

Week 7 Problem Set

Induction, Recursion, Complexity Analysis

[Show with no answers] [Show with all answers]

1. (Induction proofs)

- Prove by induction that $1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + \dots + n \cdot n! = (n+1)! - 1$ for all $n \geq 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).
- Given the definition,

$$\begin{aligned}s_1 &= 1 \\ s_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{1+s_n} \quad (n > 1)\end{aligned}$$

prove by induction that

$$s_n = \frac{\text{FIB}(n)}{\text{FIB}(n+1)}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

- Suppose you would like to conclude that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \geq 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). For each of the following conditions, determine whether the condition is sufficient to prove this.

- $P(0)$ and $\forall n \geq 1 (P(n-1) \Rightarrow P(n+1) \wedge P(n+2))$
- $P(1)$ and $\forall n \geq 0 (P(n+1) \Rightarrow P(n) \wedge P(n+2))$
- $P(0)$ and $P(1)$ and $\forall n \geq 1 (P(n) \wedge P(n+1) \Rightarrow P(n+2))$
- $P(0)$ and $P(1)$ and $\forall n \geq 1 (P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+2))$
- $P(0)$ and $P(1)$ and $\forall n \geq 1 (P(n) \Rightarrow P(2 \cdot n) \wedge P(2 \cdot n + 1))$
- $P(0)$ and $P(1)$ and $\forall n \geq 1 (P(2 \cdot n) \Rightarrow P(2 \cdot n - 1) \wedge P(2 \cdot n + 1))$

[show answer]

2. (Recursive definitions)

Recall the recursive definition of a rooted tree:

- $\langle v; \rangle$ is a tree consisting only of a root node
- $\langle r; T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k \rangle$ is a tree with root r and subtrees T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k at the root ($k \geq 1$)

Prove that in any rooted tree, the number of leaves is one more than the number of nodes with a right sibling.

Hint: This assumes a given order among the children of every node from left to right; see slide 22 (week 7) for an instance of this theorem.

[show answer]

3. (Recurrences)

Recall the recurrence for Mergesort:

- $T(1) = 0$
- $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + (n - 1)$

Prove that $n \cdot (\log_2 n - 1) + 1$ is a valid formula for $T(n)$ for all $n = 2^k$ (with $k \geq 1$).

[show answer]

4. (Asymptotic running times)

- Suppose you have the choice between three algorithms:
 - Algorithm A solves your problem by dividing it into five subproblems of half the size, recursively solving each subproblem, and then combining the solutions in linear time.
 - Algorithm B solves problems of size n by recursively solving two subproblems of size $n - 1$ and then combining the solutions in constant time.
 - Algorithm C solves problems of size n by dividing them into nine subproblems of size $\frac{n}{3}$, recursively solving each subproblem, and then combining the solutions in $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ time.

Estimate the running times of each of these algorithms. Which one would you choose?

- Order the following functions in increasing asymptotic complexity:

- $(n - 1) \cdot (n - 2) \cdot \sqrt{n}$
- $\frac{3n}{\sqrt{n+1}}$
- $\sqrt{7n^3 + 3n + 1}$
- $5n^{\log(\log(n))}$
- $3n \log(n) + 2n^2$
- $8 + \log(n) \cdot (n - 1)$

[show answer]

5. (Big-Oh)

- Without using the Master Theorem*, give tight big-Oh upper bounds for the divide-and-conquer recurrence $T(1) = 1; T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2}) + g(n)$, for $n > 1$, where
 - $g(n) = 1$
 - $g(n) = 2n$
 - $g(n) = n^2$
- For each of the following functions, use the Master Theorem to determine the best upper bound complexity of $T(n)$.
 - $T(n) = 9 \cdot T(\frac{n}{3}) + 3n(n + 1)$
 - $T(n) = 8 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + 8n(n + 1)$

iii. $T(n) = 8 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 2n^2(n+1)$

iv. $T(n) = 6 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n^3$

v. $T(n) = 6 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + n^2$

c. Analyse the complexity of the following recursive algorithm to test whether a number x occurs in an *unordered* list $L = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ of size n . Take the cost to be the number of list element comparison operations.

```
Search( $x, L = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ ):  

  if  $x_1 = x$  then return yes  

  else if  $n > 1$  then return Search( $x, [x_2, \dots, x_n]$ )  

  else return no
```

d. Analyse the complexity of the following recursive algorithm to test whether a number x occurs in an *ordered* list $L = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ of size n . Take the cost to be the number of list element comparison operations.

```
BinarySearch( $x, L = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ ):  

  if  $n = 0$  then return no  

  else if  $x_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} > x$  then return BinarySearch( $x, [x_1, \dots, x_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1}]$ )  

  else if  $x_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} < x$  then return BinarySearch( $x, [x_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}, \dots, x_n]$ )  

  else return yes
```

[show answer]

6. Challenge Exercise

Prove by induction that every connected graph $G = (V, E)$ must satisfy $e(G) \geq v(G) - 1$.

Hint: You can use the fact from a previous lecture that $\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = 2 \cdot e(G)$.

[show answer]

Assessment

After you have solved the exercises, go to COMP9020 20T1 Quiz Week 7 to answer 5 quiz questions on this week's problem set (Exercises 1-5 only) and lecture.

The quiz is worth 2.5 marks.

There is no time limit on the quiz once you have started it, but the deadline for submitting your quiz answers is **Thursday, 9 April 10:00:00am**.

Please continue to respect the **quiz rules**:

Do ...

- use your own best judgement to understand & solve a question
- discuss quizzes on the forum only **after** the deadline on Thursday

Do not ...

- post specific questions about the quiz **before** the Thursday deadline
- agonise too much about a question that you find too difficult