

Proposition 14. If R is a GUD and x is an indeterminate

R is an HCF domain then so is $R[x]$. Hence follows the
 then $R[x]$ is a GKD ([9] p. 517) and it is well known that if
 further if R is a GKD and x is an indeterminate over R
 set in R then R_S is a GUD.

Proposition 13. If R is a GUD and S is a multiplicative

can prove the
 then R_S is an HCF domain and so using the above theorem we
 Lemma 9, if R is an HCF domain and S in R is multiplicative
 cative set in R then R_S is a GKD (cf [9] p 513). Further by
 It is well known that if R is a GKD and S is a multipli-
 cation is obviously unique.

P_2, \dots, P_r we conclude that $x = q_1 q_2 \dots q_r$ and this factori-
 $q_1 = (q_1^n, x)$ divides x completely. Similarly proceeding for
 there is an n such that $q_1^n | x$. Now by the HCF property
 then R being a GKD, is completely integrally closed and so
 Suppose that q_1 does not divide x completely (cf Def. 2),
 that there exists a prime quantum q_1 in P such that $q_1 | x$.
 prime ideals containing x . We have shown that $x \in P_1$ implies
 Let $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r\}$ be the set of all non zero minimal

may be achieved as follows:
 we can write a non zero non unit x in an HCF-GKD R . This end
 Remark 3. The above proof does not demonstrate as to how
 valent to say that R is a GUD.

associated to a prime quantum which by Proposition 7 is equi-
 an HCF-GKD every prime ideal contains a minimal prime ideal
 contains a minimal prime ideal and so we have proved that in
 It is well known that in a GKD every non zero prime ideal
 process.

$P = q^q$, where q^q is the prime quantum emerging from the above
 P, P_1, \dots, P_m and so by the above process we can show that