

House prices

1) Implement by hand equations of derivative using LaTeX (I will use similar format in LibreOffice/ODF, sorry)

$$f_x = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h, y) - f(x, y)}{h}$$

$$f_y = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, y+h) - f(x, y)}{h}$$

why did I wrote them here?

2) Writing equations for housings:

$$dw \text{ linear} : \frac{\partial}{\partial W} [W \cdot x + b] = x$$

$$dx \text{ linear} : \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [W \cdot x + b] = W$$

$$db \text{ linear} : \frac{\partial}{\partial b} [W \cdot x + b] = 1$$

3) Cost function

We will use mean squared error cost function:

$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = L_{MSE} = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^N (h_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i)^2$$

where $h_{\theta}(x_i) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 \cdot x_i$ (is our model)

We need to minimize cost function's result.

4) Gradient descent

The goal of this is to update θ_0, b and θ_1, W to minimize cost function result.

$$\theta_0 := \theta_0 - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

$$b := b - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial b} J(b, W)$$

$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

$$W := W - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial W} J(b, W)$$

but to simultaneously update both variables we need to assign them to temporary variables first, so b doesn't affect calculation of the W.

α - is a learning rate, which defines how fast we are going to change b and W.

By intuition what is going to happen – partial derivative of the cost function will be a positive slope (will return a positive number) if the mse will on the right side of the local minima, as a result we will subtract a slope value multiplied by learning rate from W (or b). Otherwise we will add it. In ideal situation once MSE is 0 – we don't move anymore.

So let's try to figure out partial derivatives:

For θ_0 or b :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^N (h_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i)^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^N (\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i - y_i)^2 = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=0}^N (\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i - y_i) \cdot 1$$

equals to:

$$\frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=0}^N (\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i - y_i) \cdot 1 = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=0}^N (b + W \cdot x_i - y_i) \cdot 1$$

and for θ_1 or W :



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^N (h_\theta(x_i) - y_i)^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^N (\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i - y_i)^2 = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=0}^N (\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i - y_i) \cdot x_i$$

equals to:

$$\frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=0}^N (\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i - y_i) \cdot x_i = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=0}^N (b + W \cdot x_i - y_i) \cdot x_i$$

For linear model:

w=2.939305864784624 b=-3.2979591578193053 loss=1.103000695674468 learning_rate=0.0001490000000000007



For sigmoid model:

$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

We need to find a gradient descent:

$$\theta_0 := \theta_0 - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

$$b := b - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial b} J(b, W)$$

$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

$$W := W - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial W} J(b, W)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^N (h_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i)^2$$

$$\text{where } h_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\theta_0 + \theta_1 \cdot x)}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(b + W \cdot x)}}$$

$$\text{let's substitute } a = b + W \cdot x \text{ so } h_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial a} (1 + e^{-a})^{-1} = -(1 + e^{-a})^{-2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial a} (1 + e^{-a}) = -(1 + e^{-a})^{-2} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} 1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial a} e^{-a} \right) = \\ &= -(1 + e^{-a})^{-2} \cdot (0 + e^{-a} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial a} [-a]) = -(1 + e^{-a})^{-2} \cdot (e^{-a} \cdot -1) = \frac{e^{-a}}{(1 + e^{-a})^2} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{e^{-a}}{(1 + e^{-a}) \cdot (1 + e^{-a})} = \frac{1 \cdot e^{-a}}{(1 + e^{-a}) \cdot (1 + e^{-a})} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} \cdot \frac{e^{-a}}{1 + e^{-a}} = \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} \cdot \frac{e^{-a} + 1 - 1}{1 + e^{-a}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} \cdot \left(\frac{1 + e^{-a}}{1 + e^{-a}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} \right) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial b} = \frac{e^{-a}}{(1 + e^{-a})^2} \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} \right) \cdot 1$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial W} = \frac{e^{-a}}{(1 + e^{-a})^2} \cdot x = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}} \right) \cdot x$$

w=1.1346469402126882 b=-4.6482628586296135 loss=0.014018127818400896 learning_rate=0.00014900000000000007





Animated version: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4hFCo9tbU34>

It looks cool but I don't find sigmoid logical here because there is no chance that 10 floor building may cost same as 100 floor. What about cubic $b + W \cdot x^3$? Where b would shift line vertically and W would regulate its width. That was my intuition on how to fit the line. The nice part here is that loss function derivative dW, db is the same as for linear. And dx is:

$$dx_{cubic} : \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [W \cdot x^3 + b] = W \cdot 3 \cdot x^2$$

w=0.141346153846152 b=0.5163461538462171 loss=0.013832747781064567 learning_rate=0.001485999999999995



And it worked. That's much better.