. Lis an HCF domain as Well. Proof. If S is a URD, all the non units of R are non

units of S and hence products of mutually co-prime packets

and x an indeterminate over R then R+xK[x] is an HCF Lemma 6. Let R be an HCF domain, K its field of fractions To prove the converse we first prove the

domain. (c, sd,) = 1 because of R peing HOS) it can be ver!-

Proof. A general element y e S can be written as

$$y = r_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i x^i$$
; $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s_i \in \mathbb{K}$.

As we observed in Example 1, y can be of two types

corresponding to r_0 = 0 or $r_0 \neq 0$, that is

(a)
$$(r_0 = 0)$$
; $y = bx^8(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N-N} a_j^1)$; $b \in K$
(b) $(r_0 \neq 0)$; $y = r_0(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j^1)$, $(r_0 \neq 0)$

$$(\beta) (r_0 \neq 0) ; y = r_0 (1 + r_0)_{(z)}^{\lambda} + r_0 (1 + r_0)_{(z)}^{\lambda},$$

a unit, is obvious and so we consider a pair y, y, of arbit-The case where one of the elements of S is zero or is

tary non zero non units of S. Let

cases are possible:

(a) both y1, y2 are of type (a)

(b) y_1 is of type (α) and y is of type (β) (er otherwise) on via versa

(c) Mi, We are both of type (p).

In case (a) holds, let

 $(s^{\xi}x_{s}^{12-3n} + 1)s^{g}x_{s}d = v_{s}(t^{\xi}x_{s}^{12} + 1)t^{g}x_{t}d = tv$

$$(\operatorname{st}_{X} \operatorname{is}_{S} \operatorname{Z} + 1) \operatorname{s}_{S} \operatorname{x}_{S} d = \operatorname{V} \cdot (\operatorname{tt}_{X} \operatorname{is}_{L} \operatorname{Z} + 1) \operatorname{tt}_{X} \operatorname{td} = \operatorname{tV}$$

$$\operatorname{st}_{I=A}$$

the expressions in braces being elements of K[x] are pro-

ducts of primes and so the HCF
$$dx = \frac{x^{2} - x^{4}}{x^{4}} + 1) \cdot (1 + \frac{x^{2} - x^{4}}{x^{4}} + 1)$$