Muhammad Zafrullah

From: "Darla Kremer" <dxk@ams.org> Date: Thursday, August 21, 2008 6:49 AM

"Muhammad Zafrullah" <zafrullah@lohar.com> To:

Subject: Re: MR2259870

Dear Muhammed,

Many thanks for your years of service to Mathematical Reviews. You have written many very nice reviews for us and we do appreciate it. I will have your name removed from our list of reviewers. If in the future you would like to resume this activity, simply write to mathrev@ams.org.

Best regards,

Darla

On Wed, 20 Aug 2008, Muhammad Zafrullah wrote:

- > Thanks for giving me the pros and cons of the situation. I also realize that
- > being as friendless as I am, taking a stand would only leave me bruised, more
- > than I already am. In view of the the current set up of the Math. Reviews, it
- > would be hard for me to write decent reviews. I request therfore that I be
- > excused from reviewing.
- > Dan and David are my friends and I wish them well. I am finally invited to
- > give a talk at a special session to celebrate their being sixty. I would see
- > if I can put together enough money to go to the DC meeting. Of course, I would
- > like to give that talk, I am proud of them.

```
>
>
```

- > Muhammad Zafrullah
- > 57 Colgate Street
- > Pocatello. ID 83201, USA
- > Phone: 208-478-2759
- > URL:http://www.lohar.com
- > Let's give love a chance, for pure thought seldom enters a mind that hates.

> To: <zafrullah@lohar.com>

- > ----- Original Message ----- From: "Darla Kremer" < dxk@ams.org>
- > Cc: <kfc@ams.org>

```
> Sent: Friday, August 08, 2008 7:22 AM
> Subject: MR2259870
>> Dear Muhammed,
>> Thank you for your consideration in asking this question. Of course your
>> writings are your own and so you may post it. This situation is a little
>> bit more complicated as the AMS holds the copyright on the published
>> review. Typically, we allow reviewers to post their own reviews as long as
>> they note that it first appeared in MathSciNet. Since the review you wish
>> to post only partially appeared in MathSciNet, perhaps it would be better
>> to post the published review along with some additional comments. We
>> cannot now endorse the original review.
>>
>> We prefer that you not amend the published review or publish the original.
>> The editorial staff here made every attempt to edit the review so that the
>> community would be well served by it. This review has enough detailed
>> criticism that no reader will be mislead. I personally believe that a more
>> concise review also reflects more positively on the reviewer. You may
>> disagree.
>>
>> And yes, Dan Anderson was on my thesis committee as well as being a
>> neighbor (with cats). I hear that he is having a birthday soon.
>>
>> Best regards,
>>
>> Darla
>>
>>
>> Dear Professor Kremer.
>> I am wondering if I should put my original review of the Sivaramakrishnan
>> book on my web page as a service to the community. Do let me know if for
>> some reason you would not like my doing so.
>> Some time ago, while chatting over the phone with Dan Anderson I mentioned
>> my correspondence with you. He seemed to remember you with nice comments,
>> something about the cats. (You probably took good care of his cats.)
>> Sincerely,
>>
>> Muhammad
```

```
>> Muhammad Zafrullah
>> 57 Colgate Street
>> Pocatello. ID 83201, USA
>> Phone: 208-478-2759
>> URL:http://www.lohar.com
>> Let's give love a chance, for pure thought seldom enters a mind that hates.
>>
>> ----- Original Message ----- From: "Darla Kremer" <dxk@ams.org>
>> To: <mzafrullah@usa.net>; <zafrullah@lohar.com>
>> Cc: <lmd@ams.org>; <tsj@ams.org>
>> Sent: Friday, March 21, 2008 7:50 AM
>> Subject: MR2259870
>>
>>
>>>
>>> Dear Professor Zafrullah,
>>> In your review (attached) of
>>>
>>> MR2259870
>>> Sivaramakrishnan, R.
>>> Certain number-theoretic episodes in algebra.
>>> Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton), 286. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca
>>> Raton, FL, 2007. xxiv+632 pp. ISBN: 978-0-8247-5895-0; 0-8247-5895-1,
>>> you asked whether the review is too long. In fact, it would help us if
>>> you
>>> could condense the criticism to a few key points. Since most of our
>>> readers
>>> will not have the book in front of them, such detailed criticism is not
>>> as
>>> useful as a pointer to the nature of the difficulties. We do appreciate
>>> that
>>> you took the time to justify your criticism, but a few instances will
>>> suffice
>>> to get the point across.
>>>
>>> We will wait for a revised review from you. Please submit it via the
>>> web-submission form, with a comment in the remarks field that the new
>>> review
>>> should replace the old one.
```

```
>>> We very much appreciate the many thoughtful reviews you have written for
>>> MathSciNet.
>>> Sincerely,
>>>
>>> Darla Kremer
>>>
>>> Managing Editor
>>> Mathematical Reviews
>>
>>
>> --
>>
>>
>>
>> -- Dr. Kevin F. Clancey
                                     e-mail: kfc@ams.org
>> Executive Editor
                                  tel: (734)996-5257
>> Mathematical Reviews
                                     fax: (734)996-2916
>> 416 Fourth Street
                                   www.ams.org/mr-database
>> Ann Arbor, MI 48103
>>
> -- BEGIN-ANTISPAM-VOTING-LINKS
> ------
> Teach Canlt if this mail (ID 17045115) is spam:
           https://canit.ams.org/canit/b.php?i=17045115&m=ecf6cab64759&c=s
> Spam:
> Not spam: https://canit.ams.org/canit/b.php?i=17045115&m=ecf6cab64759&c=n
> Forget vote: https://canit.ams.org/canit/b.php?i=17045115&m=ecf6cab64759&c=f
> END-ANTISPAM-VOTING-LINKS
```