ment). Now let (x,y) = d, where  $x = x_1d$ ,  $y = y_1d$  and √x ( in other words we suppose that z is not a rigid ele-Let x,y be any pair of factors of z and suppose that x/y and (2) Let z = rs, where r,s are non co-prime rigid elements.

and this confirms the truth of (1). four cases which arise from the assumption that ris and sir To sum up we get contradiction as a result in all the a contradiction -----(iv).

Finally if d|r, and d |s, then again (r,s,) ≠ 1 Further if d r and s | d then s | r a contradiction --- (iii). 

element is it self rigid and hence rist or si |ri and if riand st divide d which being a factor of a rigid

Now if r, |d and d|s, ; r, |s, a contradiction ----(i)

- and s<sub>1</sub> d or d | s<sub>1</sub> -----(b)
  - ment ri | d or d | ri -----------(a)
- $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{1}},\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{1}}$  are both non units . By the definition of a rigid elehave nothing to prove. So we suppose on the contrary that ew bas ablod (1) tine a size of  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  is a unit, (1) holds and we Proof. Let  $(r,s) = d \ (\neq 1)$ ;  $r = r_1 d$ ,  $s = s_1 d$  where

tion domain. ideal associated to r then the localization  $R_{\rm P(r)}$  is a valua-

- (5) If r is a rigid non unit in R and P(r) is the prime iff r,s are non co-prime.
- (4) Let r,s be two rigid non units in R then P(r) = P(s) prime ideal  $P(r) = \{ x \in R \mid x \text{ is non co-prime to } r \}$ .
  - (3) To each rigid non unit r e R, there is associated a co-prime to both r and s).

their product re is again a rigid non unit ( obviously non (2) Let r,s be any two non co-prime rigid non units of R 1+7