# CSCI251/CSCI851 Autumn-2020 Advanced Programming (S3d)

Getting organised IV: Debugging and profiling

## Debugging ...

- The syntax for debugging and profiling is non-examinable, the concepts are examinable.
- In some of the labs you have used the compiler to pick up problems through compilation time errors and warnings.
- That's useful but having a program compile is usually only part of the battle, we should expect there to be run time problems too.

- As part of testing the state of our program at different points, we could put output statements into our code.
- Those additional lines of code may introduce new errors though, so using an external tool is better.
- A debugger is used to step through our programs as they run, and help us pick up errors in our programs.
- Knowing how to use a debugger will likely help at some point...

#### Using gdb

- The debugger gdb is available on capa, generally on Ubuntu.
  - GNU Project Debugger.
- You need to compile a program with –g flag.
  - This pretty much just stores ties to the original.
- So for Test.cpp,

```
$ g++ -ggdb Test.cpp -o Test
```

Having compiled our test programs with the debugger information turned on we can run gdb for debugging.

```
$ gdb Test
```

- This loads the program into the debugger, ready for working on.
- To run ...

```
(qdb) run
```

If you run gdb without the command line argument you can use ...

```
(gdb) file Test
```

```
struct Test {
   string name;
                                       int main()
   int number;
                                            Test myTest;
                                           myTest.setTest("Bob", 19);
   void setTest(string, int);
                                            myTest.showTest();
   void showTest();
};
void Test::setTest(string TestName, int TestNumber) {
   name = TestName;
                                              int main()
   number = TestNumber;
                                                   Test myTest;
                                                   myTest.name="Bobby";
                                                   myTest.number=15;
                                                   myTest.showTest();
void Test::showTest() {
  cout<<"Test string " << name << endl;</pre>
  cout<<"Number for this "<< number << endl;</pre>
```

Test.cpp

We can get the debugger to step through our program, for example stopping when we get to a particular function...

```
(qdb) break showTest
                                   Or give a code line.
Breakpoint 1 at 0xdfa: file Test.cpp, line 19.
(qdb) run
Starting program: /home/lukemc/251/Test
Breakpoint 1, Test::showTest (this=0x7fffffffe900) at Test.cpp:19
cout<<"Test string " << name << endl;</pre>
(qdb) where
#0
    Test::showTest (this=0x7ffffffffe900) at Test.cpp:19
#1
    0x0000555555554ef6 in main () at Test.cpp:28
(qdb)
```

At those breakpoints we can ask for variable values using print, as in the following example for our Test.cpp executable...

```
(gdb) print name
$1 = "Bob"
(gdb) print name[0]
$2 = (__gnu_cxx::__alloc_traits<std::allocator<char> >::value_type &) @0x7fffffffe910: 66 'B'
(gdb) print &name
$3 = (std::__cxx11::string *) 0x7fffffffe900
```

### Checking memory ...

- The debugger on Banshee included built in functionality for checking memory leaks.
- Unfortunately gdb doesn't.
- It is possible to use other tools, such as valgrind, to find memory leaks.
- The tool valgrind is not on capa ⊗.
- We are going to initially use C++ code that doesn't clear dynamic memory correctly to see that valgrind can pick up leaks.
- If you have your own Ubuntu you can install valgrind using...
- \$ sudo apt install valgrind

```
#include<iostream>
                       MemTest.cpp
using namespace std;
int main()
         int *ptr = new int(3);
         cout << ptr << endl;</pre>
        // delete ptr;
```

## valgrind for Memory leaks ...

```
lukemc@laptop:~/temp$ valgrind ./a.out
==5217== Memcheck, a memory error detector
==5217== Copyright (C) 2002-2017, and GNU GPL'd, by Julian Seward et al.
==5217== Using Valgrind-3.13.0 and LibVEX; rerun with -h for copyright info
==5217== Command: ./a.out
==5217==
0x5b7dc80
==5217==
==5217== HEAP SUMMARY:
==5217==
             in use at exit: 4 bytes in 1 blocks
           total heap usage: 3 allocs, 2 frees, 73,732 bytes allocated
==5217==
==5217==
==5217== LEAK SUMMARY:
==5217==
           definitely lost: 4 bytes in 1 blocks
==5217==
            indirectly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==5217==
             possibly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==5217==
            still reachable: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==5217==
                 suppressed: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==5217== Rerun with --leak-check=full to see details of leaked memory
==5217==
==5217== For counts of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -v
==5217== ERROR SUMMARY: 0 errors from 0 contexts (suppressed: 0 from 0)
```

### Profiling ...

- Another tool that can be used to help our coding is a profiler.
- It allows us to determine how much time we are spending in different parts of our program.
- On capa we have gprof.
- We will initially look at this in the context of our Test.cpp struct test program.
- For compilation we use the flag –pg to prepare for using gprof ...

```
$ g++ -pg Test.cpp -o Test
```

- When you run that executable ...
- \$ ./Test
- Then run
- \$ gprof Test
- The output is likely initially not going to make a lot of sense.
  - Up the top there is a table with the usage of functions.
- Using the man pages in Linux can help see understand or see command options...
- \$ man command
- Let's look at a clearer illustration of using gprof.

```
void funA() {for (int x=0; x<100; x++){}};
void funB(){for (int x=0;x<1000;x++){}};
void funC() {for (int x=0; x<10000; x++){}};
int main()
        srand(time(0));
        for (int x=0; x< 1000; x++)
                 switch ( rand() % 3 ){
                         case 0: funA();
                                 break;
                         case 1: funB();
                                 break;
                         case 2: funC();
                                 break;
                         default:
                                 break;
        return 0;
```

calling.cpp

Why? Functions with different costs...

Let's look at the output from gprof ...

```
$ g++ -pg calling.cpp -o call
$ ./call; gprof call | head
```

- The semi-colon is used to chain multiple commands to one input line.
  - Here it makes it easier to repeat both commands together.
- The | is a pipe, here so only the top part of the gprof output is displayed.

```
cumulative
              self
                              self
                                      total
time
                        calls Ts/call Ts/call name
      seconds
              seconds
100.46
          0.01
                  0.01
                           325
                                 30.91
                                        30.91 funC()
         0.01
                      341 0.00
0.00
                 0.00
                                        0.00 funA()
0.00
         0.01 0.00
                          334 0.00
                                        0.00 funB()
        0.00 0.00
                                        0.00
0.00
                           1 0.00
                                             GLOBAL sub I Z4funAv
0.00
         0.00
                 0.00
                                 0.00
                                        0.00
static initialization and destruction O(int, int)
```