

and so is a product of powers of primes and similarly as in

the first case $(1 + \sum a_i x^i)$ is a product of powers of primes and combining these observations $r(1 + \sum a_i x^i)$ is the pro-

duct of a finite number of mutually co-prime packets. And

thus we have established that $S = R + xK[x]$ is a URD. But S is not necessarily a ring of Krull type, follows from the

fact that $x \in pS$ for each prime p in R and if the number of

prime ideals in R is infinite, S is not a ring of Krull type.

The above example gives rise to the question of charac-

terization of a URD. We note that a URD by definition is an

HCF domain and so, part of our task would be done if we ex-

plain the structure of an HCF domain in terms of its valua-

tion overings. For this purpose we prove that an HCF

domain is an essential domain. To achieve this result we need

to introduce some concepts which are to serve as tools.

Let R be an integral domain and K be its field of frac-

tions and let $R(R)$ be the set of non zero fractional ideals

of R . If $A \in R(R)$, by A^{-1} we mean the set

$\{x \in K \mid xA \subseteq R\}$ and this again is a fractional ideal.

We denote by A_v the fractional ideal $(A^{-1})^{-1}$. The operation

of associating A_v with each fractional ideal $A \in R(R)$ is

called the v -operation (cf [11] page 416)

It is well known (cf 32.1 [11]) that if $a \in K$ and

$A, B \in R(R)$

$$(1) \quad (a)_v = (a) \quad ; \quad (aA)_v = aA_v$$

$$(2) \quad A \subseteq A_v \quad ; \quad \text{if } A \subseteq B \text{ then } A_v \subseteq B_v$$