

# CHAPTER 8

## Subprograms

# CHAPTER 9 TOPICS

- Introduction
- Fundamentals of Subprograms
- Design Issues for Subprograms
- Local Referencing Environments
- Parameter-Passing Methods
- Parameters That Are Subprogram Names
- Overloaded Subprograms
- Generic Subprograms
- Design Issues for Functions
- User-Defined Overloaded Operators
- Coroutines

# INTRODUCTION

- Two fundamental abstraction facilities
  - Process abstraction
    - Emphasized from early days
  - Data abstraction
    - Emphasized in the 1980s

# FUNDAMENTALS OF SUBPROGRAMS

- Each subprogram has a single entry point
- The calling program is suspended during execution of the called subprogram
- Control always returns to the caller when the called subprogram's execution terminates

# BASIC DEFINITIONS

- A ***subprogram definition*** describes the interface to and the actions of the subprogram abstraction
- A ***subprogram call*** is an explicit request that the subprogram be executed
- A ***subprogram header*** is the first part of the definition, including the name, the kind of subprogram, and the formal parameters
- The ***parameter profile*** (aka *signature*) of a subprogram is the number, order, and types of its parameters
- The ***protocol*** is a subprogram's parameter profile and, if it is a function, its return type

## BASIC DEFINITIONS (CONTINUED)

- Function declarations in C and C++ are often called *prototypes*
- A *subprogram declaration* provides the protocol, but not the body, of the subprogram
- A *formal parameter* is a dummy variable listed in the subprogram header and used in the subprogram
- An *actual parameter* represents a value or address used in the subprogram call statement

# ACTUAL/FORMAL PARAMETER CORRESPONDENCE

## ○ Positional

- The binding of actual parameters to formal parameters is by position: the first actual parameter is bound to the first formal parameter and so forth
- Safe and effective

## ○ Keyword

- The name of the formal parameter to which an actual parameter is to be bound is specified with the actual parameter
- Parameters can appear in any order

# FORMAL PARAMETER DEFAULT VALUES

- In certain languages (e.g., C++, Ada), formal parameters can have default values (if not actual parameter is passed)
  - In C++, default parameters must appear last because parameters are positionally associated
- C# methods can accept a variable number of parameters as long as they are of the same type



# PROCEDURES AND FUNCTIONS

- There are two categories of subprograms
  - *Procedures* are collection of statements that define parameterized computations
  - *Functions* structurally resemble procedures but are semantically modeled on mathematical functions
    - They are expected to produce no side effects
    - In practice, program functions have side effects

# DESIGN ISSUES FOR SUBPROGRAMS

- What parameter passing methods are provided?
- Are parameter types checked?
- Are local variables static or dynamic?
- Can subprogram definitions appear in other subprogram definitions?
- Can subprograms be overloaded?
- Can subprogram be generic?


# LOCAL REFERENCING ENVIRONMENTS

- Local variables can be stack-dynamic (bound to storage)
  - Advantages
    - Support for recursion
    - Storage for locals is shared among some subprograms
  - Disadvantages
    - Allocation/de-allocation, initialization time
    - Indirect addressing
    - Subprograms cannot be history sensitive
- Local variables can be static
  - More efficient (no indirection)
  - No run-time overhead
  - Cannot support recursion

# PARAMETER PASSING METHODS

- Ways in which parameters are transmitted to and/or from called subprograms
  - Pass-by-value
  - Pass-by-result
  - Pass-by-value-result
  - Pass-by-reference
  - Pass-by-name

# MODELS OF PARAMETER PASSING



# PASS-BY-VALUE (IN MODE)

- The value of the actual parameter is used to initialize the corresponding formal parameter
  - Normally implemented by copying
  - Can be implemented by transmitting an access path but not recommended (enforcing write protection is not easy)
  - When copies are used, additional storage is required
  - Storage and copy operations can be costly (large parameters)

## PASS-BY-RESULT (OUT MODE)

- When a parameter is passed by result, no value is transmitted to the subprogram; the corresponding formal parameter acts as a local variable; its value is transmitted to caller's actual parameter when control is returned to the caller
  - Require extra storage location and copy operation
- Potential problem: `sub (p1, p1) ;` whichever formal parameter is copied back will represent the current value of p1

## PASS-BY-VALUE-RESULT (INOUT MODE)

- A combination of pass-by-value and pass-by-result
- Sometimes called pass-by-copy
- Formal parameters have local storage
- Disadvantages:
  - Those of pass-by-result
  - Those of pass-by-value



# PASS-BY-REFERENCE (INOUT MODE)

- Pass an access path
- Also called pass-by-sharing
- Passing process is efficient (no copying and no duplicated storage)
- Disadvantages
  - Slower accesses (compared to pass-by-value) to formal parameters
  - Potentials for un-wanted side effects
  - Un-wanted aliases (access broadened)

# PASS-BY-NAME (INOUT MODE)

- By textual substitution
- Formals are bound to an access method at the time of the call, but actual binding to a value or address takes place at the time of a reference or assignment
- Allows flexibility in late binding

# EXERCISE

- Consider the following program written in C syntax:

```
void fun (int first, int second)
{
    first += first;
    second += second;
    }
void main()
{
    int list[2] = {1, 3};
    fun(list[0], list[1]);
}
```

For each of the following parameter-passing methods, what are the values

of the list array after execution?

- Passed by value
- Passed by reference
- Passed by value-result

# IMPLEMENTING PARAMETER-PASSING METHODS

- In most language parameter communication takes place thru the run-time stack
- Pass-by-reference are the simplest to implement; only an address is placed in the stack
- A subtle but fatal error can occur with pass-by-reference and pass-by-value-result: a formal parameter corresponding to a constant can mistakenly be changed

# PARAMETER PASSING METHODS OF MAJOR LANGUAGES

- Fortran
  - Always used the inout semantics model
  - Before Fortran 77: pass-by-reference
  - Fortran 77 and later: scalar variables are often passed by value-result
- C
  - Pass-by-value
  - Pass-by-reference is achieved by using pointers as parameters
- C++
  - A special pointer type called reference type for pass-by-reference
- Java
  - All parameters are passed are passed by value
  - Object parameters are passed by reference

# PARAMETER PASSING METHODS OF MAJOR LANGUAGES (CONTINUED)

- Ada
  - Three semantics modes of parameter transmission: `in`, `out`, `in out`; `in` is the default mode
  - Formal parameters declared `out` can be assigned but not referenced; those declared `in` can be referenced but not assigned; `in out` parameters can be referenced and assigned
- C#
  - Default method: pass-by-value
  - Pass-by-reference is specified by preceding both a formal parameter and its actual parameter with `ref`
- PHP: very similar to C#
- Perl: all actual parameters are implicitly placed in a predefined array named `@_`

# TYPE CHECKING PARAMETERS

- Considered very important for reliability
- FORTRAN 77 and original C: none
- Pascal, FORTRAN 90, Java, and Ada: it is always required
- ANSI C and C++: choice is made by the user
  - Prototypes
- Relatively new languages Perl, JavaScript, and PHP do not require type checking

# MULTIDIMENSIONAL ARRAYS AS PARAMETERS

- If a multidimensional array is passed to a subprogram and the subprogram is separately compiled, the compiler needs to know the declared size of that array to build the storage mapping function



# MULTIDIMENSIONAL ARRAYS AS PARAMETERS: C AND C++

- Programmer is required to include the declared sizes of all but the first subscript in the actual parameter
- Disallows writing flexible subprograms
- Solution: pass a pointer to the array and the sizes of the dimensions as other parameters; the user must include the storage mapping function in terms of the size parameters

# MULTIDIMENSIONAL ARRAYS AS PARAMETERS: PASCAL AND ADA

## ○ Pascal

- Not a problem; declared size is part of the array's type

## ○ Ada

- Constrained arrays - like Pascal
- Unconstrained arrays - declared size is part of the object declaration

# MULTIDIMENSIONAL ARRAYS AS PARAMETERS: FORTRAN

- Formal parameter that are arrays have a declaration after the header
  - For single-dimension arrays, the subscript is irrelevant
  - For multi-dimensional arrays, the subscripts allow the storage-mapping function

# MULTIDIMENSIONAL ARRAYS AS PARAMETERS: JAVA AND C#

- Similar to Ada
- Arrays are objects; they are all single-dimensioned, but the elements can be arrays
- Each array inherits a named constant (`length` in Java, `Length` in C#) that is set to the length of the array when the array object is created

# DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS FOR PARAMETER PASSING

- Two important considerations
  - Efficiency
  - One-way or two-way data transfer
- But the above considerations are in conflict
  - Good programming suggest limited access to variables, which means one-way whenever possible
  - But pass-by-reference is more efficient to pass structures of significant size

# PARAMETERS THAT ARE SUBPROGRAM NAMES

- It is sometimes convenient to pass subprogram names as parameters
- Issues:
  1. Are parameter types checked?
  2. What is the correct referencing environment for a subprogram that was sent as a parameter?

# PARAMETERS THAT ARE SUBPROGRAM NAMES: PARAMETER TYPE CHECKING

- C and C++: functions cannot be passed as parameters but pointers to functions can be passed; parameters can be type checked
- FORTRAN 95 type checks
- Later versions of Pascal and
- Ada does not allow subprogram parameters; a similar alternative is provided via Ada's generic facility

# PARAMETERS THAT ARE SUBPROGRAM NAMES: REFERENCING ENVIRONMENT

- *Shallow binding*: The environment of the call statement that enacts the passed subprogram
- *Deep binding*: The environment of the definition of the passed subprogram
- *Ad hoc binding*: The environment of the call statement that passed the subprogram as actual parameter.



# PARAMETERS THAT ARE SUBPROGRAM NAMES

```
function sub1 () {
```

Shallow binding, x = 4

```
  var x;
```

```
  function sub2 () {
```

Deep binding, x = 1

```
    alert(x);
```

```
  };
```

Ad hoc binding, x = 3

```
  function sub3 () {
```

```
    var x=3;
```

```
    sub4 (sub2);
```

```
  };
```

```
  function sub4 (subx) {
```

```
    var x=4;
```

```
    subx();
```

```
  };
```

```
  x=1;
```

```
  sub3();
```

```
};
```

# OVERLOADED SUBPROGRAMS

- An *overloaded subprogram* is one that has the same name as another subprogram in the same referencing environment
  - Every version of an overloaded subprogram has a unique protocol
- C++, Java, C#, and Ada include predefined overloaded subprograms
- In Ada, the return type of an overloaded function can be used to disambiguate calls (thus two overloaded functions can have the same parameters)
- Ada, Java, C++, and C# allow users to write multiple versions of subprograms with the same name

# GENERIC SUBPROGRAMS

- A *generic* or *polymorphic subprogram* takes parameters of different types on different activations
- Overloaded subprograms provide ad hoc polymorphism
- A subprogram that takes a generic parameter that is used in a type expression that describes the type of the parameters of the subprogram provides *parametric polymorphism*

# EXAMPLES OF PARAMETRIC POLYMORPHISM: C++

```
template <class Type>
Type max(Type first, Type second) {
    return first > second ? first :
    second;
}
```

- The above template can be instantiated for any type for which operator > is defined

```
int max (int first, int second) {
    return first > second? first : second;
}
```

# DESIGN ISSUES FOR FUNCTIONS

- Are side effects allowed?
  - Parameters should always be in-mode to reduce side effect (like Ada)
- What types of return values are allowed?
  - Most imperative languages restrict the return types
  - C allows any type except arrays and functions
  - C++ is like C but also allows user-defined types
  - Ada allows any type
  - Java and C# do not have functions but methods can have any type

# USER-DEFINED OVERLOADED OPERATORS

- Operators can be overloaded in Ada and C++
- An Ada example

```
Function "*" (A,B: in Vec_Type): return Integer is
    Sum: Integer := 0;
begin
    for Index in A'range loop
        Sum := Sum + A(Index) * B(Index)
    end loop
    return sum;
end "*";


...

c = a * b; -- a, b, and c are of type Vec_Type
```

# COROUTINES

- A *coroutine* is a subprogram that has multiple entries and controls them itself
- Also called *symmetric control*: caller and called coroutines are on a more equal basis
- A coroutine call is named a *resume*
- The first resume of a coroutine is to its beginning, but subsequent calls enter at the point just after the last executed statement in the coroutine
- Coroutines repeatedly resume each other, possibly forever
- Coroutines provide *quasi-concurrent execution* of program units (the coroutines); their execution is interleaved, but not overlapped


# COROUTINES ILLUSTRATED: POSSIBLE EXECUTION CONTROLS



(a)




# COROUTINES ILLUSTRATED: POSSIBLE EXECUTION CONTROLS



(b)

# COROUTINES ILLUSTRATED: POSSIBLE EXECUTION CONTROLS WITH LOOPS



# SUMMARY

- A subprogram definition describes the actions represented by the subprogram
- Subprograms can be either functions or procedures
- Local variables in subprograms can be stack-dynamic or static
- Three models of parameter passing: in mode, out mode, and inout mode
- Some languages allow operator overloading
- Subprograms can be generic
- A coroutine is a special subprogram with multiple entries