# Phishing Domain Detection

Architecture Design Document Ramchandra Tukaram Padwal

Revision Number: 1.0

Last date of revision: 28/05/2023

# **Document Version Control**

Date Issued	Version	Description	Author
28/05/2023	1.1	First Draft	Ramchandra

## **Contents**

Docur	cument Version Control		2
Abstr	bstract		4
1	Introd	luction	5
	1.1	Why this Architecture Design Document?	5
	1.2	Scope	6
	1.3	Constraints	6
	1.4	1.3 Risks	6
	1.5	1.4 Out of Scope	6
2	Techr	nical specifications	7
	2.1 D	etecting Phishing Domain	7
	2.2 L	ogging	8
	2.3 D	atabase	8
3	Techr	nology stack	9
4	Propo	osed Solution	9
5	Mode	el training/validation workflow	10
6	User	I/O workflow	11
7	Test (	Cases	12

### **Abstract**

Phishing stands for a fraudulent process, where an attacker tries to obtain sensitive information from the victim. Usually, these kinds of attacks are done via emails, text messages, or websites. Phishing websites, which are nowadays in a considerable rise, have the same look as legitimate sites. However, their backend is designed to collect sensitive information that is inputted by the victim. Discovering and detecting phishing websites has recently also gained the machine learning community's attention, which has built the models and performed classifications of phishing websites.


### Introduction

### Why this Architecture Design Document?

This document describes the architecture of the phishing domain detection system.

#### It describes:

- A general description of the system
- The logical architecture of software, the layers and top-level components
- The physical architecture of the hardware on which runs the software
- The justification of technical choices made
- The traceability between the architecture and the system requirements.



This project shall be delivered in two phases:

Phase 1: All the functionalities with PyPi packages.

Phase2: Integration of UI to all the functionalities.

### Scope

This software system will be a Web application This system will be designed to detect the phishing sites at earliest for better safe browsing.

#### **Constraints**

We will only be shown legitimate websites and phishing domain detection.

#### **Risks**

Document specific risks that have been identified or that should be considered.

### **Out of Scope**

Delineate specific activities, capabilities, and items that are out of scope for the project.

## **Technical specifications**


#### 2.1 Dataset

Detection	Finalized	Source
Balance (Small)	No	https://www.sciencedirect.co m/science/article/pii/S23523 40920313202
Unbalanced (Full)	Yes	https://www.sciencedirect.co m/science/article/pii/S23523 40920313202


## 2.1.1 Phishing detection dataset overview

Two dataset variations that consist of 58,645 and 88,647 websites labelled as legitimate or phishing and allow the researchers to train their classification models, build phishing detection systems, and mining association rules.

#### • Full dataset table



#### • Small dataset table



### 2.2 Phishing domain detection

- The system displays the message legitimate site vs phishing.
- The system presents the set of inputs required from the user.
- The user gives required information.
- The system should be able to predict the detection between malicious vs real.

### 2.3 Logging

We should be able to log every activity done by the user.

- The System identifies at what step logging required
- The System should be able to log each and every system flow.
- System should not be hung even after using so many loggings. Logging just because we can easily debug issues so logging is mandatory to do.

## **Technology stack**

Front End	HTML/CSS	
Backend	Python Flask	


## **Proposed Solution**

refer: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352340920313202


Based on the actual research paper, The solution proposed here is a website interface where we will input the URL of the website into a field to check if the URL is fake and malicious. Checking and detection goes with the help of machine learning algorithms.

- 1. Baseline Models: Decision tree classifier and XG Boost classifier, since this is a classification problem.
- 2. Actual model: Random Forest Classifier.


# Model training/validation workflow



# Random Forest Classifier



## User I/O workflow



### **Test cases**

Test case	Steps to perform test case	Module	Pass/Fail
1.	<ul> <li>a. Kaggle dataset of URLs with mixup of phishing and real site</li> <li>b. Feature extraction of URL</li> <li>c. Extracted feature into csv</li> <li>d. Predict</li> </ul>	Predict app	Pass

# **Key performance indicators (KPI)**

- Already save model also present for minimum requirement server.
- View logs
- View Saved Model and artifacts