## **House prices**

1) Implement by hand equations of derivative using LaTeX (I will use similar format in LibreOffice/ODF, sorry)

$$f_{x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h, y) - f(x, y)}{h}$$
 why did I wrote them here?  

$$f_{x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x, y+h) - f(x, y)}{h}$$

2) Writing equations for housings:

$$dw \, linear : \frac{\partial}{\partial W} [W \cdot x + b] = x$$

$$dx \, linear : \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [W \cdot x + b] = W$$

$$db \, linear : \frac{\partial}{\partial b} [W \cdot x + b] = 1$$

3) Cost function

We will use mean squared error cost function:

$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = L_{MSE} = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{N} (h_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i)^2$$

where  $h_{\theta}(x_i) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 \cdot x_i$  (is our model)

We need to minimize cost function's result.

4) Gradient descent

The goal of this is to update  $\theta_0$ , b and  $\theta_1$ , W to minimize cost function result.

$$\theta_0 := \theta_0 - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

$$b := b - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial h} J(b, W)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1} &:= \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1} - \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}} \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}) \\ \boldsymbol{W} &:= \boldsymbol{W} - \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{W}) \end{aligned}$$

but to simultaneously update both variables we need to assign them to temporary variables first, so b doesn't affect calculation of the W.

 $\alpha$  - is a learning rate, which defines how fast we are going to change b and W.

By intuition what is going to happen – partial derivative of the cost function will be a positive slope (will return a positive number) if the mse will on the right side of the local minima, as a result we will subtract a slope value multiplied by learning rate from W (or b). Otherwise we will add it. In ideal situation once MSE is 0 – we don't move anymore.

So let's try to figure out partial derivatives:

For  $\theta_0$  or b:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{N} (h_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i)^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{N} (\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i - y_i)^2 = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{$$

equals to:

$$\frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} (\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i - y_i) \cdot 1 = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} (b + W \cdot x_i - y_i) \cdot 1$$

and for  $\theta_1$  or W:


$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^N (h_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i)^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^N (\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i - y_i)^2 = \frac{2}{N} \sum$$


equals to:

$$\frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} (\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_i - y_i) \cdot x_i = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} (b + W \cdot x_i - y_i) \cdot x_i$$

For linear model:

w=2.939305864784624 b=-3.2979591578193053 loss=1.103000695674468 learning\_rate=0.000149000000000007





For sigmoid model:

$$\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$$

We need to find a gradient descent:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \colon= \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 - \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)$$

$$b := b - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial b} J(b, W)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1} \!:=\! \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1} \!-\! \boldsymbol{\alpha} \!\cdot\! \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}} \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}, \!\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1})$$

$$W := W - \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial W} J(b, W)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{N} (h_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i)^2$$
where  $h_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\theta_0 + \theta_1 \cdot x)}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(b + W \cdot x)}}$ 
let's substitute  $a = b + W \cdot x$  so  $h_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}}$ 

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} (1+e^{-a})^{-1} = -(1+e^{-a})^{-2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial a} (1+e^{-a}) = -(1+e^{-a})^{-2} \cdot (\frac{\partial}{\partial a} 1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial a} e^{-a}) =$$

$$= -(1+e^{-a})^{-2} \cdot (0+e^{-a} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial a} [-a]) = -(1+e^{-a})^{-2} \cdot (e^{-a} \cdot -1) = \frac{e^{-a}}{(1+e^{-a})^2} =$$


$$= \frac{e^{-a}}{(1+e^{-a}) \cdot (1+e^{-a})} = \frac{1 \cdot e^{-a}}{(1+e^{-a}) \cdot (1+e^{-a})} = \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} \cdot \frac{e^{-a}}{1+e^{-a}} =$$


$$= \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} \cdot \frac{e^{-a} + 1 - 1}{1+e^{-a}} = \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} \cdot (\frac{1+e^{-a}}{1+e^{-a}} - \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}}) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}})$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial b} = \frac{e^{-a}}{(1+e^{-a})^2} \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}}) \cdot 1$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \cdot \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial b} = \frac{e^{-a}}{(1+e^{-a})^2} \cdot x = \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}}) \cdot x$$


w=1.1346432286934436 b=-4.648250084920094 loss=0.014018803935005443 learning\_rate=0.000149000000000007






I don't find sigmoid logical here because there is no chance that 10 floor building may cost same as 100 floor. What about cubic  $b+W\cdot x^3$ ? Where b would shift line vertically and W would regulate its width. That was my intuition on how to fit the line. The nice part here is that loss function derivative dW, db is the same as for linear. And dx is:

$$dx \, cubic : \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [W \cdot x^3 + b] = W \cdot 3 \cdot x^2$$





And it worked. That's much better.