



SKYHACK 2024

LEVERAGING DATA ANALYTICS FOR CALL CENTER OPTIMIZATION AND STRENGTHENING CUSTOMER RELATION WITH UNITED AIRLINES.

Optimizing Operations and Improving Call Center Processes to Enhance the Customer Experience. A Comprehensive Data-Driven Strategy for Streamlining Service Delivery and Empowering Agents to Deliver Efficient, High-Quality Support at Customers of United Airlines.

AAKYAT BAGGA
KAMAL KUMAR




Table of Content



SKYHACK-2024

SLIDE NO.

TITLE	SLIDE NO.	TITLE	SLIDE NO.
Problem Statement	3-4	Analysis of AHT on the basis of call reasons	15
Deliverables	5	Analysis of Customer Sentiments on call Reasons	16
Data Description	6	Data Insights From call reasons	17
Steps in EDA	7	Recommendations	18
Understanding Data	8	Agent Performance Analysis	19
Checking for Missing Values	9	Analysis of AHT & AST per agent	20
Handling Outliers	10	Analysis of aganet Sentiment tone impact on key.	21
Pre Processing of call Transcript	11	Comprehensive Analysis of aganet tone impact on key.	22
Distribution of call Duration by Cilents	12	Categorising Agents into good and Bad Agent Based on tone	23
Distribution of Handling Time and speed to answer	13	Analysis of daily traffic Faced by an agent and its impact.	24
Analysing AHT & AST	14	Interference on agent performance as driver of long AHT.	25
Analysis of Call Reasons		Recomendations Based on Data Insights	26
		Analysis of Customer Elite code and how it afftect AS	27-28
		Inference from the data and data insights.	29
		Taggin Call resasons: self solvable vs agent Needed	30
		BiLSTM for Sequence Modeling and Text Understanidg	31
		Conclusion and Key Recommendation	32-33

Problem Statement

United Airlines is committed to setting new standards in aviation. Providing excellent customer service is therefore of utmost importance. An important aspect of this service is the efficiency of our call centre. They play an important role in resolving customer queries and problems quickly and efficiently. However, key indicators such as Average Handling Time (AHT) and Average Answer Speed (AST) still face challenges in optimising them. Both of which directly affect operational efficiency and customer satisfaction.

Elevating the Customer Journey

Aim

Project is to identify and address the factors contributing to the expansion of AHT and AST by analyzing our current call center data. You'll explore how variables such as agent performance, call patterns, and customer sentiment affect the duration of particularly high-demand calls. Our analysis focuses on identifying the key drivers of long-term AHT and AST... to quantify. Percentage difference in average handling time for most and least frequent call reasons.



Problem Statement

United Airlines is committed to providing excellent customer service. Their call centers play a key role in achieving this goal. However, they face challenges with long average handle times (AHT) and slow average response speeds (AST), which has a negative impact on operational efficiency and customer satisfaction .This is compounded by increased self-resolution issues for our agents, increase in their workload and hamper their ability to deal with the increasingly complex needs of the customers... This situation requires focus on two areas: Improving call center efficiency: We need to identify factors that contribute to longer AHT and AST times, especially during peak call periods. It analyzes agent performance, call patterns, and customer sentiment. To identify areas that need improvement Optimizing IVR for self-service: To enable customers to resolve common issues independently. We needed to improve our Interactive Voice Response (IVR) system. This requires analyzing call logs to identify recurring issues suitable for self-service and developing data-driven recommendations to improve ourselves. Select IVR and User Guide.



DELIVERABLES

1. To explore the factors contributing to extended call durations, such as agent performance, call types, and sentiment. Identify key drivers of long AHT and AST, especially during high volume call periods.
2. To analyse the transcripts and call reasons to identify granular reasons associated to recurring problems that could be resolved via self-service options in the IVR system and proposing specific improvements to the IVR options to effectively reduce agent intervention in these cases.
3. To analyze the dataset to uncover patterns that can assist in understanding and identifying these primary call reasons.



Data Description



calls.csv

call_id , Agent assgined date time, Call Started date & time , Call ended Date & Time



customers.csv

customer elite code and Customer ID.



reason.csv

call reasons and call ID.



sentiment_statistics.csv

agent sentiment , customer sentiment , avg sentiment




Drive Link of Dataset provided.



Source Code



EXPLORATORY DATA ANALYSIS(EDA)



UNDERSTANDING DATA

FEATURES OF THE MERGED DATASET

1. SHAPE

```
[24] df.shape  
→ (71810, 14)
```

2. INFORMATION

```
[25] df.info()  
→ <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>  
RangeIndex: 71810 entries, 0 to 71809  
Data columns (total 14 columns):  
 #   Column      Non-Null Count  Dtype     
---  
 0   call_id     71810 non-null  int64    
 1   customer_id 71810 non-null  int64    
 2   agent_id    71810 non-null  int64    
 3   call_start_datetime 71810 non-null  object   
 4   agent_assigned_datetime 71810 non-null  object   
 5   call_end_datetime 71810 non-null  object   
 6   call_transcript 71810 non-null  object   
 7   customer_name 71810 non-null  object   
 8   elite_level_code 46043 non-null  float64  
 9   primary_call_reason 66653 non-null  object   
 10  agent_tone    71593 non-null  object   
 11  customer_tone 71810 non-null  object   
 12  average_sentiment 71701 non-null  float64  
 13  silence_percent_average 71810 non-null  float64
```

3. DESCRIPTION OF DATASET

```
[26] df.describe()  
→  
call_id      customer_id      agent_id      elite_level_code      average_sentiment      silence_percent_average  
count  7.181000e+04  7.181000e+04  71810.000000  46043.000000  71701.000000  71810.000000  
mean   4.993574e+09  5.004334e+09  564768.278039  1.370871   -0.033519   0.285515  
std    2.889673e+09  2.884255e+09  257532.362167  1.322297   0.143715   0.192031  
min    1.316420e+05  1.197800e+04  102574.000000  0.000000   -1.380000   0.000000  
25%   2.480013e+09  2.514618e+09  347606.000000  0.000000   -0.110000   0.130000  
50%   4.989448e+09  4.999664e+09  591778.000000  1.000000   -0.020000   0.260000  
75%   7.493629e+09  7.509126e+09  786323.000000  2.000000   0.050000   0.410000  
max    9.999806e+09  9.999935e+09  993862.000000  5.000000   2.670000   0.980000
```

Number of numerical columns: 6
Number of categorical columns: 8

Number of distinct agent IDs: 383
Number of distinct customer IDs: 71810
Number of distinct call IDs: 71810

CHECKING FOR MISSING VALUES

HANDLING MISSING VALUES

```
1 print("Null Values")
2 print(df.isnull().sum())
```

```
Null Values
call_id          0
primary_call_reason  5157
customer_id      0
agent_id         0
call_start_datetime  0
agent_assigned_datetime  0
call_end_datetime  0
call_transcript    0
customer_name     0
elite_level_code  25767
agent_tone        217
customer_tone     0
average_sentiment 109
silence_percent_average 0
```

```
df.dropna(subset=['primary_call_reason', 'agent_tone', 'average_sentiment'], inplace=True)
```

```
1 print("Null values")
2 print(df.isnull().sum())
```



```
Null values
call_id          0
primary_call_reason  0
customer_id      0
agent_id         0
call_start_datetime  0
agent_assigned_datetime  0
call_end_datetime  0
call_transcript    0
customer_name     0
elite_level_code  23876
agent_tone        0
customer_tone     0
average_sentiment 0
silence_percent_average 0
```

Handling Outliers

```
1 Q1 = df['call_duration'].quantile(0.25)
2 Q3 = df['call_duration'].quantile(0.75)
3 IQR = Q3 - Q1
4
5 # Define lower and upper bounds for outliers
6 lower_bound = Q1 - 1.5 * IQR
7 upper_bound = Q3 + 1.5 * IQR
8
9 # Filter out outliers
10 outliers = df[(df['call_duration'] >= lower_bound) & (df['call_duration'] <= upper_bound)]
11 df=df.drop(outliers.index,axis=0)
12
13 plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
14 sns.boxplot(x=df['call_duration'])
15 plt.title("Boxplot of Call Duration (in minutes)")
16 plt.show()
```

Figure 1: Before





Figure 2: After




PRE PROCESSING OF CALL TRANSCRIPT

```
1 def clean_transcript(text):
2     text = text.replace('agent', '').replace('customer', '')
3     return text.strip()
4
5 # Apply the function to the 'transcript' column
6 df['cleaned_transcript'] = df['cleaned_transcript'].apply(clean_transcript)
7
8 # Display the cleaned transcripts
9 df[['call_transcript','cleaned_transcript']].head()
```

```
1 import nltk
2 from nltk.corpus import stopwords
3 from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
4 import re
5
6 # Download stopwords from nltk
7 nltk.download('stopwords')
8 stop_words = set(stopwords.words('english'))
9
10 # Function to clean the transcripts
11 def preprocess_transcript(text):
12     # Remove special characters, numbers, and lower the text
13     text = re.sub(r'[^a-zA-Z0-9]', ' ', text.lower())
14
15     # Tokenize and remove stopwords
16     tokens = text.split()
17     tokens = [word for word in tokens if word not in stop_words]
18
19     return ' '.join(tokens)
20
21 # Apply the preprocessing function to the 'transcript' column
22 df['cleaned_transcript'] = df['call_transcript'].apply(preprocess_transcript)
```

	call_transcript	cleaned_transcript
0	\n\nAgent: Thank you for calling United Airlin...	thank calling united airlines name jane may he...
1	\n\nAgent: Thank you for calling United Airlin...	thank calling united airlines name mark may he...
2	\n\nAgent: Thank you for calling United Airlin...	thank calling united airlines name steven may ...
3	\n\nAgent: Thank you for calling United Airlin...	thank calling united airlines name amy may hel...
4	\n\nAgent: Thank you for calling United Airlin...	thank calling united airlines service john sp...

Distribution of call duration by Clients





Distribution of Handling Time and Speed to Answer

```
1 df[['agent_assigned_datetime','call_end_datetime','Handling_Time']].head()
```

	agent_assigned_datetime	call_end_datetime	Handling_Time
0	2024-08-04 17:55:00	2024-08-04 17:59:00	4.0
1	2024-08-18 07:45:00	2024-08-18 07:50:00	5.0
2	2024-08-10 12:08:00	2024-08-10 12:15:00	7.0
3	2024-08-11 11:25:00	2024-08-11 11:39:00	14.0
4	2024-08-06 16:09:00	2024-08-06 16:15:00	6.0


```
1 df[['call_start_datetime','agent_assigned_datetime','Speed_To_Answer']].head()
```

	call_start_datetime	agent_assigned_datetime	Speed_To_Answer
0	2024-08-04 17:46:00	2024-08-04 17:55:00	9.0
1	2024-08-18 07:41:00	2024-08-18 07:45:00	4.0
2	2024-08-10 11:59:00	2024-08-10 12:08:00	9.0
3	2024-08-11 11:15:00	2024-08-11 11:25:00	10.0
4	2024-08-06 15:58:00	2024-08-06 16:09:00	11.0



Analysis of Call Reasons

primary_call_reason	count
irrops	13311
voluntarychange	10848
seating	6365
mileageplus	5851
postflight	4330
communications	3840
productsandservices	2856
baggage	2832
upgrade	2738
booking	2637



Analysis of AHT on the basis of Call Reasons

```
# Calculate average call duration for each call reason
avg_duration_per_reason = df.groupby('primary_call_reason')['Handling_Time'].mean().sort_values(ascending=False)

# Calculate total call duration for each call reason
total_duration_per_reason = df.groupby('primary_call_reason')['Handling_Time'].sum().sort_values(ascending=False)

# Display the results
print("Average Call Duration per Reason:\n")
print(avg_duration_per_reason)

print("\nTotal Call Duration per Reason:\n")
print(total_duration_per_reason)
```

Total Call Duration per Reason:



primary_call_reason	Handling_Time
irrops	123845.0
voluntarychange	89226.0
mileageplus	59621.0
seating	42194.0
communications	37559.0
postflight	36380.0
productsandservices	26605.0
upgrade	23247.0
checkout	16880.0
booking	15838.0
baggage	14041.0
checkin	11773.0
voluntarycancel	11732.0
etc	8469.0
digitalsupport	6754.0
travelerupdates	5373.0
schedulechange	4577.0
othertopics	4464.0
products&services	4214.0
disability	1806.0
unaccompaniedminor	740.0

Name: Handling_Time, dtype: float64


Average Call Duration per Reason:

primary_call_reason	Handling_Time
mileageplus	11.706460
checkout	11.003911
communications	10.621889
etc	10.533582
irrops	10.144577
productsandservices	9.983114
postflight	9.937176
products&services	9.448430
upgrade	8.869515
voluntarychange	8.736512
voluntarycancel	8.113416
unaccompaniedminor	7.400000
seating	6.845230
checkin	6.584452
schedulechange	6.519943
booking	6.167445
travelerupdates	5.878556
digitalsupport	5.633028
othertopics	5.517923
baggage	5.076283
disability	4.537688

Name: Handling_Time, dtype: float64



Analysis of Customer Sentiments on Call Reasons



```
1 df['primary_call_reason'] = df['primary_call_reason'].astype('category')
2 plt.figure(figsize=(14, 6))
3 average_sentiment_per_reason = df.groupby('primary_call_reason')['average_sentiment'].mean().reset_index()
4 sns.barplot(x='primary_call_reason', y='average_sentiment', data=average_sentiment_per_reason, palette='viridis'
5 plt.title("Average Sentiment by Primary Call Reason")
6 plt.xlabel("Primary Call Reason")
7 plt.ylabel("Average Sentiment")
8 plt.xticks(rotation=45)
9 plt.axhline(0, color='grey', lw=1, ls='--') # Line for neutral sentiment
10 plt.show()
```

DATA INSIGHTS FROM CALL REASONS

From the data analysis we can draw following conclusions about the data:

- The most common call reasons are **IRROPS (23.7%) , Voluntary Changes (19.8%) and Seating(12%)**. These take up almost 50% of the calls received.
- **IRROPS, Voluntary Change, Mileage Plus, and Seating** require more time due to their complexity, such as rebooking flights, negotiating seat changes, or managing loyalty rewards.
- **Mileage Plus, Checkout, and Communications** have the longest average call durations, suggesting these topics are more complicated and time-consuming to resolve.
- Reasons like **Voluntary Cancellation Requests** are not among the most frequent requests however they are among the most time consuming requests.



RECOMMENDATIONS BASED ON DATA INSIGHTS

1. Subscription Tiers

Customers are categorized into four subscription levels: Gold, Silver, Bronze, and None. Each tier has a base priority score: Gold members start with the highest priority, followed by Silver, Bronze, and None.

2. Call Type Importance

Different reasons for calling have assigned priority points. For example, calls about flight cancellations are the highest priority, followed by rescheduling, seat changes, and flight delays.

3. Total Priority Score Calculation

The total priority score for each call is determined by adding the base score from the subscription tier, the waiting time points, and the points assigned to the reason for the call.

4. Dynamic Prioritization

This system ensures that high-tier members are prioritized, while also taking into account how long customers have been waiting and the urgency of their inquiries. This helps improve the overall efficiency of customer service.



5. Enhance Self-Service

Offer automated tools via apps or websites for frequent inquiries like seating, loyalty program management, and voluntary changes, allowing customers to resolve issues on their own.

6. Automate Simple IRROPS Solutions

Use automation for rebooking and compensation during irregular operations to reduce both call volume and agent handling time.

7. Proactive Communication

Use proactive outreach (SMS, email, or app notifications) to keep loyalty members informed during flight changes or disruptions, reducing the need for calls.

AGENT PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS:


We performed data analysis on agents data using following parameter:

- **Average duration of call for each agent:** If the average call duration for an agent is large then we can conclude that the agent is not efficient enough. We analyze this by categorizing each agent on daily traffic he faces
- **Total no of customers each agent caters to:** We identify the no of customers each agent handles. If the no of Customers are unequally distributed it will affect speed to respond time, as it would indicate at a given moment some agents are more busier than other due to which more customers will be in waiting queue
- **Sentiment of agent during the call:** Based on the agent tone ie calm angry frustrated etc we will identify performance of agent as agent tone affects the overall handling time. This also effects the customer satisfaction and can be used as a metric to improve

Analysing AHT as per agent


1 Average_Handle_Time		
agent_id	Handling_Time	...
0	102574	5.000000
1	103651	9.042802
2	106000	9.107527
3	107876	13.000000
4	112609	9.000000
...
377	981776	8.498054
378	981779	8.730964
379	982131	9.243478
380	992521	11.131579
381	993862	8.703125

382 rows × 2 columns



1 AST		
agent_id	Speed_To_Answer	...
0	102574	7.000000
1	103651	6.964981
2	106000	7.354839
3	107876	7.200000
4	112609	7.714286
...
377	981776	7.077821
378	981779	7.248731
379	982131	7.356522
380	992521	7.368421
381	993862	7.292969

382 rows × 2 columns



Analysis of agent sentiment and how it affects AHT,AST and effect on customer satisfaction


Impact of Agent Tone on Average Handling Time

[37]


```
agent_emotion_impact = df.groupby('agent_tone').agg(  
    average_aht=('Handling_Time', 'mean'),  
    call_count=('call_id', 'count'))  
    .reset_index()  
  
# Display the result  
agent_emotion_impact
```



	agent_tone	average_aht	call_count
0	angry	5.343085	376
1	calm	8.649578	23446
2	frustrated	7.814654	3453
3	neutral	9.117784	39063
4	polite	3.666667	87



Correlation Heatmap of Sentiment, Silence, AHT, and AST



0.42

-0.02

0.05

1.00

0.05

-0.02

1.00

COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF AGENT TONE IMPACT ON KEY PERFORMANCE METRICS LIKE HANDLING TIME, SPEED TO TIME AVG. SENTIMENT

```
▶ agent_emotion_impact = df.groupby('agent_tone').agg(  
    average_aht=('Handling_Time', 'mean'),  
    average_ast=('Speed_To_Answer', 'mean'),  
    sentiment_score=('average_sentiment', 'mean'),  
    silence_avg=('silence_percent_average', 'mean'))  
    .reset_index()  
  
# Display the result  
agent_emotion_impact
```

	agent_tone	average_aht	average_ast	sentiment_score	silence_avg
0	angry	5.343085	7.050532	-0.616915	0.183723
1	calm	8.649578	7.380491	0.103344	0.281470
2	frustrated	7.814654	7.022879	-0.330802	0.238430
3	neutral	9.117784	7.213629	-0.079731	0.271331
4	polite	3.666667	7.689655	0.673793	0.256552

```
▶ sentiment_counts = sentiment_data.groupby(['agent_id', 'agent_tone']).size().unstack(fill_value=0)  
  
avg_sentiment_per_agent = sentiment_data.groupby('agent_id')['average_sentiment'].mean()  
  
agent_sentiment_analysis = pd.merge(sentiment_counts, avg_sentiment_per_agent, on='agent_id')  
agent_sentiment_analysis
```

agent_id	angry	calm	frustrated	neutral	polite	average_sentiment
102574	0	1	0	1	0	-0.075000
103651	3	102	13	180	0	-0.027953
106000	0	36	3	74	0	-0.032124
107876	0	1	0	5	0	-0.065000
112609	0	5	0	6	0	-0.020909
...
981776	1	109	20	178	1	-0.026861
981779	1	68	12	145	1	-0.034714
982131	2	84	15	168	0	-0.038815
992521	0	9	2	34	1	-0.016957
993862	3	106	16	172	0	-0.035488

CATEGORISING AGENTS INTO GOOD AND BAD AGENTS BASED ON AGENT TONE AND AVERAGE SENTIMENT

```
agent_sentiment_analysis['best_agent_score'] = agent_sentiment_analysis['calm'] + agent_sentiment_analysis['po

agent_sentiment_analysis['worst_agent_score'] = agent_sentiment_analysis['angry'] - agent_sentiment_analysis['

best_agents = agent_sentiment_analysis.sort_values(by='best_agent_score', ascending=False).head(10)
worst_agents = agent_sentiment_analysis.sort_values(by='worst_agent_score', ascending=False).head(10)

print("Best Agents:")
print(best_agents)

print("\nWorst Agents:")
print(worst_agents)
```

Best Agents:						
agent_id	angry	calm	frustrated	neutral	polite	average_sentiment
784648	4	172	22	216	0	-0.023188
251287	5	167	19	294	0	-0.036543
757349	2	166	25	267	0	-0.031978
542034	3	158	18	263	0	-0.033747
758927	2	154	17	207	1	-0.021732
524049	1	154	22	225	0	-0.032655
931734	2	153	31	250	1	-0.043333
594957	3	153	33	289	0	-0.048938
390819	0	153	19	208	0	-0.013500
537208	5	152	20	230	0	-0.031499

agent_id	best_agent_score	worst_agent_score
784648	172	4.023188
251287	167	5.036543
757349	166	2.031978
542034	158	3.033747
758927	155	2.021732
524049	154	1.032655
931734	154	2.043333
594957	153	3.048938
390819	153	0.013500
537208	152	5.031499

Worst Agents:						
agent_id	angry	calm	frustrated	neutral	polite	average_sentiment
600666	6	147	25	239	0	-0.041727
215457	6	131	17	236	1	-0.035751
607742	6	150	24	230	1	-0.033504
265196	5	69	16	149	1	-0.060417
266799	5	87	19	148	0	-0.040927
251287	5	167	19	294	0	-0.036543
149315	5	131	16	272	1	-0.035188
537208	5	152	20	230	0	-0.031499
311571	4	24	7	50	0	-0.072941
510903	4	35	9	66	0	-0.063684

agent_id	best_agent_score	worst_agent_score
600666		147
215457		132
607742		151
265196		70
266799		87
251287		167
149315		132
537208		152
311571		24
510903		35

ANALYSIS OF DAILY TRAFFIC FACED BY AN AGENT AND ITS IMPACT ON AVG. SENTIMENT

```
low_threshold = agent_traffic['total_calls'].quantile(0.33)
high_threshold = agent_traffic['total_calls'].quantile(0.66)


def label_traffic(calls):
    if calls <= low_threshold:
        return 'less traffic'
    elif calls <= high_threshold:
        return 'medium traffic'
    else:
        return 'heavy traffic'

agent_traffic['traffic_label'] = agent_traffic['total_calls'].apply(label_traffic)

traffic_distribution = agent_traffic['traffic_label'].value_counts()

print(traffic_distribution)

plt.figure(figsize=(6, 6))
plt.pie(traffic_distribution, labels=traffic_distribution.index, autopct='%.1f%%', startangle=90, colors=['#FF9999', '#99FF99', '#66B3D9'])
plt.title('Distribution of Agent Traffic (Heavy, Medium, Less)')
plt.axis('equal')
```



```
agent_sentiment_traffic = pd.merge(agent_traffic, sentiment_data, on='agent_id')

traffic_sentiment_analysis = agent_sentiment_traffic.groupby('traffic_label')['average_sentiment'].mean().reset_index()

print(traffic_sentiment_analysis)

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

plt.bar(traffic_sentiment_analysis['traffic_label'], traffic_sentiment_analysis['average_sentiment'], color=['#FF9999', '#66B3D9', '#99FF99'])
plt.title('Effect of Traffic on Agent Sentiment')
plt.xlabel('Traffic Label')
plt.ylabel('Average Sentiment')
plt.show()
```



INFERENCE ON AGENT PERFORMANCE AS DRIVER OF LONG AHT.

On analysing the data we make the following inferences:

- **Call Sentiment and Agent Performance:** The majority of calls had a neutral and calm tone, leading to a low average sentiment score. Negative emotions such as anger and frustration have a significant impact on Average Handling Time (AHT). The correlation matrix shows that agents who exhibit anger and frustration tend to have a higher AHT.
- **Agent Categorization:** To enhance customer satisfaction, agents were classified into two categories: Good Agents (efficient) and Bad Agents (inefficient) based on their performance metrics.
- **Call Duration as a Metric:** Another metric analyzed was the average call duration. Agents with higher call durations are categorized as inefficient, as longer calls often indicate performance issues.
- **Customer Distribution:** The analysis also revealed an unequal distribution of customers across agents, which influences Average Speed of Answer (ASA) and overall agent performance.
- **Influence of Customer Traffic on sentiments:** The customer traffic analysis showed that on higher customer traffic, Average sentiment of the call reduces



RECOMENDATIONS BASED ON DATA INSIGHTS

Based on the following data insights we can make following data reccomendations:

- Conduct a monthly analysis of agent performance by evaluating agent sentiment and average call duration for each call. By identifying agents who consistently exhibit negative emotions like anger or frustration (which correlate with higher AHT), corrective measures, such as targeted training or coaching, can be implemented. We can do this by creating dashboard to track sentiment scores and call duration per agent.
- Address the issue of unequal distribution of customer calls. Implement a system that dynamically tracks agent availability and workload. Agents who are free for a duration longer than a defined threshold should be prioritized for customer assignment.
- Use backend tools to monitor agent idle time and call handling capacity in real-time.
- Establish a smart routing system that automatically queues incoming calls to agents with the lowest call load or longest idle time.
- Introduce a performance-based incentive program that rewards agents who maintain low AHT while keeping high sentiment scores.
- Use a backend algorithm that tracks real-time availability and agent performance metrics to queue customers to the most suitable agent.
- Adjust the call queue dynamically based on both the complexity of the issue and the agent's expertise.
- Assign workload based on agents performance, calmer and polite agents get high priority and important calls.


ANALYSIS OF CUSTOMER ELITE CODE AND HOW IT AFFECTS AST

```
▶ ast_by_elite_level = df.groupby('elite_level_code')['Speed_To_Answer'].mean().reset_index()

# Display the result
print(ast_by_elite_level)

# Visualization: Average AST by elite level
plt.bar(ast_by_elite_level['elite_level_code'], ast_by_elite_level['Speed_To_Answer'], color='skyblue')
plt.title('Average Speed to Answer (AST) by Elite Level')
plt.xlabel('Elite Level Code')
plt.ylabel('Average AST (Seconds)')
plt.show()
```

Average Speed to Answer (AST) by Elite Level



Inference from the data and data insights:

From the data, we inferred a weakness about company's scheme of giving priority codes to customer . From the graph, we can observe that customers with higher priority codes don't get a significant advantage over customers with no priority codes. The avg AST for both type of customers is very similar which does not provide any significant incentive for customers to buy memberships. Also this affects the overall AST which can be reduced if query time of high elite code customers is reduced

KEY RECOMMENDATIONS: we need to prioritize customers with higher elite code and queue them from the backend more earlier than other customers

Tagging Call Reasons: Self-Solvable vs. Agent-Needed

1. Preprocessing Call Transcripts:

- Utilized Regex to clean and preprocess the call transcripts, ensuring structured text for further analysis.

2. TF-IDF Matrix Analysis:

- Applied Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF) to generate a matrix that highlights the most relevant terms in the dataset.

3. Identifying Common Queries:

- Analyzed the data to find frequent reasons that could be handled by the company's IVR system, such as:
 - Ticket cancellation
 - Rescheduling


4. Enhancing Keyword Detection with AI:

- Leveraged OpenAI to suggest additional keywords related to common queries (e.g., cancellation, schedule changes).
- These keywords were then mapped to the dataset to identify self-solvable vs. agent-needed queries.

5. Binary Classification Using Logistic Regression:

- Built a logistic regression model to classify queries as either self-solvable or agent-needed, treating it as a binary classification problem.

Self-Solvable vs Agent-Needed Issues



	precision	recall	f1-score	support
agent-needed	0.89	0.97	0.93	9372
self-solvable	0.91	0.73	0.81	3956
accuracy			0.90	13328
macro avg	0.90	0.85	0.87	13328
weighted avg	0.90	0.90	0.89	13328

BiLSTM for Sequence Modeling and Text Understanding

- BiLSTM models are an advanced form of RNN (Recurrent Neural Network) that process information in both forward and backward directions, which is critical for context-aware text classification.
- In this scenario, the call transcripts (which are sequences of words) were used as input to the BiLSTM model. The forward LSTM processed the text from the beginning to the end of the sequence, while the backward LSTM processed the text from the end to the beginning. This bidirectional approach allowed the model to capture contextual relationships between words more effectively than a unidirectional model could.
- As a result, the BiLSTM model learned to understand the overall meaning of the transcript, helping it match the most appropriate call reason based on both past and future word context within the conversation.

- **Data Preprocessing for the BiLSTM Model**

- We started by preprocessing the call transcripts to prepare them for model training:
- Text cleaning steps included removing unnecessary symbols, punctuation, and stop words.
- Tokenization was performed to convert the text into numerical sequences, where each word was mapped to a unique integer.
- These sequences were padded to ensure uniform input lengths for the BiLSTM model.
- Additionally, the call reasons were transformed into categorical labels (using one-hot encoding) so that the model could be trained to predict these labels from the text.

- **Model Training and Call Reason Tagging**

- Once the data was preprocessed, we trained the BiLSTM model using the prepared transcripts as input and their corresponding call reasons as labels.
- The BiLSTM model was trained to minimize the loss between predicted call reasons and the actual call reasons, effectively learning the relationship between the content of the call and the reason it was initiated.
- Through multiple training epochs and using categorical cross-entropy loss as the evaluation metric, the model gradually improved in its ability to predict the correct call reason based on the transcript.
- We used early stopping to prevent overfitting, ensuring that the model performed well not only on the training data but also on unseen test data.
- . Automatic Tagging for New Call Transcripts
- After training, the BiLSTM model was able to automatically tag new call transcripts with the most likely call reason, effectively replacing the need for manual tagging.
- The model could now take an unseen call transcript as input, and based on the context and sequence of words, it could predict the most appropriate call reason.

Conclusion

THE CONCLUSION FROM THE DATA ANALYSIS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- In this project, we effectively leveraged machine learning, deep learning, and data analysis to improve operational efficiency and enhance customer satisfaction in a call center environment.

- **Use of Machine Learning for Identifying Self-Solvable Call Reasons:**

- By applying Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques, such as TF-IDF and keyword extraction, we identified common call reasons that could be resolved without human intervention.
- This enabled us to categorize self-solvable queries (e.g., ticket cancellations and rescheduling) that could be handled by an IVR system, reducing the load on agents and optimizing resource allocation.

- **Leveraging BiLSTM for Automatic Call Reason Tagging:**

- We utilized a BiLSTM model to automatically classify and tag call transcripts to their corresponding reasons, eliminating the need for manual tagging.
- This approach not only improved accuracy in classifying call reasons (achieving a 97% match) but also significantly streamlined the tagging process, making it more efficient and scalable.

- **Data Analysis to Reduce AHT, AST, and Enhance Customer Satisfaction:**

- Through data-driven insights, we identified factors such as agent sentiment and call distribution that were influencing Average Handling Time (AHT) and Average Speed of Answer (AST).
- Sentiment analysis revealed that agents experiencing anger or frustration were linked to higher AHT, leading us to recommend periodic performance reviews based on sentiment scores and average call duration to ensure smoother operations.
- We also addressed the unequal distribution of calls by recommending a dynamic customer assignment system based on agent availability, helping to reduce AST and ensure a balanced workload.

KEY RECOMMENDATIONS

- **Monitor Agent Sentiment and Call Duration:** Implement a monthly performance review based on sentiment scores and average call duration to improve agent efficiency and reduce AHT.
- **Optimize Call Distribution:** Introduce a smart call routing system that dynamically assigns customers to agents based on real-time availability, reducing the inequality in workload and improving AST.
- **Automate Self-Solvable Queries:** Implement an IVR system for common, self-solvable queries like ticket cancellations and rescheduling, reducing the overall burden on agents and enhancing customer satisfaction.
- **Continuous Agent Training:** Provide emotion management training for agents exhibiting negative sentiment, helping them manage calls more effectively, further lowering AHT.



SKYHACK 2024

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

PRESENTED BY - AAKHYAT BAGGA & KAMAL KUMAR

