

pykitPIV: Rich and reproducible virtual training of machine learning algorithms in velocimetry

Kamila Zdybał^{*a}, Claudio Mucignat^a, Stefan Kunz^a and Ivan Lunati^a

^aLaboratory for Computational Engineering, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Empa, Dübendorf, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

particle image velocimetry; flow estimation; convolutional neural networks; machine learning; reinforcement learning; Python

ABSTRACT

Machine learning (ML) is permeating scientific and engineering disciplines, and experimental fluid dynamics is no exception. Here, we describe `pykitPIV`, a Python library that provides rich and reproducible virtual environments for training ML algorithms in velocimetry. The generated synthetic datasets and environments mimic those coming from particle image velocimetry (PIV) and background-oriented Schlieren (BOS) experimental techniques in fluid dynamics. The library integrates with various ML algorithms, such as convolutional neural networks, variational approaches, active learning, and reinforcement learning. This library gives the user, or the ML agent, flexibility in selecting various parameters that would normally be available in an experimental setting, such as seeding density, properties of the laser plane, camera exposure, particle loss, or experimental noise. In that sense, `pykitPIV` can act as a “virtual wind tunnel”, providing a playground for training and testing ML models. We also provide an atlas of challenging synthetic velocity fields from analytic formulations. The effects of particle drift and diffusion in stationary isotropic turbulence can also be added atop the velocity fields using the simplified Langevin model. The richness of experimental conditions and reproducibility of training data generation can help advance the growing development of ML applications in experimental fluid dynamics. With `pykitPIV`, ML agents have the freedom to interact with the virtual experiment, can assimilate data from real experiment, and can be trained to perform a variety of tasks using diverse custom-built sensory cues and rewards. Our goal is to support the current trends in the velocimetry community for faster and more accurate real-time experimental inference, moving the field towards autonomous experimentation and building robust models from experimental data.

1. Motivation and significance

The last decade has seen advances in training convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for optical flow estimation, *i.e.*, predicting motion information from recorded image frames separated by a short time interval. To date, numerous network architectures have been developed that are highly specialized for this application. These include various implementations of FlowNets [1–3], the spatial pyramid network (SPyNet) [4], the pyramid, warping, and cost-volume network (PWC-Net) [5], and, more recently, the recurrent all-pairs field transforms (RAFT) [6]. In addition, the introduction of iterative residual refinement (IRR) [7] allowed for a significant reduction in the number of trainable parameters thanks to weight sharing at several levels of successively upscaled image resolution.


Experimental fluid dynamics can especially profit from those architectures. Specifically, particle image velocimetry (PIV) and background-oriented Schlieren (BOS) are experimental techniques used to visualize flow patterns with high precision. Their main goal is to predict flow targets, such as displacement fields, velocity components, or vorticity, either from paired snapshots of illuminated tracer particles injected into the flow (in PIV) or from recorded deformations of the dotted background image (in BOS). Recently, RAFT-PIV [8] and lightweight image-matching architecture (LIMA) [9] were proposed as versions of CNNs that are further optimized for inference from velocimetry experiments.

The successes of RAFT-PIV and LIMA have been demonstrated on a number of classic experimental fluid dynamics settings such as flow behind a cylinder, boundary layer flow, or convective flow of a hot air plume [10]. The advancements to these architectures are continually being made in the context of PIV [11–15] in order to replace and outperform state-of-the-art PIV post-processing in the future.

The advances made in optical flow architectures open up new avenues of research and allow for new machine learning (ML) use-cases to emerge that have not been possible before. In other words, CNNs can become a gateway to various other ML algorithms. Currently, the main precedence that motivates the need for those networks is that they can be trained on GPUs within the matter of hours and then ported to laboratory hardware to make flow predictions in real-time, parallel to experimental measurements. As a result, CNNs can become an image-processing workhorse behind many other machine learning (ML) algorithms such as variational approaches (VA), active learning (AL), or reinforcement learning (RL), allowing the experimental community to explore many new research avenues, such as autonomous experimentation, building models from experimental data, and enhanced flow control. Another interesting application of CNNs is for learning location of particles for particle tracking velocimetry [16] or exploring unsupervised training of CNNs that does not require flow targets [17].

To advance the development and performance of ML algorithms for complex experimental applications, a number of research questions will have to be addressed in the future:

1. How rich should the training dataset be for a given

 kamila.zdybal@gmail.com (K. Zdybał*)
ORCID(s):

experimental setting?

2. Are there extreme image generation settings at which the current CNNs would fail?
3. Can we generate new training data samples to accomplish transfer learning, *i.e.*, to make a trained ML model applicable in the next experimental setting?
4. Can we extend CNN's range of applicability within a single experimental setting with active learning?
5. How do we generate synthetic datasets whose distribution belongs to the distribution of the given experimental setting?
6. As ML for PIV post-processing becomes widely used, how do we make sure that training-data generation is reproducible and can be easily shared between research groups?

This has paved the way towards autonomous experimentation,

To help researchers answer those questions, in this paper, we present `pykitPIV` (**P**ython **k**inematic training for **PIV**), a Python library for synthetic PIV image generation that allows to create rich and challenging experimental scenarios. The library generates paired image intensities, I_1 and I_2 , separated by Δt in time, and the corresponding displacement fields, $ds = [dx, dy]$ that have per-pixel resolution by construction. `pykitPIV` exploits the kinematic relationship between two consecutive PIV image frames [18]. Given any velocity field, tracer particles are advected from one time frame to the next using a second-order accurate numerical scheme. `pykitPIV` thus provides experiment-like datasets and environments and the associated post-processing targets (*e.g.*, ds) which establish the ground truth for ML algorithms. This is in contrast to raw experimental data which lacks the ground truth. Synthetic datasets are scarce and often do not exploit challenging flow scenarios. `pykitPIV` addresses this gap and allows for rich experimental conditions to be generated and presented to ML algorithms as batches of data. ML algorithms for PIV are often trained using synthetic images generated with open-source realistic flowfields, *e.g.*, taken from the John Hopkins Turbulence Database (JHTD) [19]. While the JHTD database is openly available, the synthetic image generation is often performed by different groups using in-house codes. We have hopes that providing `pykitPIV` as an open-source Python library, researchers can easily share their image generation workflows, making the training of ML algorithms fully reproducible.

2. Software description

2.1. Software architecture

All functionalities of `pykitPIV` are organized in five classes: `Particle`, `FlowField`, `Motion`, `Image`, and `Postprocess`, each achieving its own role in generating synthetic image pairs and the corresponding flow targets. Fig. 1 illustrates the hierarchy of using `pykitPIV` classes and briefly describes what can be achieved with each

class. The user selects the number of image pairs to generate (batch size) and their dimensions (height and width). At each stage of image generation, the user can fix random seeds to assure that data generation is reproducible. Image properties are Monte-Carlo-generated and individual images within a training batch can span a range of conditions. Beyond the five main classes, we provide a dedicated ML module, `pykitPIV.ml`, which contains wrappers and integrations to various ML algorithms.

2.2. Software functionalities

Synthetic image generation can only be a crude approximation of the real experimental flow conditions. For this reason, our goal in creating `pykitPIV` is to capture various complexities of the real-world experimental settings.

The `Particle` class seeds the two-dimensional flow domain with tracer particles. The user can steer the range of particle diameters and their standard deviation, the seeding density, or the average distances between particles. The initial particle positions are those appearing on snapshots I_1 .

The `FlowField` class allows to generate the velocity field to be applied on the two-dimensional domain. We implemented several methods to generate velocity fields, such as random smooth field, checkered field, Chebyshev polynomial field, or spherical harmonics field. Those are illustratively visualized in Fig. 2a-d. This variety of velocity fields span cases with smooth and sharp velocity gradients and can help put machine learning algorithms to test. The user also has the option of uploading an external velocity field, *e.g.*, coming from a numerical simulation of Navier-Stokes equations (*cf.* Fig. 2e), or coming from a synthetic turbulence generator (*cf.* Fig. 2f) [20, 21].

The `Motion` class applies the flow field to the particles. It uses a forward Euler or the Runge-Kutta 4th order numeric scheme to advect particles by a user-specified time separation, Δt . Velocity components in-between the grid points are interpolated with a regular grid interpolation. The main output of this class are particle positions that will appear on snapshots I_2 , each paired with a respective snapshot I_1 .

The `Image` class generates image intensities. It adds the reflected laser light to the generated PIV image pairs. The core functionality is to add a Gaussian intensity to each particle [22, 23]. The user has a lot of flexibility in setting up the laser plane and camera properties. The user can also steer the amount of particles lost between frame I_1 and I_2 due to out-of-plane movement.

The PIV image pair tensor has shape $(N, 2, H, W)$, where N is the batch size, H is image height and W is image width. The second dimension can be thought of as the number of channels and those correspond to I_1 and I_2 , respectively. This is compatible with tensor shape accepted by convolutional layers implemented in PyTorch (`torch.nn.Conv2d`). Note that the whole batch of N images can be generated all at once or can be optimized for memory and generated in batches. The `Image` class contains convenient functions for saving images to `.h5` files and for plotting or animat-




Figure 1: Order of using the five main `pykitPIV` classes that act as a virtual experimental setup with which the ML module, `pykitPIV.ml`, interacts. At each stage of synthetic image generation, the user, or the ML agent, has freedom in selecting various parameters that would normally be available in an experimental setting such as the seeding density, properties of the laser plane, camera exposure, particle loss, or experimental noise. The various ML components can also communicate and enrich each other.

ing image pairs. `pykitPIV` uses sequential colormaps by Crameri et al. [24].

The `Postprocess` class contains functions that apply transformations to generated images. It can be especially useful for data augmentation, where the training dataset is extended with images with various levels of noise or illumination levels.

3. Illustrative examples

3.1. Porting with convolutional neural networks

Kamila: Here we can describe what can be achieved in terms of training a CNN.

Thanks to its targeted architecture and parameters, LIMA achieves high accuracy and per-pixel spatial resolution. Our group already uses LIMA in real-time for 15Hz PIV, but further improvements to inference speed are needed for higher image acquisition frequencies.

3.2. Reinforcement learning environments

Kamila: Here we can describe what can be achieved in terms of training an RL agent, e.g. in the context of

autonomous experimentation. Maybe the agent will learn to augment the dataset in real time to account for changing experimental settings.

We import `pykitPIV`'s classes and specify the global parameters:

```
1 from pykitPIV import Particle, FlowField, Motion, Image
2
3 n_images = 100
4 image_size = (256, 256)
5 size_buffer = 10
6 random_seed = 100
```

We instantiate an object of the `Particle` class:

```
1 particles = Particle(n_images,
2                      size=image_size,
3                      size_buffer=size_buffer,
4                      diameters=(4, 4.1),
5                      distances=(1, 2),
6                      densities=(0.05, 0.1),
7                      diameter_std=0.2,
8                      seeding_mode='random',
9                      random_seed=random_seed)
```

4. Impact

The kinematic training methodology [18], which is the core concept behind generating PIV image pairs with `pykitPIV`, has been used to train CNNs in optical flow estimation [9,




Figure 2: The types of two-dimensional velocity fields that can be generated with the `FlowField` class. The user also has the option to upload an external velocity field, *e.g.*, coming from synthetic turbulence.

10, 18]. The approach proved successful, which suggests that for small enough Δt , learning the kinematic relationship between two consecutive PIV snapshots, as opposed to knowing the full dynamic relationship, is sufficient to train CNNs.

[25]

To date, we have been able to identify four openly-available synthetic image generation (SIG) packages: one written in the ANSI C language coming from the EUROPIV project [26], two written in MATLAB [27, 28], and third package, implemented in both MATLAB and Python, with a limited scope in order to specifically track defocusing and tackle astigmatic PIV. These existing SIG implementations make integrating with Python interfaces more difficult. With the emerging ML applications, a package that readily ports to libraries such as PyTorch [29, 30], TensorFlow [31], or Keras [32] is the ideal solution. Our library allows for easier porting with ML algorithms. This not only allows to generate training data for ML in a single Python workflow, but also allows the ML algorithm to interact with the image generation process. Specifically, the high flexibility in the types of created images can port very well with variational approaches (VA) or with reinforcement learning (RL) algorithms, where an agent may learn to augment the training dataset in real-time to account for changes in experimental settings. Within the tutorials provided with the software, we delineate interesting examples for each of these ML applications.

Finally, the powerful novelty of this package, as compared to previous MATLAB-based packages, is the introduction of new adjustable parameters that mimic various aspects of the experimental settings.

Notably, our library can integrate with the post-processing Python software developed in [33].

5. Conclusions

We plan a continued development of this library.

Future application can also include the use variational approaches to inform training data collection, or train a RL agent to construct necessary support data in new environments.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Author contributions

Acknowledgments

References

- [1] A. Dosovitskiy, P. Fischer, E. Ilg, P. Hausser, C. Hazirbas, V. Golkov, P. Van Der Smagt, D. Cremers, T. Brox, FlowNet: Learning optical flow with convolutional networks, in: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision, 2015, pp. 2758–2766.
- [2] E. Ilg, N. Mayer, T. Saikia, M. Keuper, A. Dosovitskiy, T. Brox, FlowNet 2.0: Evolution of optical flow estimation with deep networks, in: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2017, pp. 2462–2470.
- [3] T.-W. Hui, X. Tang, C. C. Loy, LiteflowNet: A lightweight convolutional neural network for optical flow estimation, in: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2018, pp. 8981–8989.
- [4] A. Ranjan, M. J. Black, Optical flow estimation using a spatial pyramid network, in: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2017, pp. 4161–4170.
- [5] D. Sun, X. Yang, M.-Y. Liu, J. Kautz, PWC-Net: CNNs for optical flow using pyramid, warping, and cost volume, in: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2018, pp. 8934–8943.
- [6] Z. Teed, J. Deng, Raft: Recurrent all-pairs field transforms for optical flow, in: Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part II 16, Springer, 2020, pp. 402–419.
- [7] J. Hur, S. Roth, Iterative residual refinement for joint optical flow and occlusion estimation, in: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2019, pp. 5754–5763.
- [8] C. Lagemann, K. Lagemann, S. Mukherjee, W. Schröder, Deep recurrent optical flow learning for particle image velocimetry data, *Nature Machine Intelligence* 3 (7) (2021) 641–651.
- [9] L. Manickathan, C. Mucignat, I. Lunati, A lightweight neural network designed for fluid velocimetry, *Experiments in Fluids* 64 (10) (2023) 161.
- [10] C. Mucignat, L. Manickathan, J. Shah, T. Rösger, I. Lunati, A lightweight convolutional neural network to reconstruct deformation in bos recordings, *Experiments in Fluids* 64 (4) (2023) 72.
- [11] C. Yu, X. Bi, Y. Fan, Y. Han, Y. Kuai, LightPIVNet: An effective convolutional neural network for particle image velocimetry, *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 70 (2021) 1–15.
- [12] Y. Fan, C. Guo, Y. Han, W. Qiao, P. Xu, Y. Kuai, Deep-learning-based image preprocessing for particle image velocimetry, *Applied Ocean Research* 130 (2023) 103406.
- [13] J. S. Choi, E. S. Kim, J. H. Seong, Deep learning-based spatial refinement method for robust high-resolution pIV analysis, *Experiments in Fluids* 64 (3) (2023) 45.
- [14] L. Shan, L. Xiaoying, J. Xiong, B. Hong, J. Jian, M. Kong, A lightweight optical flow model for particle image velocimetry, *Flow Measurement and Instrumentation* (2024) 102762.

- [15] M. Elrefaie, S. Hüttig, M. Gladkova, T. Gericke, D. Cremers, C. Breitsamter, On-site aerodynamics using stereoscopic PIV and deep optical flow learning, *Experiments in Fluids* 65 (12) (2024) 1–20.
- [16] P. Godbersen, D. Schanz, A. Schröder, Peak-CNN: improved particle image localization using single-stage cnns, *Experiments in Fluids* 65 (10) (2024) 153.
- [17] C. Lagemann, K. Lagemann, S. Mukherjee, W. Schröder, Challenges of deep unsupervised optical flow estimation for particle-image velocimetry data, *Experiments in Fluids* 65 (3) (2024) 30.
- [18] L. Manickathan, C. Mucignat, I. Lunati, Kinematic training of convolutional neural networks for particle image velocimetry, *Measurement Science and Technology* 33 (12) (2022) 124006.
- [19] E. Perlman, R. Burns, Y. Li, C. Meneveau, Data exploration of turbulence simulations using a database cluster, in: *Proceedings of the 2007 ACM/IEEE Conference on Supercomputing*, 2007, pp. 1–11.
- [20] T. Saad, D. Cline, R. Stoll, J. C. Sutherland, Scalable tools for generating synthetic isotropic turbulence with arbitrary spectra, *AIAA journal* 55 (1) (2017) 327–331.
- [21] A. Richards, T. Saad, J. C. Sutherland, A fast turbulence generator using graphics processing units, in: *2018 Fluid Dynamics Conference*, 2018, p. 3559.
- [22] M. Olsen, R. Adrian, Out-of-focus effects on particle image visibility and correlation in microscopic particle image velocimetry, *Experiments in fluids* 29 (Suppl 1) (2000) S166–S174.
- [23] J. Rabault, J. Kolaas, A. Jensen, Performing particle image velocimetry using artificial neural networks: A proof-of-concept, *Measurement Science and Technology* 28 (12) (2017) 125301.
- [24] F. Cramer, G. E. Shephard, P. J. Heron, The misuse of colour in science communication, *Nature communications* 11 (1) (2020) 5444.
- [25] C. Mucignat, B. Roth, I. Lunati, A respiratory simulator for the study of pathogen transmission in indoor environments, *Indoor Air* 2024 (1) (2024) 8368202.
- [26] B. Lecordier, J. Westerweel, The EUROPIV synthetic image generator (SIG), in: *Particle Image Velocimetry: Recent Improvements: Proceedings of the EUROPIV 2 Workshop held in Zaragoza, Spain, March 31–April 1, 2003*, Springer, 2004, pp. 145–161.
- [27] H. Ben-Gida, R. Gurka, A. Liberzon, OpenPIV-MATLAB—an open-source software for particle image velocimetry; test case: Birds’ aerodynamics, *SoftwareX* 12 (2020) 100585.
- [28] L. Mendes, A. Bernardino, R. M. Ferreira, piv-image-generator: An image generating software package for planar piv and optical flow benchmarking, *SoftwareX* 12 (2020) 100537.
- [29] A. Paszke, S. Gross, S. Chintala, G. Chanan, E. Yang, Z. DeVito, Z. Lin, A. Desmaison, L. Antiga, A. Lerer, Automatic differentiation in pytorch (2017).
- [30] A. Paszke, S. Gross, F. Massa, A. Lerer, J. Bradbury, G. Chanan, T. Killeen, Z. Lin, N. Gimeshein, L. Antiga, et al., PyTorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library, *Advances in neural information processing systems* 32 (2019).
- [31] M. Abadi, A. Agarwal, P. Barham, E. Brevdo, Z. Chen, C. Citro, G. S. Corrado, A. Davis, J. Dean, M. Devin, S. Ghemawat, I. Goodfellow, A. Harp, G. Irving, M. Isard, Y. Jia, R. Jozefowicz, L. Kaiser, M. Kudlur, J. Levenberg, D. Mané, R. Monga, S. Moore, D. Murray, C. Olah, M. Schuster, J. Shlens, B. Steiner, I. Sutskever, K. Talwar, P. Tucker, V. Vanhoucke, V. Vasudevan, F. Viégas, O. Vinyals, P. Warden, M. Wattenberg, M. Wicke, Y. Yu, X. Zheng, TensorFlow: Large-scale machine learning on heterogeneous systems, software available from tensorflow.org (2015).
URL <https://www.tensorflow.org/>
- [32] F. Chollet, et al., Keras, <https://keras.io> (2015).
- [33] J. Aguilar-Cabello, L. Parras, C. del Pino, DPIVSoft-OpenCL: A multicore CPU–GPU accelerated open-source code for 2D Particle Image Velocimetry, *SoftwareX* 20 (2022) 101256.