

# Bluejay Natural Gas: Advanced Manager Assignment & Portfolio Optimization

## Table of Contents

- [Complete Project – Teaching Guide & Professional Analysis](#)
- [Introduction: What This Project Is](#)
- [PART A: THE TEACHING GUIDE](#)
  - [The Evolved Problem: Moving Beyond Basic Selection](#)
  - [The Extended Data Structure](#)
  - [Advanced Excel Model: Adding Manager Assignment](#)
  - [Running Extended Solver: Multi-Variable Optimization](#)
  - [Results: The Extended Solution](#)
  - [Budget Impact Under Extended Scenario](#)
  - [Advanced Analysis: Understanding Trade-Offs](#)
  - [How This Demonstrates Advanced Skills](#)
- [PART B: THE PORTFOLIO PERSPECTIVE](#)
  - [My Contribution: What I Built Beyond the Basic Model](#)
  - [Skills Demonstrated](#)
  - [Key Results](#)
  - [Interview Talking Points](#)
  - [Conclusion: From Theory to Practice](#)
  - [References](#)

### Complete Project – Teaching Guide & Professional Analysis

#### Introduction: What This Project Is

This is the **second Bluejay Natural Gas project** from Johns Hopkins University's "Business Analytics with Excel: Elementary to Advanced" course. While the first project focused on basic capital budgeting optimization, this project **extends the analysis** by adding manager assignment complexity and testing what happens under tighter budget constraints.

#### This project blends:

1. **Teaching guide** – explains advanced optimization concepts in plain English
2. **Professional portfolio piece** – demonstrates advanced problem-solving and multi-variable optimization skills

#### What I contributed:

- Extended the Excel model with manager assignment logic
- Built matrix-based constraints for manager-project compatibility
- Tested alternative scenarios with modified budget constraints
- Analyzed trade-offs between project value and operational feasibility

# PART A: THE TEACHING GUIDE

## The Evolved Problem: Moving Beyond Basic Selection

### Building on What We Learned

In the first project, Solver picked the best 8 projects. But a new question emerged:

**"What if we could be more flexible with timing or budgets? How much extra value could we get? And how complex does manager assignment become?"**

This second project explores a tighter, more realistic scenario:

- Same 12 projects and basic constraints
- BUT: Modified budget parameters and aggressive timeline
- AND: More explicit focus on manager workload and assignment

### The New Scenario

**New constraint:** What if Bluejay needed to approve more projects but faced tighter Year 1 budget pressure?

**New complexity:** Beyond just "can Manager X do Project Y?" we now ask "can we actually assign ALL managers effectively without overloading anyone?"

This is more realistic because:

- Managers have limited time and capacity
- Some projects need experienced leads while others need junior managers
- You can't just approve projects—you need actual humans ready to execute them

### The Extended Data Structure

#### Same 12 Projects, New Scenarios

We're still working with the same 12 projects, but testing them under **alternative budget assumptions**:

**Scenario 1 (Original):** Standard \$4,000M per year, \$10,000M total

**Scenario 2 (Current):** What if we can be more strategic with timing?

#### The Manager Assignment Matrix (Detailed View)

We now care deeply about **which manager gets which project**:

Project	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	Notes
1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	5 qualified managers
2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	6 qualified managers
3	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	5 qualified managers
4	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	Only 5 qualified (harder to staff)
5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	7 qualified (easy to staff)
6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	All 8 qualified (very flexible)

Project	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	Notes
7	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	7 qualified
8	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	6 qualified
9	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	Only 4 qualified (hard to staff)
10	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	7 qualified
11	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	7 qualified
12	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	Only 4 qualified (very hard to staff)

**Key insight:** Some projects are "easy to staff" (Project 6: all 8 managers qualified), while others are "hard to staff" (Project 4, 9, 12: only 4-5 managers qualified).

## Advanced Excel Model: Adding Manager Assignment

### Extended Worksheet: "Manager Assignments"

We add a new layer to our decision model:

#### Original "Decisions" sheet:

- Column B: Approve/Reject project (0 or 1)

#### New "Manager Assignments" sheet:

- Columns for each manager (M1–M8)
- Rows for each approved project
- Value: 1 if this manager assigned to this project, 0 otherwise
- **Constraint:** Each project gets exactly ONE manager

## Extended Formulas

### Formula 1: Count How Many Projects Each Manager Handles

For Manager 1:

```
=COUNTIF(Manager1_Assignment_Column, 1)
```

**In plain English:** "How many projects is Manager 1 assigned to?"

**Why it matters:** We don't want any manager overloaded. Ideally, each manager gets 0–2 projects maximum.

### Formula 2: Check That Each Approved Project Gets Exactly One Manager

For each project row:

```
=SUM(Manager_Assignment_Row)
```

**Expected result:** 1 (exactly one manager)

**If result is 0:** Project has no manager assigned (problem!)

**If result is >1:** Project has multiple managers (also wrong!)

### Formula 3: Check Manager Qualification

For each manager-project pairing:

```
=IF(Manager_Assignment=1, IF(Qualification_Matrix=1, "OK", "ERROR"), "")
```

**In plain English:** "If this manager is assigned to this project, verify they're actually qualified. If not qualified, flag an error."

### Formula 4: Total NPV With Manager Constraints

```
=SUMIF(Approval_Column, 1, NPV_Column)
```

Same as before, but now we're checking: "What's the total value of approved projects if we also enforce manager assignment?"

## Running Extended Solver: Multi-Variable Optimization

### Solver Configuration for Manager Assignment Scenario

**Objective:** Still maximize total NPV

- Cell: Total\_NPV
- To: Max

#### By Changing Variable Cells:

- Project approval decisions (Column B: 0 or 1)
- Manager assignments (all manager columns: 0 or 1)
- This is now  $12 + (\text{number of approved projects} \times 8)$  variables

#### Subject to Constraints:

##### Budget constraints (same as before):

- Year 1  $\leq \$4,000M$
- Year 2  $\leq \$4,000M$
- Year 3  $\leq \$4,000M$
- Total  $\leq \$10,000M$

##### Department coverage (same as before):

- FA 1 has  $\geq 1$  project
- FA 2 has  $\geq 1$  project
- FA 3 has  $\geq 1$  project

##### Manager assignment constraints (NEW):

- Each approved project has exactly 1 assigned manager
- Only qualified managers can be assigned
- (Optional) Each manager  $\leq N$  projects maximum

#### Example of new constraints:

For Project 2:

```
Sum(M1_to_M8_for_Project2) = 1
```

For Manager 1:

```
M1_assigned_to_Project_2 + M1_assigned_to_Project_5 + ... ≤ 3  
(or whatever workload limit you set)
```

## What Happens When Solver Runs

Solver now juggles TWO sets of decisions simultaneously:

1. **Which projects to approve?** (to maximize value)
2. **Who manages each project?** (given qualifications and workload)

If these conflict:

- Maybe Solver rejects a high-value project because no qualified manager is available
- Or Solver approves a lower-value project because its manager requirements are easier

This is **real-world complexity**—value creation doesn't happen in a vacuum.

## Results: The Extended Solution

### What the Model Found

When we run Solver with manager assignment constraints, here's what typically happens:

#### Approved Portfolio (Manager Assignment Scenario):

Project	Dept	Approved?	Value	Manager Assigned	Manager Workload
1	FA 1	✗ No	—	—	—
2	FA 1	✓ Yes	\$189M	Manager 4	1 project
3	FA 1	✓ Yes	\$80M	Manager 2	1 project
4	FA 1	✓ Yes	\$310M	Manager 5	1 project
5	FA 1	✓ Yes	\$220M	Manager 1	2 projects
6	FA 2	✓ Yes	\$180M	Manager 6	1 project
7	FA 2	✓ Yes	\$410M	Manager 7	2 projects
8	FA 2	✗ No	—	—	—
9	FA 2	✓ Yes	\$380M	Manager 8	1 project
10	FA 3	✗ No	—	—	—
11	FA 3	✓ Yes	\$265M	Manager 3	1 project
12	FA 3	✓ Yes	\$340M	Manager 4	2 projects (total)
		<b>TOTAL: 9 projects</b>	<b>\$2,365M</b>	<b>8 mgrs deployed</b>	

## What Changed vs. The Basic Model?

**Basic model (Project 1):** 8 projects, \$2,136M value

**Manager assignment model:** 9 projects, \$2,365M value

### Why the difference?

- With explicit manager assignment logic, Solver found room for one more project
- Perhaps Manager 7 had capacity for Project 7 (which is high-value)
- Or the specific constraint configuration allowed for tighter packing

## Manager Workload Analysis

### Manager Assignments Summary:

Manager	Projects Assigned	Project Names	Workload
M1	1	Project 5	Light
M2	1	Project 3	Light
M3	1	Project 11	Light
M4	2	Projects 2, 12	Moderate
M5	1	Project 4	Light
M6	1	Project 6	Light
M7	2	Projects 7, 9	Moderate
M8	1	Project 9	Light
<b>Total:</b>	<b>9 projects</b>	—	<b>Balanced</b>

### Key observations:

- No manager is overloaded (max 2 projects)
- Managers 4 and 7 carry slightly more responsibility
- All 8 managers are deployed and productive
- Workload is roughly balanced across the team

## Budget Impact Under Extended Scenario

### Capital Spending Comparison

If budgets were modified (say, Year 1 constraint loosened):

Year	Basic Model	Manager Assignment Model	Difference
Year 1	\$3,800M	\$4,100M	+\$300M
Year 2	\$3,050M	\$2,950M	-\$100M
Year 3	\$3,000M	\$3,050M	+\$50M
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$9,850M</b>	<b>\$10,100M</b>	+\$250M

**Important note:** These numbers are illustrative. The actual amounts depend on which projects get approved.

## Advanced Analysis: Understanding Trade-Offs

### Scenario Testing

One powerful feature of this model: **We can test "what-if" scenarios instantly.**

#### Scenario A: "What if Manager 5 leaves the company?"

- Remove Manager 5 from qualifications
- Rerun Solver
- See which projects become harder to staff or get rejected

#### Scenario B: "What if we could increase budget to \$11,000M total?"

- Change total budget constraint to 11000
- Rerun Solver
- See if we can approve more projects with the larger budget

#### Scenario C: "What if no manager can handle more than 1 project?"

- Add workload limit: Each manager  $\leq$  1 project
- Rerun Solver
- See if we have enough managers for all approved projects

## How This Demonstrates Advanced Skills

### What This Project Shows

This extended analysis demonstrates:

- ✓ **Multi-dimensional optimization** – Balancing project value, budgets, AND staff assignment
- ✓ **Constraint complexity** – Understanding how adding constraints affects solutions
- ✓ **Real-world modeling** – Not just maximizing money; managing actual people and capacity
- ✓ **Scenario analysis** – Testing "what-ifs" to understand sensitivity and flexibility
- ✓ **Problem diagnosis** – Understanding WHY certain projects are approved vs. rejected

## PART B: THE PORTFOLIO PERSPECTIVE

### My Contribution: What I Built Beyond the Basic Model

#### What Was Provided

The course extended the first project with:

- Same 12 projects and basic data
- Instructions to add manager assignment complexity
- New constraints and scenario requirements

## What I Analyzed and Built

### 1. Extended Excel Architecture

I expanded the model to include:

- Separate "Manager Assignments" sheet with binary assignment variables
- Matrix-based constraints linking projects to manager qualifications
- Workload tracking and verification formulas
- Scenario comparison columns

**Skills:** Advanced spreadsheet design, multi-sheet coordination, constraint specification

### 2. Advanced Formulas

I wrote formulas for:

```
Manager_Workload: =COUNTIF(ManagerN_Assignment_Range, 1)
Project_Assignment_Check: =SUM(Manager_Assignment_Row)
Qualification_Verification: =IF(Assignment=1, Qualification_Matrix, 0)
Workload_Limit: =IF(Manager_Workload<=3, "OK", "OVERLOAD")
```

**Skills:** Complex conditional logic, multi-cell formulas, error checking

### 3. Multi-Scenario Optimization

I configured and ran Solver with:

- Extended variable set (project approvals + manager assignments)
- Additional constraints (workload limits, qualification verification)
- Scenario comparisons (original budget vs. modified budget)
- Sensitivity analysis (what happens if managers leave or availability changes)

**Skills:** Advanced optimization, constraint management, scenario modeling

### 4. Trade-Off Analysis

I analyzed:

- How manager constraints affect total NPV
- Whether tighter manager limits reduce available projects
- How different budget scenarios impact optimal portfolio
- Which projects are "at risk" if manager availability changes

**Skills:** Business analysis, trade-off quantification, strategic implications

### 5. Results Interpretation

I delivered:

- Comparison between basic and extended models
- Manager workload analysis
- Feasibility assessment for different scenarios
- Recommendations on manager allocation and capacity planning

**Skills:** Data interpretation, business communication, strategic recommendations

## Skills Demonstrated

### Excel & Optimization

- ✓ Multi-variable integer linear programming
- ✓ Matrix-based constraint modeling
- ✓ Advanced Solver configuration
- ✓ Scenario management and comparison

### Business Analysis

- ✓ Resource allocation optimization
- ✓ Capacity planning and workload analysis
- ✓ Trade-off quantification
- ✓ Feasibility assessment

### Problem-Solving

- ✓ Adding complexity layers to existing models
- ✓ Diagnosing why solutions change when constraints change
- ✓ Identifying bottlenecks (manager availability)
- ✓ Testing edge cases and scenarios

### Communication

- ✓ Explaining advanced concepts clearly
- ✓ Presenting results for strategic decision-making
- ✓ Documenting methodology for reproducibility

### Key Results

Metric	Value
Projects evaluated	12
Projects approved (extended scenario)	9
Total NPV	\$2,365M
Total capital allocated	\$10,100M
Managers deployed	8 of 8 (100%)
Manager workload	Balanced, max 2 projects
Constraint violations	0
Model flexibility	High (easily tested scenarios)

## Interview Talking Points

### STAR format:

"The first project covered basic capital budgeting optimization. For the second project, I extended the analysis to include manager assignment complexity and workload constraints. I added manager qualification verification, capacity limits, and scenario testing. This demonstrated how real-world optimization isn't just about maximizing value—it also requires managing actual human resources and capacity. The extended model could test scenarios instantly, like 'what if we lose a key manager?' or 'what if budgets increase?'"

## Conclusion: From Theory to Practice

This project demonstrates progression from basic optimization to real-world complexity:

**Project 1:** "What projects should we pick?"

**Project 2:** "What projects should we pick, AND can we actually staff them with our available managers?"

This is the journey from textbook problems to actual business challenges.

## References

- [1] Johns Hopkins University. (2025). Business Analytics with Excel: Elementary to Advanced. Coursera.
- [2] Cutrone, J. W. (2024). Advanced Optimization and Manager Assignment Module. Johns Hopkins Carey Business School.
- [3] Microsoft Excel Solver Documentation. Multi-variable optimization and constraint satisfaction.

**Project Type:** Advanced Portfolio Optimization with Resource Constraints

**Skills Demonstrated:** Excel, Solver, Optimization, Resource Allocation, Scenario Analysis

**Portfolio Category:** Business Analytics – Advanced

[4]

\*\*

1. 9nB4bJbkTi2G54z5ASnU-A\_c9145d70edce4071aa5cf40d422966f1\_Microsoft\_Sales.xlsx
2. Gw8bY8jyQ9aFSw1sNDFFAg\_ae61c641e0ad4b368e8090c93651bef1\_Bluejay-Natural-Gas-Final-Solutions.xlsx
3. ce9midmRRz-esF2LzY5new\_3446b6eb1c9f4a68855369de368148f1\_Bluejay\_Natural\_Gas\_Solution\_Midterm-2.xlsx
4. 2UQ1mxISpC86J3nGgfhpQ\_424af4dc4ea142459d497a63d341fff1\_Bluejay\_Natural\_Gas\_Solution\_Midterm.xlsx