

MATHEMATICS 121, FALL 2013
 LINEAR ALGEBRA WITH APPLICATIONS

November 1, 2013

Luis Perez

Module #11, Proof:

Prove that if $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ are eigenvectors of $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n$, they are linearly independent. First do the proof by the “least number principle” (Theorem 2.7.4 in Hubbard), then reformulate the proof as a standard inductive argument.

Following the instructions, we begin by proofing this with the least number principle.

Proof. Assume that there exists a smallest number j for which the vector \vec{v}_j is linearly dependent on the previous vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_{j-1}$. It must then be the case that:

$$\vec{v}_j = a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_{j-1} \vec{v}_{j-1}$$

where not all a_i are zero because the zero vector cannot be an eigenvector. Then we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_j \vec{v}_j &= a_1 \lambda_j \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \lambda_j \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_{j-1} \lambda_j \vec{v}_{j-1} && \text{(Multiply by } \lambda_j) \\ (-) \lambda_j \vec{v}_j &= a_1 \lambda_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \lambda_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_{j-1} \lambda_{j-1} \vec{v}_{j-1} && \text{(Apply } A \text{ to original)} \end{aligned}$$

$$0 = a_1(\lambda_j - \lambda_1) \vec{v}_1 + a_2(\lambda_j - \lambda_2) \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_{j-1}(\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}) \vec{v}_{j-1}$$

Yet, because all λ_i are distinct and at least some of the a_i are non-zero, the above implies that the vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 \dots \vec{v}_{j-1}$ are linearly dependent! A contradiction, and therefore our assumption that there exists a least value j such that \vec{v}_j is a linear combination of the previous eigenvectors must be false. \square

For the induction proof, we have the following:

Proof. Base case for $k = 1$: \vec{v}_1 is clearly linearly independent since it is the only eigenvector, and eigenvectors cannot be 0.

Inductive step: $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$ are linearly independent. Then it must be the case that \vec{v}_{k+1} must also be linearly independent. To see this, assume that this is not the case. Then we have that

$$\vec{v}_{k+1} = a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_k \vec{v}_k$$

where not all a_i are zero because the zero vector cannot be an eigenvector. Then we have the following:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lambda_{k+1}\vec{v}_{k+1} = a_1\lambda_k\vec{v}_1 + a_2\lambda_k\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_k\lambda_k\vec{v}_k & \text{(Multiply by } \lambda_k) \\ (-) \lambda_{k+1}\vec{v}_{k+1} = a_1\lambda_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\lambda_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_k\lambda_k\vec{v}_k & \text{(Apply } A \text{ to original)} \end{array}$$

$$0 = a_1(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_1)\vec{v}_1 + a_2(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_2)\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_k(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)\vec{v}_k$$

This is a contradiction, because our inductive step guarantees the first k eigenvectors are linearly independent. Therefore, our assumption that \vec{v}_{k+1} is linearly independent must be false. \square

Q.E.D.