.a.i alanoitar

difficult to prove that L is an integral domain. Let numbers and consider the algebra R[S] = L say. It is not minates over the field of reals. Let R be the field of real  $S = \{x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta} : \alpha, \beta \text{ rationals} > 0\}$  where x, y are indeter-

T = { t & C -prime to x and y both }.

 $f_{s} = sx^{\alpha} + by^{\beta}$  );  $(y^{\beta}, s) = 1 = (x^{\alpha}, b)$  and  $g, b \in R[s]$  $t_s = r_s + by^\beta$  a, b & R[S]  $f_1 = r_1 + ax^{\alpha}$   $f_2 = R - \{0\}$   $f_4 = 2 + ax^{\alpha} + by$ The set T has elements of the type:

 $d = ux^{\alpha}y^{\beta}$ ; where u is a unit and obviously this exzation,  $(\tilde{\pi}[S])_T = D$ , every element d can be written as cative set, and is saturated(ci S.c.3). Now in the locali-The forms of these elements show that T is a multipli-

pression is unique. It can also be verified that x,y are

Example 7, above ensures the existence of GUFD's and .(O ≤ Lanoitsr Q, α) stang emirq

are GUFD's but are not UFU's. exists a sufficiently large class of integral domains which as we develop the theory further we shall see that there

First we recall that in a ring R a set S is said to be 3. Some Results analogous to Classical theorems.

Known that in an integral domain R a set S generated by . rated if ab & S implies that a,b & S. Further it is well multiplicative if a,b & S implies that ab & S and S is satu-

Proposition 3. Let R be an integral domain and H the set primes is multiplicative and saturated. Analogously we prove

is multiplicative and saturated. generated multiplicatively by units and prime quanta then H

 $x = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n where each q_l$  is a prime quantum or a (Proof. The hypothesis implies that if xe H then