

(1) q_i are prime quanta ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and (2) q_i, q_j are

assumption that we can write $x = q_1 q_2 \dots q_n$, where

The proof of Proposition 2, depends heavily on the

as the product of a finite number of distinct prime quanta.

if every non zero non unit element x in R can be expressed

Generalized Unique Factorization Domain (GUFD for short)

Definition 5. An integral domain R will be called a

of p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n .

each q_i is an associate of some p_i for a suitable permutation

and repeating the above procedure we conclude that $n = m$ and

$$q_1 q_2 \dots q_n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_{t-1} p_{t+1} \dots p_m$$

Now we are left with

And combining the two results confirms the claim.

sing the process, that is taking $p_i | q_1 q_2 \dots q_n$, we get $p_i | q_1$.

We claim that q_i and p_i are associates, because rever-

exists a unique p_i such that $q_i | p_i$.

q_i is similar to at least one of the p_i . That is there

while from the definition of a prime quantum it follows that

relation, q_i can be similar to ~~only one~~ of the p_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$)

and similarity between prime quanta being an equivalence

$$q_i | p_1 p_2 \dots p_m$$

Since q_i is a factor of the L.H.S.

$$q_i q_2 \dots q_n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_m$$

Now $i \neq j$.

$x = p_1 p_2 \dots p_m$; p_j prime quanta, p_i, p_j dissimilar if

Suppose that x can also be written as

$$x = q_1 q_2 \dots q_n, \quad q_i, q_j \text{ dissimilar if } i \neq j$$

real domain R and let x be a product of prime quanta q_i i.e.

Proof. Let x be a non zero non unit element in an integ-

associates.

the permutation of distinct prime quanta and up to their