

$(x_1, y_1) = 1$ and obviously x_1, y_1 are both non units. We note that $x_1 | x$ and $x | z = rs$, therefore $x_1 | rs$, and by the HCF property of R ,

(c)----- $x = x_1 x''$ where $x' | r$ and $x'' | s$

Similarly $y = y_1 y''$, where $y' | r$ and $y'' | s$ ----- (d)

Further $y' | y_1, x' | x_1$ and $(x_1, y_1) = 1$ implies that $(x', y') = 1$. But since r is a rigid element $x' | y'$ or $y' | x'$ which is

possible only if one of x', y' is a unit ----- (e). Similarly we conclude that either of x'', y'' is a unit ----- (f).

Let x' be a unit, then since x_1 is a non unit and

$x = x_1 x''$, x'' is a non unit and is an associate of x_1 but

then y'' is a unit (by (f)). Again since y_1 is a non unit y'

is a unit and so we conclude that

$y' | r$ where y' is an associate of y_1 and

$x'' | s$ where x'' is an associate of x_1 .

I.e. there exist two co-prime elements x_1, y_1 such that $y_1 | r$

and $x_1 | s$. But since r and s are non co-prime rigid elements

$r | s$ or $s | r$ by (1) above. And in both cases x_1 and y_1 become

factors of a rigid non unit (e.g. x_1, y_1 are factors of s if

$r | s$ because $y_1 | r$ and $r | s$ i.e. $y_1 | s$ while $x_1 | s$ is assumed) but

this being in contradiction with $(x_1, y_1) = 1$ implies that

the assumption x/y and y/x is wrong and z is a rigid non unit.

(3) Let $P(r) = \{ x \in R : (x, r) \neq 1 \}$.

Because of (1) above, if x and y are non co-prime to r

and if $(x, r) = d, (y, r) = d_1$ then, being factors of a rigid

non unit $d | d_1$ or $d_1 | d$. Consequently if $d_1 | d$ then $d_1 | x, y$ and

so $d_1 | x+y$, similarly if $d | d_1$, $d | x+y$. In other words if

$x, y \in P(r)$ then $x+y \in P(r)$. Moreover if $x \in P(r)$ then

$ax \in P(r)$ for all $a \in R$, that is $P(r)$ is an ideal. Finally

because of the HCF property $(x, r) \neq 1 \implies (x, r) = 1$ or