Dyadic Products $|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|$

Ket vs Bra

Out[0]=

$$\frac{\left|\left.0_{S_1}0_{S_2}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\dot{\mathbb{1}} \left|\left.1_{S_1}1_{S_2}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

In[0]:= Dagger[v]

Out[•]=

$$\frac{\left\langle \boldsymbol{0}_{S_1}\boldsymbol{0}_{S_2} \, \right|}{\sqrt{2}} \, - \, \frac{\dot{\mathbb{1}} \quad \left\langle \boldsymbol{1}_{S_1}\boldsymbol{1}_{S_2} \, \right|}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \quad \left| \, \Theta_{S_{1}} \Theta_{S_{2}} \, \right\rangle \, \left\langle \, \Theta_{S_{1}} \Theta_{S_{2}} \, \right| \, \, - \, \frac{1}{2} \quad \dot{\mathbb{I}} \quad \left| \, \Theta_{S_{1}} \Theta_{S_{2}} \, \right\rangle \, \left\langle \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right| \, \, + \, \frac{1}{2} \quad \dot{\mathbb{I}} \quad \left| \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right\rangle \, \left\langle \, \Theta_{S_{1}} \Theta_{S_{2}} \, \right| \, \, + \, \frac{1}{2} \quad \left| \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right\rangle \, \left\langle \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right| \, \, + \, \frac{1}{2} \quad \dot{\mathbb{I}} \, \left| \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right\rangle \, \left\langle \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right| \, \, + \, \frac{1}{2} \quad \dot{\mathbb{I}} \, \left| \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right\rangle \, \left\langle \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right| \, \, + \, \frac{1}{2} \quad \dot{\mathbb{I}} \, \left| \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right\rangle \, \left\langle \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right| \, \, + \, \frac{1}{2} \quad \dot{\mathbb{I}} \, \left| \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right\rangle \, \left\langle \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right| \, \, + \, \frac{1}{2} \quad \dot{\mathbb{I}} \, \left| \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right\rangle \, \left\langle \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right| \, \, + \, \frac{1}{2} \quad \dot{\mathbb{I}} \, \left| \, \mathbf{1}_{S_{1}} \mathbf{1}_{S_{2}} \, \right\rangle \, \left\langle \, \mathbf{1}_$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{In} \{\circ\}:= \ \ \mathbf{w} = \mathsf{Ket} [\mathsf{S@} \{\mathbf{1,\,2}\} \to \mathbf{1}] \\ \\ \text{Out} \{\circ\}:= \\ & \left| 1_{\mathsf{S}_1} 1_{\mathsf{S}_2} \right\rangle \\ \\ \text{In} \{\circ\}:= \ \ \mathbf{v} \star \star \mathsf{Dagger} [\mathsf{w}] \\ \\ \text{Out} \{\circ\}:= \\ & \frac{\left| 0_{\mathsf{S}_1} 0_{\mathsf{S}_2} \right\rangle \left\langle 1_{\mathsf{S}_1} 1_{\mathsf{S}_2} \right|}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\dot{\mathbb{I}} \left| 1_{\mathsf{S}_1} 1_{\mathsf{S}_2} \right\rangle \left\langle 1_{\mathsf{S}_1} 1_{\mathsf{S}_2} \right|}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array}$$

Ket[...]**Bra[...] might be dangerous!

Let us consider a projection into the one-dimensional subspace spanned by the following vector.

$$\label{eq:continuous} \begin{array}{ll} \text{In} [\circ] := & \mathbf{v} = \mathsf{Ket}[\mathsf{S[2]} \to \mathbf{1}] - \mathbf{I} \star \mathsf{Ket}[\mathsf{S[1]} \to \mathbf{1}] \ // \ \mathsf{KetRegulate} \\ \\ \text{Out} [\circ] := & \left| \left. 0_{\mathsf{S_1}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{S_2}} \right\rangle - \dot{\mathbb{1}} \ \left| \left. \mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{S_1}} 0_{\mathsf{S_2}} \right\rangle \\ \end{array} \right.$$

The projection operator is given by the dyadic product. Here, we expect that this projection operator acts non-trivially only on the two qubits S[1,\$] and S[2,\$].

Now, suppose we apply the above dyadic projector on the following vector.

Unlike our expectation, the projection operator nulls the vector.

This is because of the internal design of Q3 keeping efficiency in mind, and you can see why in this form.

Question: how to avoid this? Use Dyad.

Dyad

Let us consider a projection into the one-dimensional subspace spanned by the following vector.

```
In[\circ]:= V = Ket[S[2] \rightarrow 1] - I * Ket[S[1] \rightarrow 1] // KetRegulate
Out[0]=
              \left| 0_{S_1} 1_{S_2} \right\rangle - i \left| 1_{S_1} 0_{S_2} \right\rangle
```

The projection operator is given by the dyadic product. Here, we expect that this projection operator acts non-trivially only on the two qubits S[1,\$] and S[2,\$].

```
In[\bullet]:= op = Dyad[v, v, S@\{1, 2\}] (* Insted of v**Dagger[v] *)
Out[0]=
      In[o]:= op // InputForm
Out[o]//InputForm=
      Dyad[<|S[1, \$] -> 0, S[2, \$] -> 1|>, <|S[1, \$] -> 0, S[2, \$] -> 1|>] +
      I*Dyad[<|S[1, $] -> 0, S[2, $] -> 1|>, <|S[1, $] -> 1, S[2, $] -> 0|>] -
      I*Dyad[<|S[1, $] -> 1, S[2, $] -> 0|>, <|S[1, $] -> 0, S[2, $] -> 1|>] +
      Dyad[<|S[1, \$] -> 1, S[2, \$] -> 0|>, <|S[1, \$] -> 1, S[2, \$] -> 0|>]
```

Now, suppose we apply the above dyadic projector on the following vector.

```
In[\cdot]:= in = Ket[S@\{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, 1\}]
Out[0]=
             |0_{S_{1}}1_{S_{2}}1_{S_{3}}\rangle
```

Unlike our expectation, the projection operator nulls the vector.

```
In[•]:= op ** in
Out[ = ] =
               |0_{S_1}1_{S_2}1_{S_3}\rangle - i |1_{S_1}0_{S_2}1_{S_3}\rangle
```

Now, as expected, the operator does not affect the third qubit.

How to construct dyadic products?

```
In[\bullet]:= V = Ket[S[2] \rightarrow 1] - I * Ket[S[1] \rightarrow 1] // KetRegulate
Out[0]=
             |0_{S_1}1_{S_2}\rangle - i |1_{S_1}0_{S_2}\rangle
  In[0]:= W = Ket[S@{1, 2} \rightarrow 1]
Out[0]=
             |1_{S_1}1_{S_2}\rangle
  In[*]:= op = Dyad[v, w, S@{1, 2}]
Out[0]=
             |0_{S_1}1_{S_2}\rangle\langle 1_{S_1}1_{S_2}| - i |1_{S_1}0_{S_2}\rangle\langle 1_{S_1}1_{S_2}|
```

One can directly type in the specifications such as $Dyad[{...},{...}]$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf\{*\ J:=\ Dyad[\{S[1]\to 1,\ S@\{1,\ 2\}\},\ \{S[2]\to 1,\ S@\{2,\ 3\}\}]\\ &Out\{*\ J:=\ \left|\ 1_{S_1}0_{S_2}\right>\left<1_{S_2}0_{S_3}\right.\right| \end{aligned}$$

Summary

Functions

- Dyad
- Ket[...]**Bra[...]

Related Links

■ Appendix A of the Quantum Workbook (2022, 2023) -- Available for free via the QuantumPlaybook package.