

The Sound of Intellect: Speech Reveals a Thoughtful Mind, Increasing a Job Candidate's
Appeal

Kamal Abdelrahman¹

¹ City University of New York - Brooklyn College

Author Note

Kamal Abdelrahman is an undergraduate at the City University of New York - Brooklyn College in Brooklyn, NY majoring in psychology with a focus in statistical programming

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Kamal Abdelrahman, Postal address. E-mail: kamalabdel97@gmail.com

Abstract

This study is an exact replication of Juliana Schroeder & Nicholas Epley's (2015) experiment of whether a potential job candidate is perceived more intelligent through text or audio. 39 Fortune 500 company recruiters rated job candidates on their intellect, a composite score of the candidate's intelligence, competence, and thoughtfulness. They hypothesized that speech communicates intelligence better than written words. This study recreated the analysis of regarding presentation of pitches and their favorability. Analysis supported that hypothesis.

Keywords: replication, R, employment, communication

Word count: X

The Sound of Intellect: Speech Reveals a Thoughtful Mind, Increasing a Job Candidate's Appeal

Methods

Participants

In this study, there were 39 participants, all professional Fortune 500 recruiters. The average of the recruiters was $M = 30.85$ ($SD = 6.24$). 10.3% of the participants are male and 76.9% are female.

Materials

Two materials were used in this analysis. The first material was the dataset used in Schroeder & Epley's study from github. The data was analyzed so that the t-test could be reproduced.

The second material was R Studio, the Integrated Development Environment (IDE) for R. The IDE was used as a platform to analyze the dataset in R.

Procedure

To recreate this analysis of the t-test, the following steps were taken. First, the data was loaded into R from Github with the `fread` function from the `data.table` library. Then, a difference

The data was retrieved from (website) and loaded into R via the `fread` function available under the `data.table` library (`fread()`). The data

Results

An independent samples t-test was conducted to examine the manipulation effects of audio and written expressions of intelligence in potential employees. Interviewees who expressed their intelligence through spoken during their interviews were rated significantly better ($M = 6.43$, $SD = 1.43$) than interviewees who wrote out their responses ($M = 4.39$, $SD = 2.17$) $t(34.02) = -2.17$, $p = .037$, $d_s = -1.13$

Discussion

Schroeder and Epley hypothesized that a person is a more appealing job candidate if they communicated with their voice as opposed to with text. Results supported this hypothesis, which stated that candidates who communicated through audio were rated significantly more desirable than candidates who did not. This analysis was consistent across all five experiments that were conducted. This is consistent with other literature that discusses

Limitations The overall findings of the study indicated that candidates who communicated through audio as opposed to written text were rated significantly more intelligent. Though, after reexamining the

Implications The study explored the effects of various communication methods in regards to a person's desirability. This has potential for various avenues to be explored within the realm of communication.

References

Table captions

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of correct recall by dosage.

Table 1

Descriptive statistics of correct recall by dosage.

CONDITION	Mean	Median	SD
0	4.65	4.67	1.91
1	6.63	6.67	1.61

Note. This table was created with
apa_table()