

the assumption that (a) is reduced and hence establishes (1).
 For (2) let $P_i, P_j \subseteq Q$ a prime ideal then $\text{Rad } P_i, \text{Rad } P_j \subseteq Q$.
 But since R is a Prüfer domain R_Q is a valuation domain and
 so $\text{Rad } P_i \subseteq \text{Rad } P_j$ or $\text{Rad } P_j \subseteq \text{Rad } P_i$, this contradicts (1)
 and hence establishes (2). Now let

$$A = P_1' \cap P_2' \cap \dots \cap P_m' \quad \text{---(b)}$$

be another primary decomposition of A and since every primary
 decomposition can be reduced, suppose that (b) is reduced and

Let $\text{Rad } P_j = Q_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$)

We note that the above claim holds for (b) as well and that

$$(P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_n) R_{Q_i} = (P_1' \cap P_2' \cap \dots \cap P_m') R_{Q_i}, (i=1, \dots, n)$$

can be written as

$$P_1' R_{Q_i} \cap P_2' R_{Q_i} \cap \dots \cap P_m' R_{Q_i} = P_1' R_{Q_i} \cap \dots \cap P_m' R_{Q_i} \quad \text{---(c)}$$

(cf [9] p 34)

In view of the above claim there exists only one primary
 ideal $P_i \subseteq Q_i$ in the decomposition (a) and so (c) can be

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^n P_k R_{Q_i} = P_1' R_{Q_i} \cap P_2' R_{Q_i} \cap \dots \cap P_m' R_{Q_i} \quad \text{---(d)}$$

Now on the right hand side of (d), no two of P_j' are in

Q_i and since the left hand side is a proper ideal of R_{Q_i} there
 must at least one of P_j' be contained in Q_i and thus

$$P_i R_{Q_i} = P_j' R_{Q_i}, \text{ but since } P_i \text{ is } Q_i\text{-primary and } R \text{ is a}$$

Prüfer domain (cf [28])

$$P_i = P_i R_{Q_i} \cap R = P_j' R_{Q_i} \cap R \quad \text{---(e)}$$

we have $P_j \subseteq P_i$

Similarly considering

$$(P_1' \cap P_2' \cap \dots \cap P_m') R_{Q_j} = (P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_n) R_{Q_j}$$

where $Q_j = \text{Rad } P_j$, we find that there exists some primary

ideal P_k in the decomposition (a) such that

$$P_k \subseteq P_j \quad \text{---(f)}$$