# Model Research for Al-Based Cybersecurity Threat Prediction

## 1. Objective

The main goal of this research is to design and evaluate Al-based models capable of predicting, detecting, and classifying cybersecurity threats in real-time. The platform aims to:

- 1. Detect known and unknown (zero-day) attacks.
- 2. Predict potential vulnerabilities and attack patterns.
- 3. Provide explainable and interpretable results for security analysts.
- 4. Operate efficiently on high-dimensional and heterogeneous data sources (network traffic, logs, system events).

## 2. Data Sources & Features

#### Static Data:

- CICIDS2017 dataset widely used benchmark dataset with labeled attacks (DoS, DDoS, Brute Force, Botnets, etc.).
- Features: flow statistics, packet sizes, durations, protocols, flags, source/destination IPs.

#### Real-Time Data:

- Network traffic logs, system/application logs, user behavior.
- Threat intelligence feeds (IPs, CVEs, malware signatures).

**Feature Engineering:** Combine statistical, temporal, and session-level features to capture attack patterns effectively.

.

### 3. Model Selection

**Machine Learning Models:** Random Forest, XGBoost, SVM, KNN – effective for classification and anomaly detection.

#### **Deep Learning Models:**

- **LSTM/RNN** for sequential attack patterns.
- **CNN** malware detection from binaries or traffic matrices.
- Autoencoders anomaly detection.
- **Graph Neural Networks** lateral movement in networks.

**Hybrid Models:** LSTM + Random Forest, Autoencoder + XGBoost, to handle heterogeneous data.

## 4. Workflow

- 1. **Data collection** → logs, network, and threat feeds.
- 2. **Preprocessing**  $\rightarrow$  cleaning, normalization, encoding.
- 3. **Feature extraction** → statistical and temporal features.
- 4. **Model inference** → predict attacks or anomalies.
- 5. Alert generation  $\rightarrow$  actionable warnings with confidence scores.

6. **Response** → optional automated remediation.

### 5. Evaluation Metrics

- Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-Score
- ROC-AUC
- Time-to-Detect (critical for real-time systems)

## 6. Explainable Al

- SHAP / LIME interpret model predictions.
- Attention mechanisms highlight key patterns in sequential or network data.

# 7. Challenges

- Data imbalance, scarcity of zero-day attack examples
- Real-time processing constraints
- Adversarial attacks on Al models
- Balancing interpretability with model complexity

# 8. Emerging Directions

- Zero-day prediction using graph-based methods
- Federated learning for privacy-preserving threat prediction
- Autonomous Al-driven threat hunting
- Multi-modal threat intelligence combining logs, network, IoT

## Conclusion

Al-based threat prediction provides **proactive cybersecurity** by learning patterns, detecting anomalies, and predicting attacks. Hybrid models and explainable Al improve accuracy, usability, and trust for real-world deployment.