

Muhammad Zafrullah

From: "Darla Kremer" <dxk@ams.org>
Date: Thursday, August 21, 2008 6:49 AM
To: "Muhammad Zafrullah" <zafrullah@lohar.com>
Subject: Re: MR2259870

Dear Muhammed,

Many thanks for your years of service to Mathematical Reviews. You have written many very nice reviews for us and we do appreciate it. I will have your name removed from our list of reviewers. If in the future you would like to resume this activity, simply write to mathrev@ams.org.

Best regards,

Darla

On Wed, 20 Aug 2008, Muhammad Zafrullah wrote:

> Thanks for giving me the pros and cons of the situation. I also realize that
 > being as friendless as I am, taking a stand would only leave me bruised, more
 > than I already am. In view of the the current set up of the Math. Reviews, it
 > would be hard for me to write decent reviews. I request therfore that I be
 > excused from reviewing.
 > Dan and David are my friends and I wish them well. I am finally invited to
 > give a talk at a special session to celebrate their being sixty. I would see
 > if I can put together enough money to go to the DC meeting. Of course, I would
 > like to give that talk, I am proud of them.
 >
 >
 > _____
 > Muhammad Zafrullah
 > 57 Colgate Street
 > Pocatello. ID 83201, USA
 > Phone: 208-478-2759
 > [URL:http://www.lohar.com](http://www.lohar.com)
 > Let's give love a chance, for pure thought seldom enters a mind that hates.
 >
 > ----- Original Message ----- From: "Darla Kremer" <dxk@ams.org>
 > To: <zafrullah@lohar.com>
 > Cc: <kfc@ams.org>

> Sent: Friday, August 08, 2008 7:22 AM

> Subject: MR2259870

>

>

>>

>> Dear Muhammed,

>>

>> Thank you for your consideration in asking this question. Of course your
>> writings are your own and so you may post it. This situation is a little
>> bit more complicated as the AMS holds the copyright on the published
>> review. Typically, we allow reviewers to post their own reviews as long as
>> they note that it first appeared in MathSciNet. Since the review you wish
>> to post only partially appeared in MathSciNet, perhaps it would be better
>> to post the published review along with some additional comments. We
>> cannot now endorse the original review.

>>

>> We prefer that you not amend the published review or publish the original.
>> The editorial staff here made every attempt to edit the review so that the
>> community would be well served by it. This review has enough detailed
>> criticism that no reader will be misled. I personally believe that a more
>> concise review also reflects more positively on the reviewer. You may
>> disagree.

>>

>> And yes, Dan Anderson was on my thesis committee as well as being a
>> neighbor (with cats). I hear that he is having a birthday soon.

>>

>> Best regards,

>>

>> Darla

>>

>>

>> Dear Professor Kremer,

>> I am wondering if I should put my original review of the Sivaramakrishnan
>> book on my web page as a service to the community. Do let me know if for
>> some reason you would not like my doing so.

>> Some time ago, while chatting over the phone with Dan Anderson I mentioned
>> my correspondence with you. He seemed to remember you with nice comments,
>> something about the cats. (You probably took good care of his cats.)

>> Sincerely,

>>

>> Muhammad

>>

>> _____
 >> Muhammad Zafrullah
 >> 57 Colgate Street
 >> Pocatello. ID 83201, USA
 >> Phone: 208-478-2759
 >> [URL:http://www.lohar.com](http://www.lohar.com)
 >> Let's give love a chance, for pure thought seldom enters a mind that hates.
 >>
 >> ----- Original Message ----- From: "Darla Kremer" <dxk@ams.org>
 >> To: <mzafrullah@usa.net>; <zafrullah@lohar.com>
 >> Cc: <imd@ams.org>; <tsj@ams.org>
 >> Sent: Friday, March 21, 2008 7:50 AM
 >> Subject: MR2259870
 >>
 >>
 >>>
 >>> Dear Professor Zafrullah,
 >>>
 >>> In your review (attached) of
 >>>
 >>> MR2259870
 >>> Sivaramakrishnan, R.
 >>> Certain number-theoretic episodes in algebra.
 >>> Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton), 286. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca
 >>> Raton, FL, 2007. xxiv+632 pp. ISBN: 978-0-8247-5895-0; 0-8247-5895-1,
 >>>
 >>> you asked whether the review is too long. In fact, it would help us if
 >>> you
 >>> could condense the criticism to a few key points. Since most of our
 >>> readers
 >>> will not have the book in front of them, such detailed criticism is not
 >>> as
 >>> useful as a pointer to the nature of the difficulties. We do appreciate
 >>> that
 >>> you took the time to justify your criticism, but a few instances will
 >>> suffice
 >>> to get the point across.
 >>>
 >>> We will wait for a revised review from you. Please submit it via the
 >>> web-submission form, with a comment in the remarks field that the new
 >>> review
 >>> should replace the old one.

>>>

>>> We very much appreciate the many thoughtful reviews you have written for

>>> MathSciNet.

>>>

>>> Sincerely,

>>>

>>> Darla Kremer

>>>

>>> Managing Editor

>>> Mathematical Reviews

>>

>>

>> --

>>

>>

>>

>> -- Dr. Kevin F. Clancey

e-mail: kfc@ams.org

>> Executive Editor

tel: (734)996-5257

>> Mathematical Reviews

fax: (734)996-2916

>> 416 Fourth Street

www.ams.org/mr-database

>> Ann Arbor, MI 48103

>>

>

>

>

> -- BEGIN-ANTISPAM-VOTING-LINKS

> -----

>

> Teach CanIt if this mail (ID 17045115) is spam:

> Spam: <https://canit.ams.org/canit/b.php?i=17045115&m=ecf6cab64759&c=s>

> Not spam: <https://canit.ams.org/canit/b.php?i=17045115&m=ecf6cab64759&c=n>

> Forget vote: <https://canit.ams.org/canit/b.php?i=17045115&m=ecf6cab64759&c=f>

> -----

> END-ANTISPAM-VOTING-LINKS

>

>