

# CSCI235 Database Systems

## Introduction to Transaction Processing (1)

Dr Janusz R. Getta

School of Computing and Information Technology -  
University of Wollongong

# Introduction to Transaction Processing

## Outline

An interesting experiment

Where is a problem ?

Principles of transaction processing

Update synchronisation

ACID properties

Protocols

[TOP](#)

Created by Janusz R. Getta, CSCI235 Database Systems, Spring 2020

2/20

## An interesting experiment

Use SQLcl to create two simultaneous connections to the same user account

\$sqlcl jrg	SQLcl
\$sqlcl jrg	SQLcl

Next, process the same **SELECT** statement in both connections

SQL> <b>SELECT</b> COUNT(*) <b>FROM</b> SKILL;  COUNT(*) ----- 19	SQL
SQL> <b>SELECT</b> COUNT(*) <b>FROM</b> SKILL;  COUNT(*) ----- 19	SQL

Obviously, the results are the same

## An interesting experiment

Now, **INSERT** a row into a relational table **SKILLS** through one of the connections

```
SQL> INSERT INTO SKILL VALUES('singing');  
1 row created.
```

SQL

And now repeat the same **SELECT** statements

```
SQL> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM SKILL;
```

SQL

```
COUNT(*)  
-----  
20
```

```
SQL> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM SKILL;
```

SQL

```
COUNT(*)  
-----  
19
```

Surprise, surprise, the results are different ! Why ?

# Introduction to Transaction Processing

## Outline

An interesting experiment

Where is a problem ?

Principles of transaction processing

Update synchronisation

ACID properties

Protocols

## Where is a problem ?

Why a modification performed by the first user is not visible to the second user ?

Is it correct that the second user must see all modifications performed by the first user ?

What if a modification performed by the first user is immediately visible to the second user and after that the first user rolls back the modification ?

Then, the second user is left with incorrect data !

Hence, only committed data can be revealed to the other users

Is such conclusion always true ?

### Problem statement

- Given a multiuser database system
- Find the most efficient synchronisation method for a set of concurrent processes accessing the shared database resources

# Introduction to Transaction Processing

## Outline

An interesting experiment

Where is a problem ?

Principles of transaction processing

Update synchronisation

ACID properties

Protocols

[TOP](#)

Created by Janusz R. Getta, CSCI235 Database Systems, Spring 2020

7/20

# Principles of transaction processing

A partially ordered set of **read, write** operations on the database items is called as a **transaction**

Users interact with a database by executing programs

Execution of a program is equivalent to execution of a partially ordered set of **read, write** operations

A database is visible to **transactions** as a collection of data items

Concurrently running **transactions** interleave their operations

**Transactions** have no impact on execution of their operations

Each **transaction** terminates by either **commit** or **abort** operation

Each **transaction** arrives at a consistent database state and must leave a database in a consistent state as well



# Principles of transaction processing

A sample concurrent processing of database transactions

Concurrent processing of database transactions		
T1	T2	
		x = \$100
read(x)		x = \$100
	read(x)	x = \$100
write(x, x-10)		x = \$90
	write(x, x+20)	x = \$120
	commit	x = \$120
commit		x = \$120

If a state of a bank account is \$100 then withdrawal of \$10 and deposit of \$20 cannot change a state of bank account to \$120

Uncontrolled concurrent processing of database transactions may corrupt a database

# Introduction to Transaction Processing

## Outline

An interesting experiment

Where is a problem ?

Principles of transaction processing

Update synchronisation

ACID properties

Protocols

[TOP](#)

Created by Janusz R. Getta, CSCI235 Database Systems, Spring 2020

10/20

# Update synchronisation

Database transaction can perform update in two different ways:

- A transaction immediately writes uncommitted values into a database - **update-in-place**
- A transaction does not modify a database until the time it commits itself - **deferred-update**

In the last example the transactions applied **update-in-place** to modify a database

A way how the transactions perform an update has no impact on the final outcomes, e.g. when deferred-update is applied a database maybe still corrupted (see the next example)

# Principles of transaction processing

A sample concurrent processing of database transactions when **deferred-update** is applied

		Concurrent processing of database transactions
T1	T2	x = \$100
read(x)		x = \$100
	read(x)	x = \$100
write(x, x-10)		x = \$100 log T1:\$90
	write(x, x+20)	x = \$100 log T2:\$120
	commit	x = \$120
commit		x = \$90

If a state of a bank account is \$100 then withdrawal of \$10 and deposit of \$20 cannot change a state of bank account to \$90

**Deferred-update** does not solve the problem

# Introduction to Transaction Processing

## Outline

An interesting experiment

Where is a problem ?

Principles of transaction processing

Update synchronisation

ACID properties

Protocols

[TOP](#)

Created by Janusz R. Getta, CSCI235 Database Systems, Spring 2020

13/20

# ACID properties

Processing of database transactions must satisfy **ACID** properties

## Atomicity

- Each database operation is treated as a single unit (all-or-nothing)

## Consistency

- A transaction takes a database from one consistent state to another

## Isolation

- Transactions do not directly communicate one with each other and they do not read the intermediate results of the other transactions

## Durability

- The results of committed transactions must be permanent in a database in spite of failures

# Introduction to Transaction Processing

## Outline

An interesting experiment

Where is a problem ?

Principles of transaction processing

Update synchronisation

ACID properties

Protocols

[TOP](#)

Created by Janusz R. Getta, CSCI235 Database Systems, Spring 2020

15/20

# Protocols

An **execution atomicity protocol** ensures **Consistency** property

A **failure atomicity protocol** ensures **Atomicity**, **Isolation** and **Durability** properties

A sample incorrect **execution atomicity protocol**

Concurrent processing of database transactions		
T1	T2	
		x = \$100
read(x)		x = \$100
	read(x)	x = \$100
write(x, x-10)		x = \$90
	write(x, x+20)	x = \$120
	commit	x = \$120
commit		x = \$120



# Protocols

A sample incorrect **failure atomicity protocol**

Concurrent processing of database transactions		
T1	T2	x = \$100
read(x)		x = \$100
write(x, x-10)		x = \$90
	read(x)	x = \$90
	write(x, x+20)	x = \$110
	commit	x = \$110
abort		x = \$100

If a state of a bank account is \$100 then withdrawal of \$10 and deposit of \$20 cannot change a state of bank account to \$100

# Protocols

Execution atomicity protocol = Concurrency control protocol

Failure atomicity protocol = Recovery protocol

## Lost update problem

		Concurrent processing of database transactions
T1	T2	
		x = \$100
read(x)		x = \$100
	read(x)	x = \$100
write(x, x-10)		x = \$90
	write(x, x+20)	x = \$120
	commit	x = \$120
commit		x = \$120

# Protocols

## Inconsistent retrieval problem

Concurrent processing of database transactions			
T1	T2	x	y
read(x)		100	
	read(y)		50
write(x, x-10)		90	50
	read(x)	90	50
write(y, y+20)		90	70
	print(x+y)	90	70

# References

T. Connolly, C. Begg, Database Systems, A Practical Approach to Design, Implementation, and Management, Chapter 22.1 Transaction Support, Chapter 22.2 Concurrency Control, Pearson Education Ltd, 2015