression  $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \theta)$  does not involve  $\theta$  if and only if  $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$ . Then  $T$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

# Examples:

**Example 1:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ . We have seen that  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic. Is this minimal sufficient statistic?

**Example 2:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Here,  $\chi^n = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Is  $T = (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)$  a minimal sufficient statistic?

**Example 3:** Let us take  $n = 3$  in Example 1. We have seen that  $T = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$  is minimal sufficient statistic for  $p$ . Let us consider the statistic  $U = X_1 X_2 + X_3$ . Is  $U$  sufficient for  $p$ ?

# Information:

- We have mentioned that we would work with sufficient or minimal sufficient statistic, as **they provide reduction of dimension and preserve all “information”** that are present in the RS. However, we have not quantify information.
- Let  $X$  be a RV with PMF or PDF  $f(\cdot, \theta)$ , which depends on a real valued parameter  $\theta \in \Theta$ . The **variation in the PMF or PDF**  $f(x, \theta)$  with respect to  $\theta \in \Theta$  for fixed value of  $x$  **provides us information** about  $\theta$ .

## Example:

For example, suppose that  $X$  has a binomial distribution with PMF

$$f(X = x, \theta) = \binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{n-x},$$

and let  $n = 10$  and  $x = 2$ . Then we have  $f(x, \theta)$  varies with  $\theta$  as given in the Table below.

- Note that  $P(X = 2)$  at  $\theta = 0.8$  is given as 0.000 in the Table. However, that is not exactly zero. These probabilities are rounded off to three decimal places.
- It is this **variation that provides some information** about  $\theta$ . If the variation is large, then we have more information about  $\theta$ . On the other hand if the variation is less, we have less information.

Table: Variation in PMF with respect to parameter

$\theta$	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8
$f(2, \theta)$	0.194	0.302	0.233	0.121	0.044	0.011	0.001	0.000

# Regularity conditions:

We **measure the change** in a function with respect to a variable **using derivative** of the function with respect to the variable. Following it, here we consider  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(x, \theta)$ . However, this partial derivative, in general, depend on  $x$ . As we are interested to measure the variation (with respect to  $x$ ) in change, we can consider the **variance of the partial derivative**,  $Var\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X, \theta)\right)$ . Now, to define information, we need following assumptions, which are called **regularity conditions**.

- 1 Let  $S_\theta = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x, \theta) > 0\}$  denote the support of the PMF or PDF  $f(\cdot, \theta)$  and  $S = \cup_{\theta \in \Theta} S_\theta$ . Here, we assume that  $S_\theta$  does not depend on  $\theta$ , i.e.,  $S_\theta = S$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .
- 2 We also assume that the PDF (or PMF)  $f(\cdot, \theta)$  is such that differentiation (with respect to  $\theta$ ) and integration (or sum) (with respect to  $x$ ) are interchangeable.

## Regularity conditions:

Now, assume that  $X$  is a CRV. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X, \theta) \right] &= \int_S \frac{\partial \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} f(x, \theta) dx = \int_S \frac{\partial f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} dx \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_S f(x, \theta) dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $\int_S f(x, \theta) dx = 1$ . Thus,

$$Var \left( \frac{\partial \ln f(X, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) = E \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \ln f(X, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right].$$

The DRV case can be handled in a similar manner by replacing the integration by a summation sign. This discussion give us the following quantification of information.



# Fisher Information

**Def: [Fisher Information]** The Fisher information (or simply information) about parameter  $\theta$  contained in  $X$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_X(\theta) = E_{\theta} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \ln f(X, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right].$$

Note that  $\mathcal{I}_X(\theta) = 0$  if and only if  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(x, \theta) = 0$  with probability one, which means that the PMF or PDF of  $X$  does not involve  $\theta$ . An alternative form of Fisher information can be obtained as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \int_S f(x, \theta) dx &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_S \frac{\partial \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} f(x, \theta) dx &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \int_S \frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} f(x, \theta) dx + \int_S \left[ \frac{\partial \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 f(x, \theta) dx &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \int_S \left[ \frac{\partial \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 f(x, \theta) dx &= - \int_S \frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} f(x, \theta) dx \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_X(\theta) &= -E_{\theta} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \ln f(X, \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

# Examples:

**Example 4:** Let  $X \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda > 0$ . Find  $\mathcal{I}_X(\lambda)$ .

**Example 5:** Let  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\sigma$  is known and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  is unknown parameters. Find  $\mathcal{I}_X(\mu)$ .

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

**Def:** [Fisher Information] The Fisher information contained in a collection of RVs, say  $\mathbf{X}$ , is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) = E_{\theta} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X}, \theta) \right)^2 \right] = -E_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X}, \theta) \right],$$

where  $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\cdot, \theta)$  is the JPDF of  $\mathbf{X}$  under  $\theta$ .

### Theorem

*Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a RS from a population with PMF or PDF  $f(\cdot, \theta)$ , where  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta)$  denote the Fisher information contained in the RS, then*

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) = n\mathcal{I}_{X_1}(\theta) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \Theta.$$

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a RS and  $T$  be a statistic. Then  $\mathcal{I}_X(\theta) \geq \mathcal{I}_T(\theta)$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ . The equality holds for all  $\theta \in \Theta$  if and only if  $T$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .*

**Def: [Ancillary Statistic]** A statistic  $T$  is called an ancillary statistic for  $\theta$  if the distribution of  $T$  does not involve  $\theta$ .

**Example 6:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu, 1)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  is unknown parameter. Then,  $T_1 = X_1 - X_2$  is an ancillary statistic for  $\mu$  as  $T_1 \sim N(0, 2)$ , which does not involve  $\mu$ . Similarly, we can check that  $T_2 = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{n-1} - (n-1)X_n$  and  $S^2$  are ancillary statistics for  $\mu$ .

Let us now consider  $T = (T_1, T_2)$ . It is easy to check that  $T \sim N_2(\mu, \Sigma)$ , where

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & n(n-1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the distribution of  $T$  does not involve  $\mu$ , and hence,  $T$  is ancillary for  $\mu$ .