Supplement 3

Experiment 1 - Measures of central tendency

Peter Kamerman

21 June 2018

Contents

Import and inspect data	3
Clean data	3
Participant-level: Best measure of central tendency	3
Session information	10

In this experiment, there are two levels of correlated data:

- 1) Correlation at the level of the individual, with each individual tested at each stimulus intensity (the individual is the observational unit).
- 2) Correlation at each stimulus intensity within each individual, with each stimulus intensity being assessed several times in each individual (stimulus intensity is the observational unit).

This double layer of correlation creates problems for analysis. One solution is to remove the second layer of correlation (correlation at each stimulus intensity within each individual) by calculating a single summary measure of the repeated measurement at each stimulus intensity. But what is the best measure of location (central tendency) for these data?

Measures of central tendency provide information on what the 'average' value of a set of numbers is; a useful summary measure of a dataset. Some examples of measures of central tendency are:

- 1. Arithmetic mean (base::mean)
- 2. Median (stats::median)
- 3. Geometric mean (psych::geometric.mean, mathematical definition below)
- 4. Tukey trimean (user-defined::tri.mean, mathematical definition and function specification below)

Each measure has strengths and limitations. The *arithmetic mean* is best suited to symmetrical distributions, and hence asymmetrical distributions (right or left-skewed data) reduced the usefulness of the measure as a measure of central tendency. The *geometric mean* is equivalent to the *arithmetic mean*, but the additive structure is on the logarithm of the original data ¹. As such, the *geometric mean* handles skewed data better than does the *arithmetic mean*, particularly right-skewed data. The *median* is the middle element in a sorted set of numbers (the centre-point of the data), and therefore it is not influenced by extreme values. However using the *median* means that information about the distribution of the data is lost, and it can be biased in small samples. The fourth measure is the *Tukey trimean*. The *Tukey trimean* combines the median's emphasis on centre values with the midhinge's (average of the 25th and

¹Because the calculation of geometric mean requires values ≥ 0 , rating_positive data (SPARS rating + 50) were used to calculate all measures of central tendency.

75th percentiles) attention to the extremes ². As such, the distribution of the data is incorporated to some extent into the measure of central tendency.

Judging the suitability of the four measures of central tendency was done by visually inspecting modified 'Raw, Descriptive, Inference (RDI)' plots [^3]. The plots included individual data points, smoothed densities, and the four measures of central tendency, but dropping the 'inference' component.

Definition of the geometric mean

The *geometric mean* is defined as the nth root of the product of a set of numbers.

$$G_{mean} = (\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \sqrt[n]{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n}$$

Where:

- x_i = elements in a set of numbers
- n = number of elements in the set

Definition of the Tukey trimean (and function)

The Tukey trimean is defined as the weighted average of the distribution's median and its two quartiles.

$$T_{mean} = \frac{1}{2}(Q_2 + \frac{Q_1 + Q_3}{2})$$

Where:

- $Q_1 = 25^{th}$ percentile
- $Q_2 = 50^{\text{th}}$ percentile (median)
- $Q_3 = 75^{th}$ percentile

```
# Specify tri.mean function
tri.mean <- function(x) {
    # Calculate quantiles
    q1 <- quantile(x, probs = 0.25, na.rm = TRUE)[[1]]
    q2 <- median(x, na.rm = TRUE)
    q3 <- quantile(x, probs = 0.75, na.rm = TRUE)[[1]]
    # Calculate trimean
    tm <- (q2 + ((q1 + q3) / 2)) / 2
    # Convert to integer
    tm <- as.integer(round(tm))
    return(tm)
}</pre>
```

²Weisberg, H. F. (1992). Central Tendency and Variability. Sage University. ISBN 0-8039-4007-6

Import and inspect data

```
# Import
data <- read_rds('./data-cleaned/SPARS_A.rds')</pre>
# Inspect
glimpse(data)
## Observations: 1,927
## Variables: 19
## $ PID
            <chr> "ID01", "ID01", "ID01", "ID01", "ID01", "ID01...
            ## $ block
## $ block order
            <dbl> 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 1...
## $ trial number
            <dbl> 3.75, 1.50, 3.25, 1.50, 3.00, 2.75, 1.00, 2....
## $ intensity
            <chr> "3.75", "1.50", "3.25", "1.50", "3.00", "2.7...
## $ intensity_char
            <dbl> -10, -40, -10, -25, -20, -25, -40, 2, -40, -...
## $ rating
## $ rating positive
            <dbl> 40, 10, 40, 25, 30, 25, 10, 52, 10, 40, 54, ...
## $ EDA
            <dbl> 18315.239, 13904.177, 11543.449, 20542.834, ...
## $ age
            ## $ sex
## $ panas_positive
            ## $ panas_negative
            ## $ dass42 anxiety
            ## $ dass42 stress
            ## $ pcs rumination
```

Clean data

Participant-level: Best measure of central tendency

Calculate measures of central tendency

```
# Calculate participant-level centrality measures
central <- data %>%
```

Plots


```
# Divide participants into batches of 6 (for better plot layout)
pid_a <- c(paste0('ID0', 1:6))</pre>
pid_b <- c(pasteO('IDO', 7:9), 'ID10', 'ID11', 'ID12')</pre>
pid_c <- c(paste0('ID', 13:18))</pre>
# Plot first 6 participants
p 1to6 <- data %>%
  filter(PID %in% pid_a) %>%
  # Plot
  ggplot(data = .) +
  aes(x = rating positive,
      y = intensity_char) +
  geom_density_ridges2(scale = 1) +
  geom_point(position = position_nudge(y = 0.1),
             shape = 21,
             fill = '#FFFFFF') +
  geom_point(data = central[central$PID %in% pid_a, ],
             aes(x = value, fill = type),
             shape = 21,
             size = 3,
             alpha = 0.8,
             position = position_nudge(y = 0.6)) +
  scale_fill_viridis_d(name = 'Centrality measure:',
                    labels = c('Mean', 'Median',
                                'Geometric mean',
                                'Tukey trimean')) +
  scale_x_continuous(limits = c(-5, 105),
                     breaks = seq(from = 0, to = 100, by = 20),
                     expand = c(0,0) +
  labs(title = 'Participant-level density distribution of SPARS ratings at each stimulus i
       subtitle = 'White points: individual data points | Coloured points: measures of cer
       x = 'SPARS rating [0-100, positive-corrected scale]',
```

```
y = 'Stimulus intensity (J)') +
  facet_wrap(~PID, ncol = 3) +
  theme_bw() +
  theme(legend.position = 'top')
# Plot participants 7-12
p_7to12 <- data %>%
  # Filter participants 7-12
 filter(PID %in% pid b) %>%
  # Plot
  ggplot(data = .) +
  aes(x = rating positive,
      y = intensity char) +
 geom_density_ridges2(scale = 1) +
  geom_point(position = position_nudge(y = 0.1),
             shape = 21,
             fill = '#FFFFFF') +
  geom_point(data = central[central$PID %in% pid_b, ],
             aes(x = value, fill = type),
             shape = 21,
             size = 3,
             alpha = 0.8,
             position = position_nudge(y = 0.6)) +
  scale_fill_viridis_d(name = 'Centrality measure:',
                    labels = c('Mean', 'Median',
                               'Geometric mean',
                               'Tukey trimean')) +
  scale_x_continuous(limits = c(-5, 105),
                     breaks = seq(from = 0, to = 100, by = 20),
                     expand = c(0,0) +
 labs(title = 'Participant-level density distribution of SPARS ratings at each stimulus i
       subtitle = 'White points: individual data points | Coloured points: measures of cer
       x = 'SPARS rating [0-100, positive-corrected scale]',
       y = 'Stimulus intensity (J)') +
 facet_wrap(~PID, ncol = 3) +
  theme_bw() +
  theme(legend.position = 'top')
# Plot participants 13-18
p 13to18 <- data %>%
  # Filter participants 13-18
 filter(PID %in% pid_c) %>%
  # Plot
 ggplot(data = .) +
  aes(x = rating_positive,
      y = intensity char) +
 geom_density_ridges2(scale = 1) +
  geom_point(position = position_nudge(y = 0.1),
             shape = 21,
             fill = '#FFFFFF') +
  geom_point(data = central[central$PID %in% pid_c, ],
```

```
aes(x = value, fill = type),
             shape = 21,
             size = 3,
             alpha = 0.8,
             position = position_nudge(y = 0.6)) +
  scale_fill_viridis_d(name = 'Centrality measure',
                    labels = c('Mean', 'Median',
                               'Geometric mean',
                               'Tukey trimean')) +
 scale_x_continuous(limits = c(-5, 105),
                     breaks = seq(from = 0, to = 100, by = 20),
                     expand = c(0,0) +
 labs(title = 'Participant-level density distribution of SPARS ratings at each stimulus i
       subtitle = 'White points: individual data points | Coloured points: measures of cer
       x = 'SPARS rating [0-100, positive-corrected scale]',
       y = 'Stimulus intensity (J)') +
 facet_wrap(~PID, ncol = 3) +
 theme_bw() +
 theme(legend.position = 'top')
# Print plots
p_1to6
```

Participant-level density distribution of SPARS ratings at each stimulus intensity

White points: individual data points | Coloured points: measures of centrality



40

SPARS rating [0–100, positive–corrected scale]

20

60

100

80

40

20

60

80

100

p_7to12

40

20

60

80


100

3.00 -2.75 -2.50 -2.25 -2.00 -1.75 -1.50 -1.25 -1.00 -

Participant-level density distribution of SPARS ratings at each stimulus intensity

White points: individual data points | Coloured points: measures of centrality






p_13to18

Participant-level density distribution of SPARS ratings at each stimulus intensity

White points: individual data points | Coloured points: measures of centrality



Conclusion

There was significant heterogeneity in the grouping of ratings within and between individuals, with a tendency for data to be left skewed (a few very low values). The heterogeneity makes selecting a measure of centrality difficult. Of the measures of centrality, the *Tukey trimean* and *median* showed the best stability across all stimulus intensities (as expected). The *geometric mean* performed very poorly when there was a strong left skew in the data. The *arithmetic mean* was similarly affected by skewed data. When there was a strong skew, the *Tukey mean* was pulled slightly away from the *median* and towards the affected tail, but otherwise the two measures yielded similar values. Given that the *Tukey trimean* incorporates some of the information in the distribution of the data, but is not overly affected by extreme values, we believe that the *Tukey trimean* should be used for 'averaging' repeated measurements within an individual, at a given stimulus intensity.

Session information

sessionInfo()

```
## R version 3.5.0 (2018-04-23)
## Platform: x86 64-apple-darwin15.6.0 (64-bit)
## Running under: macOS High Sierra 10.13.5
##
## Matrix products: default
## BLAS: /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/3.5/Resources/lib/libRblas.0.dylib
## LAPACK: /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/3.5/Resources/lib/libRlapack.dylib
##
## locale:
## [1] en_GB.UTF-8/en_GB.UTF-8/en_GB.UTF-8/c/en_GB.UTF-8
##
## attached base packages:
## [1] stats
                 graphics grDevices utils
                                               datasets methods
                                                                    base
##
## other attached packages:
##
    [1] bindrcpp_0.2.2
                           ggridges_0.5.0
                                              forcats_0.3.0
                           dplyr 0.7.5
                                              purrr 0.2.5
    [4] stringr 1.3.1
##
    [7] readr 1.1.1
                           tidyr 0.8.1
                                              tibble 1.4.2
   [10] ggplot2_2.2.1.9000 tidyverse_1.2.1
                                              magrittr_1.5
##
## loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
##
   [1] tidyselect_0.2.4 reshape2_1.4.3
                                            haven_1.1.1
##
   [4] lattice 0.20-35
                          colorspace_1.3-2
                                            htmltools 0.3.6
   [7] viridisLite 0.3.0 yaml 2.1.19
                                            rlang 0.2.1
##
## [10] pillar 1.2.3
                          foreign 0.8-70
                                            glue 1.2.0
## [13] withr 2.1.2
                          modelr_0.1.2
                                            readxl_1.1.0
## [16] bindr_0.1.1
                          plyr_1.8.4
                                            munsell_0.4.3
## [19] gtable_0.2.0
                          cellranger_1.1.0 rvest_0.3.2
## [22] psych 1.8.4
                          evaluate 0.10.1
                                            knitr 1.20
## [25] parallel 3.5.0
                          broom_0.4.4
                                            Rcpp_0.12.17
## [28] scales 0.5.0.9000 backports 1.1.2
                                            jsonlite 1.5
## [31] mnormt 1.5-5
                                            digest 0.6.15
                          hms 0.4.2
## [34] stringi 1.2.2
                          grid_3.5.0
                                            rprojroot_1.3-2
## [37] cli_1.0.0
                          tools_3.5.0
                                            lazyeval_0.2.1
## [40] crayon 1.3.4
                          pkgconfig 2.0.1
                                            xml2 1.2.0
## [43] lubridate 1.7.4
                          assertthat 0.2.0 rmarkdown 1.9
## [46] httr_1.3.1
                                            R6_2.2.2
                          rstudioapi_0.7
                          compiler_3.5.0
## [49] nlme_3.1-137
```