Machine Learning in Medicine and Biology - Homework 2

Kamal

September 2025

Implement Gradient Descent for Linear Regression (R or Python)

Beneath the questions, there is attached supplemental theory that will inform your answers. It's mostly things you've seen before but presented here for clarity. It will also define all the math terms you see in parts A and B, and tell you what the answers are.

Part A — Math (show your work) 3pts

- **A.1** Write $SSE(\beta)$, $MSE(\beta)$, and $\mathcal{L}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2n} \|X\beta y\|^2$ in both summation and matrix forms.
- **A.2** Explain in one paragraph why scaling the loss by a positive constant does not change the minimizer. Think in terms of the chain-rule. You don't have to formally derive it.
- **A.3** Look closely at the Jacobian definition. $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{n} X^{\top} (X\beta y)$ The rate of change of all of our β 's is what?
- **A.4** Show that setting the gradient $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{n} X^{\top} (X\beta y)$ to zero yields $X^{\top} X \beta = X^{\top} y$.

Part B — Implementation (R or Python) 4pts

Data. I have included the wine-quality dataset (WineQT.csv). Read it into your programming language of choice. Your y column is quality, the rest constitute your parameters X. Always add the intercept column of ones.

Steps.

- **B.1** Prepare X (add intercept); optionally standardize features.
- **B.2** Initialize β (zeros or small random).
- **B.3** For T = 2000 iterations: $\hat{y} = X\beta$; $e = \hat{y} y$; $g = \frac{1}{n}X^{\top}e$; $\beta \leftarrow \beta \alpha g$; record $\mathcal{L}_t = \frac{1}{2n} \|e\|^2$.
- **B.4** Plot \mathcal{L}_t vs. iteration for several α ; discuss convergence.
- **B.5** Compare β_{grad} to $\beta_{\text{normal}} = (X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top}y$ after your descent loop has run. Print $\|\beta_{\text{grad}} \beta_{\text{normal}}\|_2$.

$$\beta_{\text{grad}} = \beta \leftarrow \beta - \alpha \frac{1}{n} X^{\top} (X\beta - y)$$

$$\beta_{\text{normal}} = (X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top}y$$

This is comparing the analytical least squares solutions of the gradient to the gradients derived by gradient descent.

Part C — Classification Methods Comparison Exercise 3pts

For this section, all you have to do is run the attached code. It is in python. There is an attached jupyter notebook in google colab to execute. Save the colab notebook to your google drive. You have to set up a huggingface account https://huggingface.co/, navigate into the dataset https://huggingface.co/datasets/Falah/skin-cancer and click "use this dataset" button on the right. Click on your user avatar on the top-right and click "Access Tokens". Create a new token with one check-mark for read access to gated repositories. Return to your colab session and add the key you just generated to your colab secrets by clicking the key icon on the left of the screen. Give it the name huggingface. Run all the colab cells and read the contents. If you are curious, to run the ViT activate the T4 TPU in colab or pay for the A100, it's not necessary to do so for the assignment.

- **C.1** Which feature extraction method produced the best results for each classifier? Why do you think this is the case?
- **C.2** Compare the performance of Naive Bayes, Logistic Regression, and LDA. Which model performed best and under what conditions?
- **C.3** How does LDA perform on the raw pixel data compared to the other models using extracted features? Explain why this might be a poor strategy for images.
- **C.4** The ViT model is a complex deep learning model. What are the advantages and disadvantages of using it solely as a feature extractor for a simple model like Naive Bayes?
- **C.5** Summarize your findings on the relationship between feature engineering and model performance for this image classification task.

https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1s-sPmFH2JBPgv_JKXjpwlZP3bMsknA8Q?usp=sharing

Deliverables

- PDF with Part A derivations and explanations, answers for Part C.
- Code file (R or Python) and plots of the loss curve(s) for Part B.

Supplements and Notation

We observe n examples (rows) and d features (columns). For each example $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, the feature row is $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with target $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Define the design matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (d+1)}$, parameter

vector $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, and response vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1d} \\ 1 & x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \cdots & x_{nd} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_d \end{bmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Predictions for all n samples are $\hat{y} = X\beta$.

Notice, the matrix X will have all of the values collected from your samples simultaneously. These values do not change, they are fixed in space. The β values are the things that change in this formulation. This is inverted from how you think about equations normally, where x is the variable but the coefficients are constant. Now the variables are held constant by their sample values and the slopes (coefficients) associated with those variables x are changed to establish best fit.

Terminology (in words).

- Loss (denoted by the calligraphic letter \mathcal{L}): a single scalar that measures how well the coefficients β fit the data (X, y). This is calculated by subtracting the values y from the computed values \hat{y} . Squaring allows us to sum the magnitude difference, and the mean of that accounts for the number of sample points. Smaller \mathcal{L} means a better fit.
- Sum of Squared Errors (SSE): total squared discrepancy,

$$SSE(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}_i - y_i)^2 = ||X\beta - y||^2.$$

• Mean Squared Error (MSE): average squared discrepancy,

$$MSE(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}_i - y_i)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \|X\beta - y\|^2.$$

• Scaled loss (programming convenience):

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2n} \|X\beta - y\|^2$$

This has the *same* minimizer as MSE: multiplying by a positive constant does not change the arg min. When you implement this, you should see why this factor of 2 makes intuitive sense.

• Gradient: Let $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)^{\top}$. The gradient of a scalar loss $\mathcal{L}(\beta)$ with respect to β is the column of partial derivatives:

• Jacobian (def and example): For a vector-valued function $u(\beta) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the Jacobian is the matrix of all first-order partial derivatives: entry (i, j) is $\partial u_i/\partial \beta_i$.

Concrete special case n = 2, d = 1:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} \\ 1 & x_{21} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u(\beta) = X\beta - y = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 + x_{11}\beta_1 - y_1 \\ \beta_0 + x_{21}\beta_1 - y_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Jacobian is

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \beta_0} & \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \beta_1} \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \beta_0} & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \beta_1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} \\ 1 & x_{21} \end{bmatrix} = X.$$

• Chain rule (using the 2×2 template). Let $u(\beta) = X\beta - y$ and $g(u) = \frac{1}{2n}u^{\top}u$ (a scalar). Then $\mathcal{L}(\beta) = g(u(\beta))$ and

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta} = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta}\right)^{\top} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} = X^{\top} \left(\frac{1}{n}u\right) = \frac{1}{n}X^{\top}(X\beta - y).$$

This generalizes directly to any n, d.

• 1/(2n) vs. 1/n in the gradient (equivalent choices). Let $e = X\beta - y$. Different but equivalent conventions:

SSE:
$$SSE = ||e||^2$$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta} = 2X^{\top}e$
Half-SSE: $\frac{1}{2}||e||^2$ $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left(\frac{1}{2}||e||^2\right) = X^{\top}e$
MSE: $\frac{1}{n}||e||^2$ $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial MSE}{\partial \beta} = \frac{2}{n}X^{\top}e$
Scaled loss: $\frac{1}{2n}||e||^2$ $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{n}X^{\top}e$

All have the same minimizer; we use $\frac{1}{2n}$ to cancel the 2 in the derivative.

• Batch gradient descent: update, learning rate, and stopping.

$$\beta \leftarrow \beta - \alpha \cdot \frac{1}{n} X^{\top} (X\beta - y)$$
 where $\alpha > 0$ is the learning rate (step size).

- How to pick α in practice. Try a small grid (e.g., 10^{-3} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-1} , 1) and pick the largest value that decreases \mathcal{L} each step. Two principled options often used:
 - a) Backtracking line search: start with t=1; shrink $t \leftarrow \beta t$ (e.g. $\beta = 0.5$) until $\mathcal{L}(\beta t\nabla \mathcal{L}) \leq \mathcal{L}(\beta) ct \|\nabla \mathcal{L}\|^2$ (e.g. c = 0.5); then take t as the step.
 - b) Least Squares): for $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2n} ||X\beta y||^2$, the Hessian (matrix of second-order partials) is $(1/n)X^{\top}X$. A safe constant step is $0 < \alpha \le 1/L$ with $L = \lambda_{\max}((1/n)X^{\top}X)$.
- Stopping / "threshold near zero". Because noise makes \mathcal{L} rarely reach 0, stop when two conditions hold for some small tolerances, e.g.

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{L}(\beta)\|_2 \le \varepsilon_{\text{grad}}$$
 and $\frac{|\mathcal{L}_t - \mathcal{L}_{t-1}|}{\max(1, \mathcal{L}_{t-1})} \le \varepsilon_{\text{rel}}.$

Typical classroom choices: $\varepsilon_{\rm grad}=10^{-6}$ and $\varepsilon_{\rm rel}=10^{-8}$.

HOWEVER, for this homework, we are just taking a fixed number of steps.